

# TPCR Third consultation Exit Transitional Baselines

---

EOWG, 3<sup>rd</sup> May 2006

# Initial Thoughts on Enduring Offtake - February 2005

---

Within the Initial Thoughts document, Ofgem presented in Appendix 1 offtake specific data comprising :

- ◆ 1 in 20 Firm
- ◆ 1 in 20 Firm + Interruptible
- ◆ Practical Max Phys
- ◆ Theoretical Max Phys

All the data was based on the 2005 plan assumptions

# TPCR 3<sup>rd</sup> Consultation document – March 2006

---

Within the 3<sup>rd</sup> Consultation document, Ofgem presented in Table A12.1.1 offtake specific data comprising:

- ◆ GDN baseline data for flat capacity
- ◆ TCC data for NTS exit capacity
- ◆ 1 in 20 data

All the data was based on the 2006 plan assumptions

*... emphasis moved to “practical maximum physical”*

*... discretion about attribution of spare capacity above firm 1 in 20*

# Main differences between the data

---

The major differences between the two data sets are based on assumptions about:

- ◆ Interruptible sites
- ◆ Potential new exit points
- ◆ Base plan assumptions around distribution of exit capacity over offtakes

# Main Assumptions and implications

---

## “Baseline” dual roles

- ◆ Revenue driver purposes:
  - ◆ Only assume for exit will apply in SWQ and for large discrete exit points (on the basis that entry will fund any required investment)
- ◆ Obligations to release capacity:
  - ◆ As baselines are set in general above current 1 in 20 firm levels, how do you allocate (spare) capacity?
    - On entry, proposing to deal with this via Capacity release methodology
    - On exit, if setting baselines fixed for five years, need to agree apportionment – current figures based on providing to interruptibles but there are other ways

# Discussion

---

EOWG to discuss pros and cons of:

- ◆ Capacity release methodology
- ◆ Fixed baselines
  - ◆ How to allocate (spare) capacity?
  - ◆ Implications of substitution obligation?