

Theft of Supply A Consumer Perspective

Peter Dorans

energywatch



Who is the Victim?

• Suppliers?

• Network Operators?

• Consumers?



- Responsibility for detection and investigation must be on suppliers
- Strong regulatory regimes governing behaviour
- Regular prosecutions
- Publicity and education
- Bi-annual meter inspections regardless of supplier



Theft Act 1968

• S13 – A person who dishonestly uses, without due authority,any electricity shall on conviction be liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding five years



 148 Complaints and Enquiries received in 12 months to May 2004

Consumers either deny tampering or

Questioning assessment of charges



• There must be greater emphasis on the criminality of theft and all evidence must be gathered in line with the Human Rights and Police and Criminal Evidence Acts

• Evidence gathered must be able to categorically prove responsibility for tampering.



Assessment of Charges

Assessment of charges is arbitrary

No clear formula for assessment

No determination process



Conclusions

- Theft is a criminal offence and should be treated as such
- Including burden of proof on the accuser
- Assessment of charges should be for maximum of three months
- Needs to be determination regime to confirm tampering and assessed charges



• The rights of all consumers and the need to prevent theft

MUST be balanced with

• The rights of the accused