

Modification Proposal	
Modification number: 0011Y/2008 – One voltage level above the point of connection	
Housekeeping change to insert the term 'point of connection' into the text of bullet point 2 of section 11.5 (Reinforcement).	
Date Submitted: 17 June 2008	Version number: 1.0
Date Approved:	Date Rejected:
Proposed Implementation Date: 1st August 2008	
Details of Proposal:	
<p>YEDL proposes to make its condition 13 statement clearer. It seeks to aid the understanding of charges for reinforcement and in particular the “one voltage level above” rule by inserting the term 'point of connection' into the text within bullet point 2 of section 11.5 (Reinforcement). This proposal is being submitted at the same time as two other apportionment-related housekeeping changes. The three proposals are being submitted separately in order that each is considered individually, on its own merits.</p> <p>This change would apply to both Yorkshire Electricity Distribution plc (YEDL) and Northern Electric Distribution Ltd (NEDL).</p> <p>A change-tracked copy of our existing YEDL statement is attached for reference. This would be redated should you approve our proposed change. In addition, there is a separate word document included for the section that is being amended, also changed-tracked.</p>	
Description of the changes:	
<p>To modify the text in the 2nd bullet point of section 11.5 (Reinforcement) to include the term 'point of connection'. The bullet point currently reads as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>in general, charges will not take into account reinforcement more than one voltage level above the voltage of connection where the proposal or existing connection arrangement is of a type considered by us as typical of the majority of connection arrangements on our system; and</i> <p>After the amendment it will read:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>in general, charges will not take into account reinforcement more than one voltage level above the voltage at the point of connection to YEDL's existing distribution network, provided that the proposal or existing connection arrangement is of a type considered by us as typical of the majority of connection arrangements on our system; and</i> <p>A change-tracked copy of our existing statement is attached for reference.</p>	
Licence objectives:	
<p>The connection charging methodology and statement has the following objectives set out in standard licence condition 13:</p> <p>(a) that compliance with the connection charging methodology facilitates the discharge by the licensee of the obligations imposed on it under the Act and by this licence;</p> <p>(b) that compliance with the connection charging methodology facilitates competition in the</p>	

generation and supply of electricity, and does not restrict, distort, or prevent competition in the transmission or distribution of electricity;

(c) that compliance with the connection charging methodology results in charges which reflect, as far as is reasonably practicable (taking account of implementation costs), the costs incurred by the licensee in its distribution business; and

(d) that, so far as is consistent with sub-paragraphs (a), (b) and (c), the connection charging methodology, as far as is reasonably practicable, properly takes account of developments in the licensee's distribution business.

Why the proposal better meets the objectives:

The proposal further facilitates competition by making the apportionment rules clearer to the reader.

It should be noted that there are no consequential impacts on other industry documents.

Conclusions:

As this is merely a modification of our statement to make the issue of apportionment clearer we would like to introduce this change as soon as possible following the authority's consent. We would therefore ask Ofgem to consider this proposal and inform us of their decision.