ofgem

Allocation of roles and responsibilities -Authority decision

Development & Implementation Steering Group 8 June 2004





Overview of presentation

- Summary of RIA
- Respondents' views
- Ofgem's view
- Way forward



Summary of costs and benefits of each option

Issue	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
Accountability & clarity			
Contractual complexity	\checkmark	×	×
Regulatory costs	\checkmark	×	×
Commercial incentives	\checkmark	×	×
Security of supply	\checkmark	\checkmark	 ✓
Efficiency savings			
Comparative regulation	\checkmark	×	×
Economies of scope	\checkmark	×	✓
Economies of scale	_	_	_
Operational synergies	\checkmark	×	×
Loss of benefits relative to option 1	£0	£31 to £64m	£17m to £24m



Respondents' views

- About half of the respondents that expressed a view preferred Option 1
 - clarity of roles and accountabilities
 - reduces risk of discrimination
 - helps to secure the benefits of comparative regulation
- Some opposed to Option 1 due to risk of market fragmentation
- Concerns regarding SOMSAs
- Concerns regarding losses of economies of scale



Authority's decision

- Authority considers Option 1 is preferable
 - costs of fragmentation addressed via agency arrangements
- Further work required on SOMSAs
- Customers should not bear costs associated with losses of economies of scale



Way forward

- Considerable work remains to be undertaken
 - commercial arrangements to apply between the NTS and DNs
 - SOMSAs to be progressed through CIWG
- Authority's decision conditional upon development of satisfactory solutions
- Offtake Arrangements RIA to be released in early June

ofgen



Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers

