

# NTS Exit Flexibility Capability Product definition

## - NTS Enduring Regime Proposals

---

EOWG

28<sup>th</sup> June 2006

Nks/060628\_EOWG\_Flex\_approach

**nationalgrid**

---

*... this presentation describes some of the background to the two papers that were circulated to the EOWG circulation list prior to the 28<sup>th</sup> June 2006 EOWG*

*... the two papers are:*

*NTS Exit Flexibility Capacity Definition*

*(file: 060628\_NTS\_Flexibiity\_zonal\_attribution\_v1p0)*

*Current Flex Position & Complementary/Alternative Ways Forward*

*(file: 060628\_EOWG\_Physical\_Financial\_Flex\_v1p0)*

# Recent realisations

---

Extensive Network Analysis  
- “flex capability” assessment



Little scope for “expanding flex product” concepts

Discussions with DNs



Requirements for “flex capacity” may be larger than can be guaranteed by NTS

*.... currently proposed product definition may generate risks of unwarranted signals and inefficient investment*

*....therefore may be appropriate to contemplate reconsideration of some of the underlying principles*

# Principles following reconsideration

---

- ◆ System expansion to meet flexibility capacity signals
  - ◆ Low probability of NTS “flex” investments for next price control period?
  - ◆ May be better to contemplate potential rationing mechanism?
  - ◆ If circumstances change then separate discussions with Ofgem/users to establish best way forward
- ◆ Separate “flat” and “flex” products
  - ◆ No change to flat processes
  - ◆ Longer term “flex” release circa 22 mcm
- ◆ Flex product amendment to better reflect diversity
  - ◆ Opportunity for some zonal principles
    - ◆ National availability attributed to zones
    - ◆ Nodal bookings of flex capacity
      - ◆ Working assumption – no restrictions on flex cap holdings within zones

# Zonal definition

---

- ◆ Considerations
  - ◆ Existing linepack management zones
  - ◆ Uncertainty over changing gas flow patterns
  - ◆ Identification of potential material constraint points



17 “Flexibility Zones”

Nodal mappings (for existing offtakes) are provided in Appendix 1 of the *NTS Exit Flexibility Capacity Definition* paper  
(file: 060628\_NTS\_Flexibiity\_zonal\_attribution\_v1p0)

# National capability attribution to zones

---

Key principle:

Sum of zonal availabilities equals National availability

Apportionment methodology to be determined

Potential approaches

- ◆ Zonal utilisation - highest observed concurrent utilisation
- ◆ Zonal utilisation – highest observed zonal utilisations
- ◆ MDQ/SOQ based apportionment
- ◆ Flexibility booking based apportionment
- ◆ Hybrids of the above two eg
  - ◆ Allocation reflecting current DN bookings plus extra allocated according to MDQ/SOQ

# Longer term application processes

---

- ◆ To release the National availability
  - ◆ “Pay as bid” release
  - ◆ Zonal attribution processes
    - ◆ Nodal applications/allocations
    - ◆ Working assumption: no restrictions on sub-zonal holdings
    - ◆ Need to establish basis for access/substitutability close to gas flow

*Key issues:*

*Will DNs be able to secure capability to avoid unwarranted investment?*

*Who will hold flex capacity after initial releases?*

*How will shorter term access to flex capacity be managed?*

# NTS Exit Flexibility Capacity Definition

---

EOWG views sought about:

- ◆ Merits of pursuing zonal concepts to mitigate risks of unintended consequences
- ◆ Adopting a 17 zone framework
- ◆ Preferred basis for attributing capability to zones

# Complementary Ways Forward

---

User demand for flex holdings may exceed national availability

Two potential mitigations:

- Shorter term applications for “flexibility”  
capacity booking or OPN based application process
- Physically/financially firm concept

# Addressing excess flexibility demands

Attribute	“Physically firm”	“Financially firm”
Firmness	Very high probability availability – sufficient to provide close to 100% certainty	Potentially lower level of certainty <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DNs to factor potential uncertainty into their planning processes;</li> <li>• NTS to provide information to inform DN determination of requirements</li> <li>• Current levels of utilisation would imply very low levels of risk</li> </ul>
Commercial buy-back	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• no requirement to offer back</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• users determine buy-back offer prices</li> <li>• non-discriminatory arrangements to use buy-back;</li> <li>• Risk/reward to NTS via incentives/liabilities regime</li> <li>• requirement to offer back when essential</li> <li>• “buy-back” prices capped;</li> <li>• liability arrangement where “market” doesn’t deliver buy-back but NTS must manage flows;</li> </ul>
Pricing	Constrained release could be conducted on an auction basis, incremental bookings priced at LRMC (high prices)	Auction release, reserve price may be linked to “buy-back” cap price
Investment requirements	Bookings needing to be supported by underlying infrastructure	NTS discretion as to whether “sales” need to be physically underpinned

# Complementary approach questions?

---

- ◆ Short term flex capacity acquisition
  - ◆ acceptability to different user classes?
- ◆ Physically/financially firm concept
  - ◆ Is financially firm of potential use to users?
  - ◆ What information might be necessary to support user assessment of product?