



---

**MODIFICATION PROPOSAL**

**SSEPD/09/003**

**Modification proposal to amend Use of System Charging Methodologies  
for incorporation of the Common Distribution Charging Methodology  
(CDCM)**

**Date of Issue: 18 February 2010**

**FOR APPROVAL BY THE GAS & ELECTRICITY MARKETS AUTHORITY**

---

## Table of contents

1	Issue Authority	3
2	Introduction and background	4
3	Description of the Modification	5
4	Proposed Charging Methodology	6
5	Impact of Proposals	8
6	How the proposals better meet the licence obligations	8
7	Description of Revocations	9
8	Proposed Use of System Charging Methodology Statements	9
9	Implementation Date	10
	Appendix 1 EHV Demand	11
	Appendix 2 EHV Distributed Generation	15

## 1 Issue Authority

<b>Author</b>	<b>Owner</b>	<b>Issue Authority</b>
Mo Sukumaran Pricing Manager	Max Lalli System Commercial Manager	Mark Mathieson Director of Distribution

Issue Date	Issue No	Modification details
Nov/Dec 09	-	Draft proposal and supporting information provided to Ofgem for assessment and comment.
16/12/2009	SSEPD/09/002 (Draft)	Draft proposal amended to reflect comments received from Ofgem and treatment of pre April 2005 generators.
25/01/2010	SSEPD/09/002	Issued to Ofgem
18/02/2010	SSEPD/09/003	Pursuant to Ofgem request to remove pre 2005 EHV generator use of system charges proposal, SSEPD/09/002 withdrawn and resubmitted as SSEPD/09/003

## 2 Introduction and background

- 2.1 This proposal replaces mod proposal SSEPD/09/002 made on 25 January 2010. This results from correspondence with Ofgem in relation to our proposal SSEPD/09/002. In particular, that it was necessary to clarify the calculation of EHV DG allowed revenue in our original proposal and we agree it is appropriate to resolve the issues regarding charging pre-2005 connected EHV DG as part of a collaborative industry effort. It is understood that Ofgem wishes this collaboration to develop appropriate enduring arrangements for charging for EHV DG.
- 2.2 This report sets out the proposed revised modification to the Southern Electric Power Distribution plc (SEPD) and Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc (SHEPD) use of system charging methodologies, as a result of the implementation of the Common Distribution Charging Methodology (CDCM) developed jointly by the DNOs and implemented under standard distribution Licence Condition 50.
- 2.3 SSE Power Distribution (SSEPD) is the trading name of Southern Electric Power Distribution plc (SEPD), Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution plc (SHEPD) and Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Ltd (SHETL), part of the Power Systems division of Scottish and Southern Energy plc. SEPD and SHEPD are the licensed electricity distribution businesses, which own and operate networks in Central Southern England and North of Scotland respectively. SEPD and SHEPD also own and operate small embedded distribution systems outside of their distribution service areas.
- 2.4 Licence condition 50 requires SEPD and SHEPD to revoke their current methodologies for LV and HV customers following the approval of the CDCM by the Authority. The changes detailed in this modification proposal show how SSEPD intend to implement this revocation following approval of the CDCM.
- 2.5 On 25 August 2009 the 14 distribution network licensees jointly submitted to the Authority the CDCM seeking its approval and introduction on 1 April 2010. The introduction of the CDCM means that all high voltage (HV) and low voltage (LV) connectees will have their distribution use of system charges calculated on a common methodology basis. Licence Condition 50 also requires the licensees to simultaneously revoke their current methodology for HV and LV connected network users.

- 2.6 For customers connected at Extra High Voltage (EHV) the DNOs will introduce new common charging arrangements on 1 April 2011. The proposal set out in this document therefore describes the interim charging methodology for these customers from 1 April 2010.
- 2.7 This modification proposal acknowledges that the blanket exemption from GDUoS charges, which applied to pre April 2005 EHV connected generators, is revoked from 1 April 2010. However, these generators will not be charged GDUoS from 1 April 2010, whilst the industry collaborative effort develops appropriate enduring arrangements for charging these customers.
- 2.8 Currently site-specific charges in SEPD and standard published tariffs in SHEPD apply to EHV connections. A description of the SEPD and SHEPD current charging methodologies are detailed in the respective statements of charging methodology for use of system, available from the SSEPD website at:
- <http://www.ssepd.co.uk/Sections/TechnicalandProfessionalInformation/RelatedLinks/ElectricalCommercialPolicy.aspx>
- 2.9 In summary, SSEPD proposes to continue the current arrangements for EHV demand and generation customers and to offer a portfolio approach for EHV connected embedded distribution networks.
- 2.10 Whilst there has not been time to consult on these proposals, SSEPD has kept its stakeholders informed at DCMF, teleconference meetings, by letter and via e-mails of the developments in distribution use of system charging for implementation from 1 April 2010.
- 2.11 If these proposals receive a non-veto decision from the Authority, they will apply from 1 April 2010.

### **3 Description of the Modification**

- 3.1 SSEPD proposes to modify its use of system charging methodologies to reflect changes that have taken place in the industry, licence condition numbering, line loss factor methodologies and contact details.

## **4 Proposed Charging Methodology**

- 4.1 SSEPD's proposals for distribution use of system tariffs for EHV customers and for legacy HV customers in SEPD with site-specific charges, are described below. The charges are based on the 2010/11 Indicative use of system charges notified to Ofgem and Suppliers on 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2009.

### **EHV and site-specific HV Demand**

- 4.2 For EHV and HV customers with site-specific charges, we propose to continue with the current methodology.
- 4.3 The current methodology is used to derive demand charges for all customer groups but standard HV and LV customer charges are then excluded (as these are covered by the CDCM). This process is necessary as there is interaction between all the customer groups primarily through scaling.

### **Licensed Embedded Distribution Networks**

- 4.4 The EHV charges to LDNO's (including IDNO) licensed embedded distribution networks in the SEPD and SHEPD areas will be calculated using the same site specific basis as for EHV demand customers from 1 April 2010.
- 4.5 At present there are no EHV licensed embedded distribution networks in the SEPD and SHEPD areas and none are planned to be energised in 2010/11.

### Allowed Revenue

- 4.6 The allowed revenue derived for demand and generation from the DPCR 5 final proposals will be used to target the required allowed revenue for 2010/11. The following paragraphs will explain how the EHV Demand and Generation Allowed Revenues for 2010/11 will be calculated and then disaggregated from the total Combined Allowed Revenue calculated using the DPCR5 final proposals, to derive the Combined HV/LV Allowed Revenue to be targeted by the CDCM model.

## **EHV Generation**

- 4.7 The proposed methodology for EHV Distributed Generation (DG) customers creates a pseudo generation “allowed revenue” based on the Distribution Generation Incentive included in DPCR4.
- 4.8 This approach mirrors the current charging arrangements but is adapted to apply to only EHV customers.
- 4.9 An asset annuity charge is calculated in aggregate by taking 80% of the reinforcement activities provided for connection, annuitised over 15 years at the cost of capital, defined in the DPCR4 price control period.
- 4.10 The DG incentive allowance rates designated in the DPCR5 Final Proposals will be applied to the relevant EHV capacity. These have been modelled as £1/kW (SHEPD) and £1/kW (SEPD), applied to generators connecting after 31 March 2010. An operation and maintenance allowance of £1/kW per annum are applied to the Relevant DG capacity (kW). In respect of generation connecting in the previous price control period (DPCR4), in 2010/11 allowances have been allocated to SHEPD of £0.5m and to SEPD of -£0.2m. An additional Registered Power Zone (RPZ) Allowance of £3.45/kW is also available. These DG Incentive values, the O&M allowance, the DPCR4 allowance and the RPZ allowance are inflated by the price adjuster index (PIAGt), and added to the aggregate DG Capex pass through revenue.
- 4.11 The resultant “allowed revenue” is recovered in monthly pence/kVA charges.
- 4.12 This approach requires no scaling.
- 4.13 We propose to apply this methodology in 2010/11.
- 4.14 Pre April 2005 EHV connected generators will not be charged GDUoS from 1 April 2010, whilst the collaborative industry group develops appropriate enduring charging arrangements.
- 4.15 The current methodology will limit any year on year increase in GDUoS charges to 10% in real terms. This was introduced to prevent excessive volatility in post April 2005 generators charges when scaled to a small DG allowed revenue and in the interest

of maintaining a stable path of GDUoS. SSEPD propose to remove this cap limit going forward to improve cost reflectivity.

- 4.16 Where an EHV generator also has an authorised EHV import capacity, use of system EHV demand charges will apply, as set out in this modification.

## **5 Impact of Proposals**

- 5.1 Appendix 1 shows the impact of the modification proposals for EHV demand and non CDCM HV in SEPD and SHEPD.
- 5.2 Appendix 2 shows the impact of the modification proposals to EHV generation in SEPD and SHEPD.

## **6 How the proposals better meet the relevant objectives in Licence Condition 13**

- 6.1 The Relevant Objectives in SLC 13.3 are:
- 6.1.1 (a) that compliance with the methodology facilitates the discharge by the licensee of the obligations imposed on it under the Act by this licence;
- 6.1.2 (b) that compliance with the methodology facilitates competition in the generation and supply of electricity, and does not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity;
- 6.1.3 (c) that compliance with the methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable (taking account of implementation costs), the costs incurred by the licensee in its Distribution Business; and
- 6.1.4 (d) that, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), the methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of developments in the licensee's Distribution Business.
- 6.2 This proposal meets the requirements under Licence Condition 50 to allow the new CDCM to be implemented; to integrate our EHV methodology with the CDCM; and, to merge the demand and generation allowed revenues into "one pot" (the combined allowed revenue). These changes better meet the relevant objective (a).

- 6.3 This proposal to amend our EHV charging methodologies for April 2010 to facilitate the introduction of the CDCM with the aim of minimising changes to EHV charges for 2010/11 prior to the introduction of a common EHV Distribution Charging Methodology (EDCM). This is consistent with relevant objective (b).
- 6.4 The proposal to remove the 10% cap on the change in GDUoS allows generator charges to be more cost reflective hence better meets relevant objective (c).
- 6.5 The changes to licence references and other “housekeeping” amendments improves clarity and thus better meets relevant objective (b)

## **7 Description of Revocations**

- 7.1 The proposed amendments in the methodology statements remove the current HV and LV demand and generation charging methodologies which are superseded by the implementation of the CDCM from 1 April 2010.
- 7.2 Following the Authority’s approval of the CDCM it replaces the charging methodologies for HV and LV demand and generation charges. Consequently, this part of the methodologies for demand and generation reflect this accordingly.
- 7.3 The existing requirement for generators to be restricted in reducing their agreed export capacity for the first 5 years after connection has been amended to only refer to EHV generators as HV and LV generators are covered by the CDCM.
- 7.4 The current arrangements for the Network Access Rebate (now referred to as Network Unavailability Rebate Payments) have been retained in the statement for EHV generators and match those in the CDCM.

## **8 Proposed Use of System Charging Methodology Statements**

- 8.1 The proposals set out above would require changes to our Statements of Charges For Use of System of the SEPD and SHEPD Electricity Distribution Networks. The proposed modified versions of the Statements of Charging Methodologies for SEPD and SHEPD are provided as separate attachments to this modification proposal.

- 8.2 Consequential changes to the Overview and Use of System Charging statements will be the subject of separate modification proposal and will be submitted to the Authority for approval.

## **9 Implementation Date**

- 9.1 In order to implement these changes on 1 April 2010 we must receive the Authority's non-veto decision by midday 19<sup>th</sup> February 2010.

## Appendix 1 EHV Demand

### EHV Demand

Tables 1 and 2 show the impact on SEPD and SHEPD EHV and non-CDCM HV (SEPD) demand customers arising from the application of the proposed methodologies on a 2010/11 indicative prices basis.

Table 1

<b>SEPD – EHV / Legacy HV Demand Use of System charges - 2010/11 @ 17-02-10</b>			
Site	Existing (£m)	Proposed (£m)	% change
700	0.576	0.624	8%
701	0.487	0.527	8%
702	0.224	0.243	8%
703	0.314	0.341	9%
706	0.088	0.095	8%
708	0.216	0.234	8%
709	0.320	0.346	8%
710	0.292	0.317	9%
800	0.112	0.122	9%
801	0.131	0.142	8%
802	0.050	0.054	8%
803	0.012	0.013	8%
804	0.160	0.174	9%
805	0.028	0.030	7%
806	0.074	0.080	8%
807	0.033	0.035	6%
808	0.076	0.082	8%
809	0.037	0.040	8%
810	0.036	0.039	8%
811	0.157	0.171	9%
812	0.143	0.156	9%
813	0.342	0.370	8%
814	0.088	0.095	8%
815	0.238	0.258	8%
816	0.218	0.237	9%
817	0.177	0.192	8%
818	0.555	0.600	8%
819	0.037	0.040	8%
821	0.076	0.083	9%
822	0.346	0.376	9%

<b>SEPD – Site-specific EHV / HV Demand Use of System charges - 2010/11 @ 17-02-10</b>			
Site	Existing (£m)	Proposed (£m)	% change
823	0.036	0.039	8%
824	0.276	0.299	8%
825	0.185	0.201	9%
826	0.103	0.112	9%
827	0.099	0.107	8%
828	0.391	0.424	8%
829	0.432	0.468	8%
830	0.445	0.483	9%
831	0.152	0.164	8%
832	0.245	0.265	8%
833	0.401	0.435	8%
835	0.292	0.316	8%
836	0.102	0.111	9%
839	0.036	0.040	11%
840	0.002	0.002	0%
841	0.004	0.004	0%
842	0.004	0.004	0%
844	0.002	0.002	0%
845	0.067	0.072	7%
846	0.006	0.006	0%
847	0.013	0.014	8%
849	0.220	0.239	9%
850	0.029	0.032	10%
851	0.355	0.384	8%
852	0.046	0.048	4%
853	0.053	0.057	8%
854	0.720	0.780	8%
855	0.041	0.045	10%
856	0.093	0.101	9%
857	0.054	0.058	7%
858	0.002	0.002	0%
859	0.012	0.013	8%
820	1.907	2.072	9%
837	0.343	0.372	8%
838	0.025	0.027	8%
901	0.131	0.141	8%

Table 2

<b>SHEPD – EHV Demand Use of System charges - 2010/11 @ 17-02-10</b>			
Site	Existing (£m)	Proposed (£m)	% change
702	0.004	0.004	0%
703	0.017	0.018	7%
704	0.067	0.072	8%
705	0.098	0.105	7%
706	0.106	0.114	8%
707	0.034	0.036	7%
708	0.137	0.147	7%
709	0.089	0.096	7%
710	0.012	0.013	4%
711	0.245	0.263	7%
716	0.000	0.003	
717	0.000	0.003	
718	0.000	0.002	
719	0.000	0.003	
720	0.000	0.003	
721	0.000	0.003	
722	0.000	0.001	
723	0.000	0.002	
724	0.000	0.001	
725	0.000	0.003	
726	0.000	0.003	
727	0.000	0.001	
728	0.000	0.001	
729	0.000	0.002	
730	0.000	0.001	
731	0.000	0.001	
732	0.000	0.003	
733	0.000	0.003	
734	0.000	0.003	
735	0.000	0.003	
736	0.000	0.003	
737	0.000	0.001	
738	0.000	0.003	
739	0.000	0.003	
740	0.000	0.006	
741	0.000	0.003	
742	0.000	0.003	
743	0.000	0.001	

<b>SHEPD – EHV Demand Use of System charges - 2010/11 @ 17-02-10</b>			
Site	Existing (£m)	Proposed (£m)	% change
744	0.000	0.007	
745	0.000	0.007	
746	0.000	0.007	
747	0.000	0.003	
748	0.000	0.003	
749	0.000	0.000	
753	0.000	0.003	
754	0.000	0.003	
755	0.000	0.001	
756	0.000	0.001	
757	0.000	0.002	
758	0.000	0.001	
759	0.000	0.003	
760	0.000	0.001	
761	0.000	0.002	
762	0.000	0.003	
763	0.000	0.003	
765	0.000	0.003	
766	0.000	0.003	
767	0.000	0.003	
768	0.000	0.003	
769	0.000	0.000	
770	0.000	0.003	
771	0.000	0.003	
772	0.000	0.003	
773	0.000	0.001	
774	0.000	0.001	
776	0.000	0.003	
777	0.000	0.002	
778	0.000	0.003	
779	0.000	0.003	
780	0.000	0.003	
781	0.000	0.003	
782	0.000	0.003	
783	0.000	0.003	
784	0.000	0.003	
785	0.000	0.003	
786	0.000	0.012	
(MSID) 8696	0.000	0.003	
(MSID) 8699	0.000	0.001	
(MSID) 8699	0.000	0.001	
(MSID) 8688	0.000	0.003	
(MSID) 8687	0.000	0.003	
(MSID) 8689	0.000	0.003	

## Appendix 2 EHV Distributed Generation

Table 3 below illustrates the assumptions determining the 2010/11 EHV Distributed Generation Use of System Charging methodology

Table 3

SSEPD Distributed Generation Incentive Assumptions	
<b>Term</b>	<b>Includes</b>
Relevant MW	Connections after 01-April 2010 (i.e. DPCR5 MW), plus: Connections between 01-April-2005 and 31-March-2010 (i.e. DPCR4 RPZ MW)
Incentive Rate (gir)	DPCR5 MW: SHEPD = £1,000/MW/yr for 15 years; SEPD = £1,000/MW/yr for 15 years; and DPCR4: per the GL term (previous price control amount) in CRC11
O&M Rate (gor)	DPCR5 MW: £1,000/MW/yr for both SHEPD & SEPD Areas DPCR4: per the GL term (previous price control amount) in CRC11
GDUoS Charges billed to	All EHV Sites connected/connecting on or after 1 <sup>st</sup> April 2005
PIAG <sub>2010-11</sub>	1.000 (per CRC11)
DG Capex Pass Through (GP term)	80% over 15 years (but, in this instance, modelled at zero)
Power Factor	Pf of 0.95 used to convert MW to MVA, and so derive p/kVA/month tariffs

Table 4A below shows indicative EHV GDUOS tariffs

Table 4

Indicative EHV Generation Use of System Charges			
	2009/10 p/kVA/mth	2010/11 p/kVA/mth	% Change
SHEPD	45	28.8	-36%
SEPD	45	-19.1	-142%

Tables 4B and 4C show the impact on SEPD and SHEPD EHV generation customers from the application of the proposed methodologies on a 2010/11 indicative prices basis (at 17-02-10)

Table 4B

<b>SEPD – EHV Generation Use of System charges - 2010/11 @ 17-02-10</b>			
Site	Existing (£m)	Proposed (£m)	% change
923	0.270	-0.115	-142%
925	0.038	-0.016	-142%

Table 4C

<b>SHEPD – EHV Generation Use of System charges - 2010/11 @ 17-02-10</b>			
Site	Existing (£m)	Proposed (£m)	% change
944	0.016	0.010	-36%
953	0.029	0.018	-36%
963	0.014	0.009	-36%
977	0.018	0.012	-36%