

~~Proposed~~Final code modification template text

Publication date: 07 August 2026

Contact: Kate Lloyd

Team: Energy Code Governance Reform

Email: industrycodes@ofgem.gov.uk

On 6 March 2026, we published our draft template code text for the new code modification process and stakeholder advisory forums (SAFs) for consultation. We sought views on the template code text to ensure it was clear, workable and aligned with the wider aims of energy code reform. We received responses from organisations with an interest in energy code governance, including code administrators, suppliers, network operators and other stakeholders. This document sets out the final code modification text.

-Decision – Final code modification template text

© Crown copyright 2026

The text of this document may be reproduced (excluding logos) under and in accordance with the terms of the Open Government Licence.

Without prejudice to the generality of the terms of the Open Government Licence, the material that is reproduced must be acknowledged as Crown copyright and the document title of this document must be specified in that acknowledgement. This publication is available at www.ofgem.gov.uk. Any enquiries regarding the use and re-use of this information resource should be sent to psi@nationalarchives.gsi.gov.uk.

-Decision – Final code modification template text

Contents

Proposed code modification text	1
A1. General.....	3
A2. Roles and responsibilities	3
The Code Manager	3
Advice and assistance.....	3
The Proposer	4
A3. Code manager and SAF engagement	4
A4. Change register	6
A5: Requesting ownership	6
A6. Withdrawals	7
A7. Pre-modification process.....	8
Form of the Issue	8
Pre-modification triage criteria	8
Pre-Modification Forum.....	9
A8. Raising modification proposals	10
Designation of non-code parties	10
Content of the Modification Proposal	10
Assessment Criteria	11
A9. Modification Path	12
Authority-Led Modification	12
Authority-Directed Modification	12
Authority-Consent Modifications	13
Self-Governance Modification	13
Determination of Modification Path	13
Objections to Self-Governance Fast-Track Modifications.....	14
A10. Urgency and prioritisation	14

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

Urgency of Modifications	14
Prioritisation	15
Review of the Prioritisation Category of Modification Proposals	15
A11. Assessment of Modification Proposals	15
A12. Workgroup	16
Establishment of a Workgroup	16
Role of Code Manager	16
Workgroup members	17
Observers	17
Purpose of the Workgroup	17
A13. Consultation	17
Draft Modification Report	18
Consultation	19
Post consultation	20
A14. Final Modification Report	20
A15. Alternative Modification Proposals	21
A16. Decision	22
Authority-Directed and Authority-Consent Modification Proposals	22
Send-Back Process	23
Self-Governance Modification Proposals, including Self-Governance Fast-Track	24
Modification Proposals	24
A17. Significant code review	24
Backstop Direction	26
Modifications raised before or during the modification suspense period	26
A18. Authority-Led Modification	27
SAF	27

-Decision – Final code modification template text

Authority Decision	28
Send-Back Process	28
A19. Direct Code Modifications.....	28
Modifications raised before or during the modification suspense period	29
A20. Implementation.....	29
General	29
Implementation.....	29
Subsequent Amendment to the Implementation Timetable	30
A21. Cross-code working.....	30
Gross Code Steering Group	30
Lead Code	30
Consequential Change	31
Appeal to the Authority.....	31
A22 Reasonableness criteria	32
Definitions	33

<u>Final code modification template text</u>	<u>1</u>
A1. General	9
A2. Roles and responsibilities	9
The Code Manager	9
Advice and assistance.....	10
The Proposer	10
A3. Code manager and SAF engagement	11
A4. Change register	13
A5: Requesting ownership	14
A6. Withdrawals.....	15
A7. Pre-modification process.....	16

-Decision – Final code modification template text

Form of the Issue	16
Issue triage criteria	17
Pre-Modification Forum.....	17
A8. Raising modification proposals	18
Designation of non-code parties	19
Content of the Modification Proposal	19
Assessment Criteria	20
A9. Modification Path	21
Authority-Led Modification	21
Authority-Directed Modification	21
Authority-Consent Modifications	22
Self-Governance Modification	22
Determination of Modification Path	23
Objections to Fast-Track Self-Governance Modifications.....	23
Amalgamated Modifications	24
A10. Urgency and prioritisation	25
Urgency of Modifications	25
Prioritisation.....	25
Review of the Prioritisation Category of Modification Proposals.....	26
A11. Assessment of Modification Proposals	26
A12. Workgroup	27
Establishment of a Workgroup	27
Role of Code Manager	28
Workgroup members	28
Observers	28
Purpose of the Workgroup	29
A13: Consultation	29
Draft Modification Report	29
Consultation	31

-Decision – Final code modification template text

Post consultation.....	32
A14. Final Modification Report	32
A15. Alternative Modification Proposals	34
A16. Decision	35
Authority-Directed and Authority-Consent Modification Proposals	36
Send-Back Process	36
Self-Governance Modification Proposals, including Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification Proposals	37
A17. Authority-Led Modifications	38
SAF	39
Authority Decision	39
Send-Back Process	40
A18. Significant code review	40
Backstop Direction	42
Modifications Proposals raised before or during the Modification Suspense Period ..	42
A19. Direct Code Modifications.....	44
Modifications raised before or during the modification suspense period	45
A20. Implementation.....	46
General	46
Implementation	46
Subsequent Amendment to the Implementation Timetable	46
A21. Cross-code working.....	47
Cross Code Steering Group	47
Lead Code	47
Consequential Change.....	48
Appeal to the Authority	48
A22 Reasonableness criteria and SDS	48
Definitions.....	50

~~OFFICIAL~~

~~OFFICIAL~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A1. General

A1.1 This Section A sets out the process for the maintenance and modification of the Code, as specified in the Code Manager Standard Licence Condition 27: Code maintenance and modification ~~(the Licence)~~. To the extent that any conflict arises between the Code and the Code Manager Licence, the Code Manager must comply with the Code Manager Licence, the Licence will prevail.

A1.2 The Code may only be modified ~~in:~~

- a) In accordance with this Section A; or
- b) By the Secretary of State or the Authority pursuant to a power conferred in legislation.

A1.3 Each modification to the Code must commence with ~~either a proposata~~ Modification Proposal made in accordance with the provisions of this Section A ~~(a Modification Proposal) or be an Authority-Led Modification Proposal as set out in A9.2 or an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal as set out in A9.3.~~

A2. Roles and responsibilities

The Code Manager

A2.1 The Code Manager must carry out its role in accordance with the Code Manager ~~objectives~~ Objectives as set out in Part A of the Code Manager Standard Licence Conditions.

A2.2 The Code Manager is responsible for the governance of the Code and must ensure that the ~~process~~ Modification Procedures and other provisions set out in this Section A ~~is~~ are adhered to.

A2.3 The Code Manager must engage closely with the SAF during the progress of a Modification Proposal in accordance with the provisions of this Section A and share the views of the SAF with the Authority when making a recommendation or decision.

A2.4 The Code Manager is required to promptly update the Code ~~following a decision and must ensure once a Modification Proposal has been approved in accordance with A16 or an Authority-Led Modification has been approved in accordance with A17 and must ensure that~~ the Code and all supporting documentation remain accurate and up to date.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~A2.5 The Code Manager is responsible for publishing all documentation in relation to the Code in plain English and ensuring that all information in relation to the Code is promptly and publicly available to users.~~

Advice and assistance

~~A2.56~~ The Code Manager must provide assistance, in so far as reasonably ~~practical~~practicable and on reasonable request:

- ~~a) —To~~ to all persons with an interest in the Modification Procedures; ~~including. This includes,~~ in particular, small participants ~~and,~~ innovators and consumer representatives; and,
- ~~b) To~~ to the extent relevant, consumer representatives that request the Licensee's assistance in relation to the Code.

~~A2.67~~ This assistance shall include, but not be limited to, assistance with:

- ~~a) Drafting a~~drafting and submitting an Issue or Modification Proposal;
- ~~b) Understanding~~understanding the operation of the Code;
- ~~c) Involvement in~~involvement in and representation ~~during the progress of a Modification Proposal~~ through the Modification Procedures (including, but not limited to meetings of the SAF and any ~~workgroup~~Workgroup established under the Modification Procedures);
- ~~d) Accessing~~accessing information relating to Issues and Modification Proposals;
- ~~e) Acting~~acting as a 'critical friend' in the provision of support to any person with an interest in the Code, particularly with respect to under-represented parties, innovators, small market participants and consumer representatives; and;
- ~~f) —Publishing all documentation in relation to the Code in plain English and ensuring that all information in relation to the Code is promptly and publicly available to users.~~

The Proposer

~~A2.78~~ The Proposer is the owner of the relevant Issue or Modification Proposal ~~throughout the process~~, and is responsible for attending relevant meetings, including SAF meetings, where the Issue or Modification Proposal will be discussed, ~~and. Where the Code Manager is not the Proposer, the Proposer is also responsible for~~ engaging promptly and constructively with the Code Manager, ~~except in circumstances where another party has taken on ownership of the Issue or Modification Proposal as set out in A5.10.~~

~~A2.89~~ Should the Proposer of an Issue or Modification Proposal fail to engage with the Code Manager or acts in a manner which prevents the Code Manager from being able to ensure progress of the Issue or Modification Proposal, the Code Manager must make

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

reasonable and proportionate efforts to contact the Proposer to find out the reasons for this.

~~A2.910 'Fail(ure) to engage' is defined as a lack of meaningful response from means the failure of the Proposer to meaningfully engage within 2 months to any contact from the Code Manager over a 2-month period. .~~

~~A2.10 Where a Proposer is unable to engage due to a lack of resource or expertise, the Proposer may request that the Code Manager take ownership of an Issue or Modification Proposal as set out in A5.~~

A2.11 In instances where the Proposer fails to engage with the Code Manager or acts in a manner which prevents the Code Manager from being able to ensure progress of the Issue or Modification Proposal, the Code Manager can decide to withdraw the Issue or Modification Proposal as set out in A6.

A2.12 The Proposer will retain ownership of the legal text of the Modification Proposal, and no changes can be made to the legal text of a Modification Proposal without the Proposer's approval.

A3. Code manager and SAF engagement

A3.1 There are three types of engagement between the Code Manager and the SAF.

A3.2 The Code Manager ~~informs~~must inform the SAF of ~~a decision~~the following:

~~a) Applies to the following decisions:~~

~~i)a) Decisions~~ by the Code Manager to accept, or to refuse to accept, an Issue on the basis that it meets or does not meet the ~~pre-modification~~Issue triage criteria as set out in A7.7;

~~ii)b) Decisions~~decisions by the Code Manager to ~~discard~~withdraw an Issue following a discussion of the Issue at the Pre-Modification Forum as ~~it requires~~no further action as set out in A6.3b;

~~iii)c) Decisions~~decisions by the Code Manager or another ~~Code Party~~person to ~~agree to take on~~accept ownership of an Issue or a Modification Proposal, ~~and instances where no Code Party has agreed to take on ownership,~~ following an ownership request by the Proposer as set out in A5; ~~and;~~

~~d) Decisions~~where neither the Code Manager nor another person takes on ownership of the Issue or Modification Proposal, and the Proposer chooses not to retain the Issue or Modification Proposal, decisions by the Code Manager to withdraw the Issue or Modification Proposal, following the process set out in A6a; and

~~iv)e) decisions~~ by the Code Manager to accept a Modification Proposal.

~~b)~~ A3.3 The Code Manager must ensure that:

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

- ~~i) a) The written decision is notification of the decisions described in A3.2a-e are circulated in writing to the SAF members together with the Code Manager's rationale for any such decision(s), within 14 working days of the decision being made; and;~~
- ~~b) Any comments relating to those decisions, from the SAF members are recorded and published.~~
- ii) A3.34 The Code Manager **consults** must consult with the SAF ~~on a prior to finalising any decision~~, Modification Plan or review in respect of the following:
- ~~a) Applies to the following decisions:~~
- ~~i) An initial decision by the Code Manager to withdraw a withdrawing an Issue or Modification~~
- ~~a) Proposal as set out in A6 ii) An initial decision by the Code Manager to designate, or refuse to designate. 3 a), c) and d);~~
- ~~b) designation (or non-designation) of a non-code party in order to raise a Modification Proposal as set out in A8.2;~~
- ~~iii) c) An initial decision by the Code Manager to reject rejection of a Modification Proposal on the basis it does not of failure to meet the assessment criteria as set out in A8.12;~~
- ~~d) The initial plan the Modification Path of a Modification Proposal as set out in A9;~~
- ~~iv) e) the Modification Plan produced by the Code Manager as set out in A11.2-A11.3; and;~~
- ~~v) The the bi-annual and ad-hoc reviews review of the Prioritisation Category of~~
- ~~f) Modification Proposals as set out in A10.45, as well as any ad hoc reviews carried out from time to time;~~
- ~~g) the rejection of any objection to the Code Manager's decision that a Modification Proposal is a Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification Proposal as set out in A9.19; and~~
- ~~h) the deviation of the procedure and timetable of an Urgent Modification Proposal from all or part of the Modification Procedures or for it to follow any other procedure or timetable, as set out in A10.3.~~
- b) A3.5 The Code Manager must ensure that:
1. ~~i) An initial decision prior to finalising any decision, Modification Plan or review in respect of the matters in A3.4a-h above, that such matter is brought to a SAF meeting for discussion before a decision is made. ii) The;~~
- ~~a) the initial decision, Modification Plan or review, together with the Code Manager's rationale for it, is circulated as far in advance of the meeting as is reasonably practicable; and;~~
- ~~b) iii) The the discussion and final decision, Modification Plan or review is minuted and published.~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~A3.4 The SAF provides its **assessment** on a proposal.~~

~~a) Applies to the following decision:~~

~~i) The assessment of the Modification Proposal before A3.6 At the Final~~

~~Modification Report is sent to Ofgem for decision for Authority Consent~~

~~Modification or the Code Manager makes a decision for Self-Governance~~

~~Modifications stage (as set out in A14.2g~~

~~b) The Code Maintenance and Modification SLC), the SAF must ~~assess~~ provide its assessment and vote on whether the Modification Proposal and any Alternative Modification Proposals, against would, as compared with the existing provisions of the Code to determine whether:~~

~~i. the Modification Proposal would, better facilitate achievement of achieving the Relevant Code Objectives; and,~~

~~ii. if any no other proposed modification under consideration would better facilitate achieving the Relevant Code~~

~~Objectives than the relevant proposed modification;~~

~~c) The SAF must vote on whether the Modification Proposal better facilitates achievement of the Relevant Code Objectives; and,~~

~~d) A3.7 The Code Manager must ensure that the discussion assessment(s) and outcome of the corresponding vote is(s) are recorded in the Final Modification Report- (or any revised Final Modification Report).~~

~~-A4. Change register~~

~~A4.1 The Code Manager must establish and maintain a register of all current and past Issues and Modification Proposals (referred to as the Change Register).~~

~~A4.2 Where submission of an Issue or Modification Proposal is accepted the Code Manager must:~~

~~a) assign a unique identifier to the Issue or Modification Proposal and add it to the Change Register- as soon as is reasonably practicable; and~~

~~b) inform the Proposer of its acceptance of the Issue or Modification Proposal within 5 working days for Urgent Modification Proposals or within 20 working days for an Issue or where the Modification Proposal is not an Urgent Modification Proposal.~~

~~A4.3 The Code Manager must publish the Change Register on its website.~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A4.4 The Code Manager must ensure that the Change Register is updated at regular intervals so that the information it contains in relation to each Issue and Modification Proposal is, so far as is reasonably practicable, accurate and up to date.

A4.5 The Change Register must include ~~details of whether each Modification Proposal is urgent or not, as set out in A10.1.:~~

~~A4.6 The Change Register must include details of:~~

- ~~a) The name of the Proposer;~~
- ~~b) whether a Modification Proposal is an Urgent Modification Proposal as set out in A10.1;~~
- ~~a) unless a Modification Proposal has been determined to be an Urgent Modification Proposal or a Fast Track Self Governance Modification Proposal, the Prioritisation Category of each Modification Proposal (if it is not an Urgent Modification Proposal), and the reasons for the Code Manager determining the such determination (or in the case of a revised Prioritisation Category of each Modification Proposal as set out in A10.3; and;~~
- ~~b) c) Any changes to the Prioritisation Category of a Modification Proposal, alongside, the date of and reasons for its change such revision as set out in A10.4 and 10.5;~~
- ~~d) A4.7 The Change Register must include details the stage of the Modification Process reached by the Issue or Modification Proposal (e.g. consultation, Final Modification Report);~~
- ~~e) indication of any Issue or Modification Proposal that has been withdrawn;~~
- ~~f) indication of any Modification Proposal suspended or resumed as a result of a Modification Suspense Period as set out in A18.12 and A19.11;~~
- ~~g) any Direct Code Modifications that take place as set out in A19.;~~
- ~~h) whether each Modification Proposal has been amalgamated or not; and~~
- ~~i) any such other detail or information the Code Manager deems necessary to include.~~

A5: Requesting ownership

A5.1 A Proposer of an Issue or Modification Proposal can request to the Code Manager that another ~~party~~ person takes on ownership of the Issue or Modification Proposal.

A5.2 This request must be made in writing to the Code Manager and include an explanation ~~from the Proposer as to its rationale for why another party should take on ownership of the Proposer's reason(s) for the request.~~

A5.3 This request can be made at any stage of the modification process prior to the final decision ~~onto approve~~ to approve a Modification Proposal as set out in A16.

-Decision – Final code modification template text

A5.4 Where a Proposer has made a request that another partyperson takes on ownership the Code Manager can either accept ownership of the Issue or Modification Proposal or can offer the ownership request to another partyperson, with a clear timescale and timely deadline for a response.

A5.5 Neither the Code Manager ~~or~~ nor any other partyperson is required to accept ownership of an Issue or Modification Proposal.

A5.6 ~~The party~~ If any person that accepts ownership of an Issue or Modification is not a code party, then they will be subject to the Issue or Modification Proposal must declaredesignation process contained in writing that it has no conflict of interest with the Issue or Modification Proposal and this must be recorded in the Change Register. A8.2-A8.5.

A5.7 If no partyperson takes on ownership of the Issue or Modification Proposal, the original Proposer ~~may~~ must choose to either retain the Issue or Modification Proposal or withdraw it as set out in A6.1 and A6.2.

~~A5.8~~ Where no partyperson takes on ownership of the Issue or Modification Proposal, and the Proposer chooses not to retain the Issue or Modification Proposal and does not withdraw it themselves, the Code Manager ~~can decide to~~ must withdraw the Issue or Modification Proposal, following the process set out in ~~A6~~ A6a, and this must be recorded in the Change Register.

A5.98 Where an ownership request has been made by a Proposer, and:

- a) ~~The~~ the Code Manager or another partyperson has taken on ownership; or;
- b) ~~No~~ no other partyperson has taken on ownership and the Proposer has decided to retain ownership,

~~b)~~ the Code Manager must inform the SAF on this decision following the procedure set out in A3.2.

A5.109 Once a new partyperson takes on ownership of an Issue or Modification Proposal, it is then the Proposer for the purposes of the modification process.

A6. Withdrawals

A6.1 A Proposer can withdraw an Issue at any time by notifying the Code Manager in writing.

A6.2 A Proposer can withdraw a Modification Proposal at any time prior to the final decision on a Modification Proposal by notifying the Code Manager in writing.

A6.3 ~~An~~ The Code Manager may only withdraw an Issue or Modification Proposal ~~can be withdrawn by the Code Manager only~~ in the following circumstances:

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- a) ~~Where~~ where the Proposer has requested another ~~party~~ person take on ownership, and neither the Code Manager nor any other ~~party~~ person has accepted, and the
 - a) Proposer does not wish to retain the Issue or Modification Proposal;
 - b) ~~Following a discussion of the Issue at the Pre-Modification Forum~~ where the Code
 - b) Manager decides the Issue requires no further action following discussion at the Pre-Modification Forum;
 - c) ~~Where~~ where the Proposer fails to engage with the Code Manager, after the Code Manager's reasonable and proportionate efforts, as set out in A2.9, to contact the Proposer following an initial failure to engage, or acts in a manner which prevents the Code Manager from being able to ensure progress of the Issue or Modification Proposal; or;
 - d) ~~Where~~ where the Code Manager becomes aware ~~at a later date~~ of information meaning the Issue or Modification Proposal is no longer needed, or that would have meant that in light of such information the Issue or Modification Proposal would not have been accepted initially ~~or is now no longer needed.~~

A6.4 The decision to withdraw an Issue on the basis of A6.3b, or a Modification Proposal on the basis of A6.3a, must be notified by the Code Manager to the SAF as set out in A3.2. Decisions to withdraw an Issue or Modification Proposal on the basis of any of the other circumstances listed in A6.3 can only be made by the Code Manager after consultation with the SAF following the procedure set out in A3.34.

A6.5 Once a final decision to withdraw an Issue or Modification Proposal is made the Code Manager must write to the Proposer ~~to set,~~ setting out its decision and rationale, including, where provided, the ~~view~~ views of the SAF. This decision and rationale must also be published.

A6.6 Authority-Led Modification Proposals and Authority-Directed Modification Proposals cannot be withdrawn by the Code Manager, except at the written request of the Authority.

A7. Pre-modification process

A7.1 The Pre-Modification process is not a mandatory step for ~~at any given Issue or~~ Modification Proposals. Proposal.

A7.2 Any interested person may submit an Issue, regardless of whether or not it is a Code Party.

Form of the Issue

A7.3 The Proposer must submit the Issue to the Code Manager.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A7.4 The Code Manager must prescribe the form in which Issues must be submitted and what supporting information will be required. The Code Manager must set out these requirements and publish accompanying guidance on the Code Manager's website.

A7.5 ~~Each~~The Proposer must ~~usesubmit~~ the ~~prescribed form when submitting an~~ Issue: ~~in accordance with the requirements as set out in A7.4.~~

A7.6 Each Issue will be expected to focus on ~~an issue a problem or other matter arising from the Code~~ that the Proposer ~~has identified and~~ seeks to address ~~and/or an outcome it wishes to effect, rather than on the detail of a potential solution. Where an.~~ The Issue ~~does contain~~ ~~is not required to include any~~ proposed solution, ~~thatbut where one is included, this~~ will not preclude the Code Manager from exploring and developing ~~other~~ solutions ~~at its discretion,~~ which, in the Code Manager's view, may better fulfil the Proposer's intention ~~and/or~~ the Relevant Code Objectives more generally.

~~Pre-modification~~Issue triage criteria

A7.7 The Code Manager may refuse to accept submission of an Issue if the Code Manager considers that one or more of the following apply:

- a) the Issue presented is incomplete or unclear;
- b) the Issue could form part of a different Issue yet to be decided on; or
- c) the Issue is outside the scope of the Code.

A7.8 If the Code Manager refuses to accept submission of an Issue, the Code Manager must write to the Proposer and offer assistance (where reasonably practicable) to address the reasons for the refusal.

A7.9 The Code Manager must then inform the SAF of this decision following the procedure set out in A3.2 ~~and then must inform the Proposer of this decision within 20 working days.~~

A7.10 If the Code Manager accepts an Issue, the Code Manager ~~will~~must work with the Proposer to determine whether the Issue should be discussed at the Pre-Modification Forum. ~~Following this decision, the Code Manager must inform the Proposer of this decision within 20 working days:~~

- a) ~~that the Issue has been accepted; and~~
- b) ~~whether the Issue should be discussed at the Pre-Modification Forum.~~

A7.11 Discussion at a Pre-Modification Forum is not mandatory for an Issue to progress to a Modification Proposal.

Pre-Modification Forum

A7.12 Each session of the Pre-Modification Forum will be chaired by the Code Manager.

-Decision – Final code modification template text

A7.13 The Code Manager will determine when sessions of the Pre-Modification Forum are required.

A7.14 The date and business of the Pre-Modification Forum must be published on the Code Manager's website at least 5 working days in advance, and the Code Manager must make reasonable efforts to publicise the meeting to ~~relevant~~ stakeholders impacted by the business of the Forum.

A7.15 The purpose of a Pre-Modification Forum ~~is~~includes, but is not limited, to:

- a) ~~Review~~review and assess Issues accepted by the Code Manager into the modification process-;
- b) ~~Identify~~identify any Issues that do not require any further action-;
- c) ~~Propose~~propose and develop solutions-;
- d) ~~Identify~~identify the impact of any solutions-;
- e) ~~Provide~~provide the Code Manager with views on the impact of ~~a~~any proposed solution-(s);
- f) ~~Assess~~assess any proposed ~~solutions~~solution(s) against the Relevant Code Objectives; and;
- g) ~~Request~~request that the Code Manager consults ~~with stakeholders~~ on an Issue and/or a proposed solution- with those stakeholders who are likely to be impacted by the Issue and/or proposed solution.

A7.16 The Proposer of the Issue (or a designated representative) ~~of the Issue~~ must be in attendance at the relevant Pre-Modification Forum meeting to present its Issue.

A7.17 The Pre-Modification Forum is open to any stakeholder who would like to attend.

A7.18 The Code Manager must make ~~every effort~~all reasonable efforts to ensure the Pre-Modification Forum is representative of all ~~parties~~Code Parties impacted by the Issue being discussed.

A7.19 The Code Manager must publish a summary of the discussion and outcomes of the Pre-Modification Forum- and circulate as soon as is reasonably practicable.

A7.20 The Code Manager must circulate a summary of the discussion and outcomes of the Pre-Modification Forum to the SAF ~~members~~Members, and the Pre-Modification Forum must feature as a standing item on the ~~SAF~~SAF's meeting agenda.

A7.21 There is no limit on the number of Pre-Modification Forums that can exist for each ~~code~~Code. The Code Manager will work with stakeholders to identify the need for any other forums that are needed to deliver an effective pre-modification process. If any other stakeholder forums are created, the Code Manager must create terms of reference for each group.

A8. Raising modification proposals

-Decision – Final code modification template text

A8.1 A Modification Proposal may be raised by any of the following persons (the Proposer):

- a) ~~A~~ Code Party (including the Code Manager);
- b) ~~The~~ Authority (for Authority-Led Modification Proposals);
- c) Statutory Consumer Advocates;
- d) ~~Other~~ Code Managers, only for cross-code to the extent that this is required as a result of a change that impacts multiple codes;
- e) ~~Sub~~ sub-committees listed in the Code;
- f) ~~Non~~ non-code parties designated by the Code Manager for the purpose of raising a single modification proposal in accordance with A8.2; or;
- g) ~~Any~~ other person listed in the relevant ~~licence~~ Licence or ~~code~~ Code.

Designation of non-code parties

A8.2 The Code Manager must consider ~~all of~~ the following when determining if a ~~noncode~~ non-code party should be designated and therefore permitted to raise a ~~modification proposal~~ Modification Proposal:

- a) ~~An explanation of why the interest~~ the non-code party has ~~an interest~~ in the Code and why they want to raise a Modification Proposal; and;
- b) ~~Whether~~ whether the Modification Proposal is being raised as a result of an Issue that has gone through the Pre-Modification stage.

A8.3 The Code Manager must consult with the SAF following the procedure set out in A3.34 and take into account its view on whether the Code Manager should designate a non-code party for the purpose of raising a Modification Proposal.

A8.4 Following the decision by the Code Manager to designate ~~a non-code party~~, or to refuse ~~designation~~ to designate a non-code party for the purpose of raising a Modification Proposal, the Code Manager must write to the non-code party setting out its decision, the views of the SAF, and the rationale for the decision and any other relevant details including guidance on how to appeal such decision.

A8.5 Where a non-code party disagrees with a decision of the Code Manager not to designate them for the purpose of raising a modification proposal then such party may, within the relevant appeal window, appeal the decision of the Code Manager to the Authority ~~and the~~. The Code Manager must, as soon as is reasonably practicable, give effect to any direction of the Authority arising from such appeal.

Content of the Modification Proposal

A8.6 The Proposer must submit the Modification Proposal to the Code Manager.

A8.7 The Code Manager must prescribe the form Modification Proposals must be submitted in and what information will be required to support the Modification Proposal. The Code Manager must set out these requirements, and publish

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

accompanying guidance, on the submission of Modification Proposals, on the Code Manager's website.

A8.8 Each Proposer must use the prescribed form when submitting a Modification Proposal.

A8.9 A Modification Proposal must contain the following information:

- a) ~~The~~the problem and the proposed solution;
- ~~b) — An~~an assessment of the Modification Proposal against the Relevant Code
- b) Objectives;
- c) ~~An~~an assessment of the Modification Proposal's modification path;
- d) ~~An~~an assessment of impacted stakeholders;
- e) ~~Any~~any cross-code impacts that the Modification Proposal may have;
- ~~f) — An~~an assessment by the Proposer as to whether the Modification Proposal is
- f) Urgent or not; and;
- g) ~~An~~an assessment by the Proposer of the Modification Proposal against the Prioritisation Criteria.

Assessment Criteria

A8.10 Except in the case of an Authority-Led Modification Proposal or ~~Authority Directed~~Authority-Directed Modification Proposal, the Code Manager may reject a Modification Proposal if the Code Manager considers that one or more of the following apply:

- a) ~~The~~the Modification Proposal has been submitted by a person not listed in or designated under A8.1;
- b) ~~The~~the Modification Proposal does not contain the information specified in A8.9
- c) ~~The~~the Modification Proposal does not have a reasonable prospect of being approved; or;
- ~~d) — The~~the Modification Proposal has substantially the same effect as a Modification
- d) Proposal the Code Manager or the Authority has rejected within the last six months (except in cases where a Modification Proposal is being raised to directly address issues identified in a previously rejected Modification Proposal).

A8.11 Where the Proposer has not submitted all the information required as set out in A8.9 the Code Manager must write to the Proposer promptly, setting out the missing information and ask the Proposer to resubmit its proposal within a reasonable timeframe. If the Proposer does not submit the correct information within the requested timeframe(s) after two further attempts following the original submission, the Code Manager may proceed to a decision to reject the Modification Proposal.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A8.12 Where the Code Manager is minded to reject a Modification Proposal, ~~the Code Manager~~ it must consult with the SAF following the procedure set out in A3.34 and consider its ~~views~~ view before reaching a final decision.

A8.13 Following ~~the~~ a final decision by the Code Manager to reject a Modification Proposal, the Code Manager must write to the Proposer promptly, setting out its decision, the views of the SAF, and the rationale for the decision and other relevant details including guidance on how to appeal such decision.

A8.14 The Code Manager must publish its decision, with reasons for rejecting the Modification Proposal, together with any view from the SAF.

A8.15 Where a Proposer disagrees with a decision of the Code Manager to reject its Modification Proposal then ~~the person~~ they may appeal the decision of the Code Manager to the Authority, and the Code Manager must give effect to any direction of the Authority arising from such appeal.

A9. Modification Path

A9.1 Each Modification Proposal will follow one of five modification paths, which are:

- a) ~~Anan~~ Authority-Led Modification-;
- b) ~~Anan~~ Authority-Directed Modification-;
- c) ~~Anan~~ Authority-Consent Modification-;
- d) ~~Aa~~ Self-Governance Modification; or;
- e) ~~Aa~~ Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification

e) and must follow the relevant Modification Procedure corresponding with such path as set out in this Section A.

Authority-Led Modification

A9.2 A Modification Proposal submitted by the Authority pursuant to and in accordance with ~~A18A17~~.1 will ~~have the status of~~ be an Authority-Led Modification.

Authority-Directed Modification

A9.3 A Modification Proposal raised by the Code Manager at the direction of the Authority ~~will have the status of~~ is an Authority-Directed Modification.

A9.4 In its direction to the Code Manager, the Authority ~~will~~ must specify whether the Authority-Directed Modification Proposal will follow:

- a) ~~Follow~~ the Modification Procedure for an Authority-Consent Modification; or;
- b) ~~Follow~~ the ~~process~~ Modification Procedure for an Authority-Led Modification Proposals Proposal as set out in ~~A18-A17~~.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A9.5 For Authority-Directed Modification Proposals the Authority may issue a direction setting out and/or amending a timetable for:

- a) ~~The~~the Code Manager to raise the Modification Proposal; and/or;
- b) ~~The~~the completion of each of the procedural steps outlined in the direction (including any steps that differ from the Modification Procedures referred to in this Section A, to the extent that they are relevant).

A9.6 An Authority-Led Modification Proposal and Authority-Directed Modification Proposal must be accepted by the Code Manager into the modification process and will not be subject to the Assessment Criteria set out in A8.10.

Authority-Consent Modifications

A9.7 A Modification Proposal which ~~is neither an Authority-Led Modification Proposal nor an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal and~~ satisfies one or more of the following criteria ~~will be classified as~~ an Authority-Consent modification:

- a) ~~The~~the proposal is likely to have a material impact on existing or future Energy Consumers;
- b) ~~The~~the proposal is likely to cause undue discrimination in ~~their effects its effect~~ between one Code Party (or class of Code Parties) and another Code Party (or class of Code Parties); or;
- c) ~~The~~the proposal is likely to have a material effect on the arrangements set out in this Section A ~~and Section B.~~.

Self-Governance Modification

A9.8 A Modification Proposal which ~~is neither an Authority-Led Modification Proposal nor an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal and~~ does not satisfy the Authority-Consent criteria set out in A9.7 ~~will be classified as~~ a Self-Governance Modification.

A9.9 A Modification Proposal which meets the criteria of a Self-Governance Modification can be classified as Fast Track Self-Governance Modification if it is required as a result of some error or factual change, including but not limited to:

- a) ~~Updating~~updating names or addresses listed in the Code;
- b) ~~Correcting~~correcting minor typographical errors;
- c) ~~Correcting~~correcting formatting and consistency errors, such as paragraph numbering; or;
- d) ~~Updating~~updating out of date references to other documents or paragraphs.

A9.10 Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification Proposals are not required to go through the consultation stage ~~as set out in A13.1~~ and may proceed directly to the Final Modification Report stage.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

Determination of Modification Path

A9.11 Unless it is an Authority-Led Modification Proposal or an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal, the Code Manager will make the determination of which path each Modification Proposal will follow, being either, an Authority-Consent Modification or a Self-Governance Modification, including Fast-Track Self-Governance Modifications. ~~The Code Manager must inform relevant parties of this determination as soon as is reasonably practicable.~~

~~A9.12 The~~ A9.12 Prior to its determination in A9.11 above, the Code Manager must consult with the SAF on which Modification Path a Modification Proposal should follow, in line with the procedure set out in A3.34 and consider its views.

A9.13 Where a Code Party disagrees with a decision of the Code Manager that a Modification Proposal is a Self-Governance Modification then the Code Party may appeal the decision of the Code Manager to the Authority ~~and within~~ the relevant appeal window. ~~The Code Manager must, as soon as is reasonably practicable, give effect to any direction of the Authority arising from such appeal. -The progression of any Modification Proposal through the Modification Procedures must be paused pending the outcome of any such an appeal.~~

A9.14 The Authority may determine that a Modification Path should be reconsidered, and can instruct the Code Manager to change the Modification Path of any Modification Proposal. ~~The Authority must: by~~

- a) Provide written instruction to the Code Manager to change the Modification Path alongside a rationale; and;
- b) Send this written instruction before the Code Manager makes a final decision on the Modification Proposal.

Any such determination will be final and binding for the purposes of the Code.

Objections to ~~Fast-Track~~ Self-Governance ~~Fast-Track~~ Modifications

A9.15 The Code Manager's decision that a Modification Proposal is a ~~Fast-Track~~ Self-Governance ~~Fast-Track~~ Modification must be implemented unless an objection is raised in accordance with A9.17.

A9.16 ~~Any Code Party~~ The Proposer may raise an objection to the Code Manager's decision that a Modification Proposal is a ~~Fast-Track~~ Self-Governance ~~Fast-Track~~ Modification Proposal.

A9.17 The objection must include an explanation of why the ~~objecting person~~ Proposer objects to the decision that the Modification Proposal is a ~~Fast-Track~~ Self-Governance ~~Fast-Track~~

-Decision – Final code modification template text

Modification Proposal, including, where applicable, a rationale for why the Modification Proposal does not satisfy all of the Fast-Track Self-Governance Fast-Track criteria, using the criteria set out in A9.9.

A9.18 If the Code Manager accepts the objection the decision that a Modification Proposal is a Self-Governance Fast-Track Modification Proposal will not be implemented and raised, the Code Manager must reclassify the Fast-Track Self-Governance Fast-Track Modification Proposal as a Self-Governance Modification Proposal or an Authority-Consent Modification Proposal, using the criteria as set out in A9.7.

A9.19 If the Code Manager does not accept the objection, the Code Manager must submit the objection and its rationale for not accepting the objection to the SAF for consultation following the process as set out in A3.34 and must consider its views.

A9.20 If the Code Manager, having considered the views of the SAF, makes a final decision to not to accept an objection, it must:

- a) write to the objecting person to set out Proposer raising the objection with its refusal decision; and
- b) publish its decision, including the reasoning a summary of the SAF views from the consultation in A9.19 and its rationale for such refusal and accompanying SAF views a decision.

A9.21 The Code Manager must publish its final decision pursuant to A9.20 as soon as is reasonably practicable, with reasons for refusing to accept an objection, together with any view from the SAF.

Amalgamated Modifications

A9.22 The Code Manager may decide at any time to amalgamate a Modification Proposal with one or more other Modification Proposals where:

- a) the subject-matter of such Modification Proposals is sufficiently proximate to justify amalgamation on the grounds of efficiency; and/or
- b) such Modification Proposals are logically dependent on each other.

A9.23 Where Modification Proposals are amalgamated:

- a) such Modification Proposals shall be treated as a single Modification Proposal;
- b) without prejudice to each Proposer's right to withdraw their Modification Proposal prior to the amalgamation of their Modification Proposal, the Proposers of each such Modification Proposal shall co-operate in deciding which of them is to be the Proposer of the amalgamated Modification Proposal and, in default of agreement, the Code Manager shall nominate one of the Proposers for that purpose;
- c) the Code Manager shall determine which Modification Path the amalgamated Modification Proposal shall follow;

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- d) the Code Manager shall determine which stage in the Modification Process the amalgamated Modification Proposal shall continue from;
- e) using the process set out in A11, the Code Manager shall create a new Modification Plan for the amalgamated Modification Proposal; and
- f) where Modification Proposals are amalgamated and the resultant amalgamated Modification Proposal is not an Urgent Modification Proposal or Fast Track Self-Governance Modification Proposal, the Code Manager shall determine the amalgamated Modification Proposal to have a single Prioritisation Category. When determining a Prioritisation Category for an amalgamated Modification Proposal, the Code Manager shall consider the Prioritisation Categories, and the relevant assessments undertaken in determining these Prioritisation Categories, accorded to the original Modification Proposals that have been amalgamated.

A9.24 Authority-Led Modification Proposals and Authority-Directed Modification Proposals, whether in relation to a Significant Code Review or not, shall not be amalgamated with any other Modification Proposal without the prior consent of the Authority.

A9.25 If the Authority provides a notice requesting the Code Manager not to amalgamate Modification Proposals, the Code Manager must comply with such notice.

A10. Urgency and prioritisation

Urgency of Modifications

A10.1 The Code Manager must make the ~~initial~~ determination of whether a Modification Proposal is to be an Urgent Modification Proposal, based on the criteria for urgency published by the Authority from time to time.

A10.2 The Code Manager's ~~initial~~ determination under A10.1 will apply unless and until over-turned by the Authority. Where, following discussion with the Code Manager, the Authority issues a notice ~~to them~~ requesting ~~them~~ the Code Manager to amend its urgency determination, the Code Manager must comply with any such notice.

A10.3 The determination that a Modification Proposal is an Urgent Modification Proposal shall mean that the procedure and timetable in respect of such Modification Proposal may, subject to consultation with SAF, deviate from all or part of the Modification Procedures or follow any other procedure or timetable. Should such deviations occur, the Code Manager must promptly notify relevant stakeholders of this.

Prioritisation

A10.~~34~~ Where the Code Manager has determined that a Modification Proposal is not an

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

Urgent Modification Proposal, ~~or the Code Manager must, with the exception of Self-Governance Modification Proposal is not a Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification Proposals, Proposal, the Code Manager must~~ make ~~an initial~~ determination of the Prioritisation Category of the Modification Proposal, based on the criteria for prioritisation published by the Authority from time to time.

Review of the Prioritisation Category of Modification Proposals

A10.45 The Code Manager must, in consultation with SAF ~~and in line with the procedure set out in A3.4~~, review the Prioritisation Category of Modification Proposals on a bi-annual basis ~~and adjust the~~. ~~Where relevant the Prioritisation Category and modification timetable for each affected Modification Proposal will be revised accordingly.~~

~~A10.5 The Code Manager must consult with the SAF on the review of the Prioritisation Category of Modification Proposals, in line with the procedure set out in A3.3 and consider its views.~~

A11. Assessment of Modification Proposals

A11.1 The Code Manager must produce ~~an initial~~ Modification Plan for each Modification Proposal.

A11.2 The Modification Plan ~~will~~must set out ~~proposals for~~:

- a) ~~The~~the Modification Path ~~as described in A9~~;
- b) ~~Whether~~whether the Modification Proposal is ~~an Urgent Modification Proposal or not as described in A10.1, and, in the case of an Urgent Modification Proposal any deviations to the Modification Procedures as described in A10.3;~~
- c) ~~Where~~where the Modification Proposal is not an Urgent Modification Proposal, ~~the~~
- d) ~~or a Self-Governance Fast Track Modification Proposal, the~~ Prioritisation Category of the Modification Proposal, as described in A10.3-4;
- e) ~~The~~the timeframe for progressing the Modification Proposal; ~~and;~~
- f) ~~Whether~~the rationale for the Modification Path selected;
- g) ~~whether an Authority-Consent or Self-Governance Modification Proposal;~~
- h) ~~needs further development or assessment through the Workgroup~~
- i) Process ~~it~~; ~~or~~
- ii) is sufficiently clear and complete to enter the consultation process ~~stage~~ and the rationale for this proposal; ~~or and~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

- ~~g) iii) whether a Modification Proposal is a Self-Governance Fast-Track Modification Proposal and can proceed directly to the ~~final~~Final Modification Report as set out in A9.10.~~

A11.3 Where the Code Manager ~~has made an initial decision~~proposes that the Modification Proposal will proceed to a Workgroup, the ~~initial plan will~~Modification Plan must also set out the:

- a) ~~Proposed~~proposed terms of reference for the Workgroup;
- b) ~~Proposed~~proposed number and frequency of Workgroup meetings; and;
- c) ~~Proposed~~proposed membership of the Workgroup.

A11.4 ~~Where the Code Manager has decided that a Modification Proposal should enter the Workgroup Process the Code Manager must support the Proposer to develop the Modification Proposal ahead of the Workgroup.~~

A11.5 ~~Once the Code Manager has produced an initial plan~~Before finalising the Modification Plan for a Modification Proposal ~~it, the Code Manager must then~~ consult with the SAF on the proposals outlined in the Modification Plan, following the process as set out in A3.34, before making a final decision on these proposals.

A11.65 At any time during the ~~modification process~~Modification Procedure, the Code Manager may ~~make a, having consulted with the SAF following the process as set out in A3.4,~~ change ~~to~~ any element of the Modification Plan, as set out in A11.2, ~~in consultation with SAF, following the process as set out in A3.3.~~

A12. Workgroup

A12.1 ~~This Section~~ A12 only applies in respect of a Modification Proposal where it is determined by the Code Manager that the Modification Proposal is to be subject to the Workgroup ~~Process~~process.

A12.2 Code Managers must support the Proposer to develop the Modification Proposal ahead of the Workgroup.

Establishment of a Workgroup

A12.23 There is no restriction on the type and number of Workgroups the Code Manager may ~~set up~~establish.

A12.34 Any Workgroups that are established must follow the procedure set out in A11.3 to establish ~~it~~the:

- a) ~~Term~~terms of reference;
- b) ~~Number~~number and frequency of meetings; and;
- c) ~~Membership~~membership.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A12.45 Once established, ~~the~~ Code ~~Managers~~Manager may change the number or frequency of Workgroup meetings to aid in the efficiency of the ~~code modification process~~Code Modification Process.

A12.56 The Code Manager must publish a summary of the discussion and outcomes of all ~~Workgroups~~Workgroup meetings on its website ~~as soon as reasonably practicable following the meeting.~~

Role of Code Manager

A12.67 All Workgroup meetings will be chaired by the Code Manager.

A12.78 The Code Manager will also be responsible for:

- a) ~~Facilitating~~facilitating and coordinating input from Workgroup members or other interested stakeholders;
- b) ~~Procuring~~procuring additional analysis, where this is required;
- c) ~~Ensuring~~ensuring that the views of the under-represented participants are recorded and considered as part of the Workgroup discussion; and;
- d) ~~Drafting~~drafting the Workgroup report; or ensuring a suitable ~~party~~Code Party is responsible for drafting the Workgroup report.

Workgroup members

A12.89 The Code Manager, ~~in consultation with SAF as set out in A11.3, should must~~ ensure that the membership of the Workgroup has sufficient expertise and representation in order to fulfil the purpose of the Workgroup.

~~A12.9 Workgroup members must agree the Workgroup report as set out in A13.9g.~~

A12.10 Any ~~person (whether as an individual or representative of an organisation)~~ can request to join a Workgroup ~~but need to provide justification for its inclusion.~~

A12.11 Any requests to join a Workgroup ~~would need to must~~ be made to the Code Manager in writing who must then consider the request. ~~The Code Manager must consider, with particular reference to its obligation in A12.9, including~~ whether the ~~individual~~person requesting membership will be impacted by the Modification Proposal or has expertise on the topic. If the Code Manager decides that ~~an individual a person~~ does not qualify for joining the Workgroup as a member, it can ~~offer for the individual~~invite such person to attend as an observer.

Observers

A12.12 Observers may attend Workgroup meetings and input into discussions but are not required to ~~sign off~~agree the Workgroup report ~~as set out in A13.9g.~~

A12.13 The Code Manager must ensure that Workgroup observers do not negatively impact on the business of the Workgroup.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A12.14 The Code Manager may remove an observer from a Workgroup if it considers ~~that~~ an observer is negatively impacting ~~on~~ the business of the Workgroup.

Purpose of the Workgroup

A12.15 The purpose of a Workgroup Process is to:

- a) ~~Evaluate~~evaluate, develop and refine the content of the Modification Proposal~~;~~;
- b) ~~Consider~~consider and clarify the likely effects of the Modification Proposal, including identifying the Code Parties, Energy Consumers and other ~~persons~~stakeholders likely to be affected by the Modification Proposal~~;~~;
- c) ~~Consider~~consider any Alternative Modification Proposals that would also address the same problem; and;
- d) ~~Prepare~~prepare a report which sets out a summary of the work and complete views of the Workgroup, including any minority or contested views, covering A12.15a-c and any other relevant information.

~~d) A12.16 The Workgroup report must be reviewed by all Workgroup members and/or views agreed as an accurate record of the Workgroup.~~ discussions.

A13: Consultation

A13.1 All Modification Proposals must go through the consultation stage, apart from Self-Governance Fast-Track Modification Proposals, ~~as set out in A9.10~~, which may proceed directly to the Final Modification Report stage, as set out in A9.10.

Draft Modification Report

A13.2 ~~The~~With the exception of Self-Governance Fast-Track Modification Proposals, the Code Manager must, for each Modification Proposal, ~~apart from Self Governance Fast-Track Modification Proposals~~, prepare a written report on the proposal for consultation (the Draft Modification Report).

A13.3 The Code Manager must complete the Draft Modification Report as specified below in A13.9, ~~with the exception of sections k(iii) and l. 4.~~

A13.4 The ~~SAF Secretary will arrange for the Draft Modification Report to be circulated to SAF members for discussion at the next available SAF meeting.~~

~~A13.5 The Proposer (or a designated representative) of the Modification Proposal is entitled be in attendance at the relevant SAF to present its Modification Proposal.~~

~~A13.6 Following discussion at the SAF meeting the SAF Chair, or a nominated representative, will ensure that the SAF's assessment of the Modification Proposal~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text (section k(iii) of the Draft Modification Report) is circulated to all SAF members for comment and approval.~~

~~A13.7 Once approved by the SAF members, the SAF Chair, or a nominated representative, will confirm the SAF's assessment of the Modification Proposal (section k(iii)) to the Code Manager.~~

~~A13.8 The Code Manager must include the SAF assessment as confirmed to it by the SAF Chair and add section l and make any relevant changes to the Draft Modification Report before proceeding to consultation.~~

~~A13.9 The Draft Modification Report for each Modification Proposal must include the following:~~

- a) ~~The~~the Modification Path of the Modification Proposal;
- b) ~~Whether~~where the Modification Proposal has been determined to be ~~urgent and the reasons for this determination an Urgent Modification Proposal, a statement, provided by the Authority as to why it believes that such Modification Proposal should be treated as an Urgent Modification Proposal and the extent to which the procedure followed deviated from the Modification Procedures;~~
- c) ~~Where~~where the Modification Proposal has been amalgamated pursuant to section A9.22, confirmation of such amalgamation;
- e)d) ~~where~~ the Modification Proposal is not an Urgent Modification Proposal; ~~detail or a Self-Governance Fast Track Modification Proposal, details~~ of the Prioritisation Category, and the reasons for this determination;
- d)e) ~~An~~an explanation of the Modification Proposal and any Alternative Modification Proposals and how they address the problem with the Code identified in the Modification Proposal;
- e)f) ~~Specify~~ whether the approval of the Modification Proposal or Alternative Modification Proposals will require changes to other ~~Industry~~Energy Codes, and ~~confirm~~confirmation that Modification Proposals will be or have been raised in respect of the affected ~~Industry~~Energy Codes;
- f)g) ~~Where~~where the Modification Proposal was subject to the Pre-Modification process ~~specify, a description of~~ how the Modification Proposal developed from the ~~initial~~original Issue raised;
- g)h) ~~Where~~where the Modification Proposal was subject to the Workgroup Process, a report from the Workgroup as set out in A12. ~~15d-15;~~
- ~~h)i) Any~~any analysis, including any impact assessment or cost benefit analysis, undertaken or commissioned by the Code Manager as part of the development of the Modification Proposal;

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- i) ~~_____ An an~~ assessment ~~of how~~ identifying the stakeholders (including Energy Consumers) likely to be affected by the Modification Proposal, and any Alternative
- j) ~~_____ Modification Proposals would, and likely impact all affected parties, including consumers on each of the same.~~ Where the Code Manager considers the impact is significant for any ~~partysuch stakeholder~~, the Code Manager must provide ~~a~~ full an overall evaluation ~~that considers of the full range of~~ advantages and disadvantages ~~on consumers, code parties, of the Modification Proposal~~ and any Alternative Modification Proposals across all affected ~~non-code parties~~ stakeholders.
- j)k) ~~An an~~ estimate of the costs associated with making and delivering the changes and ~~for~~ developments which would be required to services, processes, people and ~~central computer systems~~ Central Systems, if the Modification Proposal or ~~any of the alternatives~~ Alternative Modification Proposal were to be approved, for both implementation and on an ongoing basis.
- k)l) ~~An an~~ assessment, and a detailed explanation of the reasons for that assessment, from the following parties whether, the Modification Proposal or any of the Alternative Modification Proposals would, as compared with the existing provisions of the Code, better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Code Objectives, and no other proposed modification would better facilitate achieving the Relevant Code Objectives:
 - i.) ~~The Proposer; and~~
 - ii.) ~~_____ The Code Manager;~~
 - iii. ~~The SAF~~
- l) ~~_____ An explanation from the Code Manager about how it has taken the view of the SAF into account in its assessment. Where the assessment of the Code Manager differs from that of the SAF, the Code Manager must explain the reasons for this difference.~~
- m) ~~The the~~ legal text of the Modification Proposal and, where applicable, the alternative legal text of any Alternative Modification Proposals; and;
- n) ~~Specify~~ the proposed timetable for progressing the Modification Proposal to implementation ~~timetable~~ (including the proposed implementation date).
- n) A13.5 The Code Manager, if it deems necessary, can bring a Draft Modification Report to a SAF meeting for discussion before the consultation stage. If a Draft Modification Report is brought to a SAF meeting for discussion then this should be included in the Draft Modification Report, alongside a summary of the discussion.

Consultation

A13.106 ~~The~~ The Code Manager must arrange for a consultation seeking the views of all ~~relevant parties~~ Code Parties on the Draft Modification Report (the Modification Report Consultation). The Code Manager must:

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

- a) determine the form of consultation;
- b) determine the timetable for consultation responses; and;
- c) collate the responses received during the consultation and publish the collated responses on its website (unless the respondent has requested that its response be treated confidentially).

A13.117 The Code Manager has the discretion to hold any additional consultations if it deems this necessary.

A13.128 The Code Manager must make every effort all reasonable efforts to ensure that all Code Parties are informed about all consultations and are able to make their views known.

Post consultation

A13.139 The Code Manager must share with the SAF the views of stakeholders in response responses to its the consultation: with the SAF. The Code Manager and the SAF must consider stakeholders such responses prior to finalising its view on whether their respective views in the Final Modification Proposal better facilitates the Relevant Code Objectives Report.

A13.1410 If the Code Manager deems necessary, additional meetings of the Workgroup may be held to consider issues matters raised in as a result of the consultation, prior to the Final Modification Report being drafted.

A13.15 The Code Manager must complete a draft of the A14. Final Modification Report as specified below in A14.2, with the exception of sections g, h and i.

A13.16 The SAF Secretary will arrange for the draft of the Final Modification Report to be circulated to SAF members for assessment at the next available SAF meeting.

A13.17 Following the SAF meeting, the SAF chair will ensure that the SAF's assessment of the Modification Proposal (section g) of the Final Modification Report) is circulated to all SAF members for comment and approval.

A13.18 Once approved by SAF, the SAF Chair will confirm the SAF's assessment (including the outcome of the vote) of the Modification Proposal to the Code Manager.

A13.19 The Code Manager must include the SAF assessment as confirmed by the SAF Chair and add section g, h and i to the Final Modification Report.

A14. Final Modification Report

-Decision – Final code modification template text

A14.1 The Code Manager must produce a final report for submission to the Authority (Final Modification Report). This report will set out the Modification Proposal and either:

~~(Final Modification Report). This report will set out the Modification Proposal and either~~

- a) ~~(i)~~ seek the Authority's determination to the Modification Proposal (or identified Alternative Modification Proposal) in respect of an Authority-Consent Modification Proposal; or ~~(ii)~~
- b) inform the Authority of the Code Manager's decision in respect of a Self-Governance Modification Proposals (including Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification Proposal-).

A14.2 The Final Modification Report for each Modification Proposal must set out the following:

- a) ~~The~~ the information specified in A13.9 a-n, excluding ~~13.9k (ii) and (iii) and t~~ A13.4l;
- ~~b) —~~ Aa summary of the views expressed by respondents through consultation on the
- b) Modification Proposal, including views on any Alternative Modification Proposals
- i
- c) ~~Any~~ any changes that have been made to the Modification Proposal as a result of the consultation ~~process stage~~;
- d) ~~Links~~ links to all copies of all representations made by respondents to the consultation on the Draft Modification Report (unless the respondent has requested that its response be treated confidentially-);
- ~~e) —~~ Aa statement of how the Modification Procedures have operated in relation to the
- e) Modification Proposal and any Alternative Modification Proposals;
- ~~f) — Specify a timetable for the implementation of the Modification Proposal, including the date from which such modification could take effect (implementation date)-~~
- ~~g) — The assessment from the SAF including the vote outcome as set out in B12~~
- f) ~~An~~ the SAF's assessment, including its corresponding vote on the same matter to be assessed by the Code Manager under A14.2h;
- ~~h)g)~~ an explanation from the Code Manager about how it has taken the view of the SAF into account in its final assessment. ~~Where the in A14.2h and, where its assessment of the Code Manager differs from that of the SAF, the Code Manager must explain the~~ reasons for this difference; and;
- ~~i)h)~~ ~~The~~ the final assessment of the Code Manager. The Code Manager must assess the Modification Proposal, and any Alternative Modification Proposals, as to whether it meets the following requirement:

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

- i) the Modification Proposal would, as compared with the existing provisions of the Code, better facilitate achieving Relevant Code ~~Objectives; and Objectives~~ ii) no other proposed modification under consideration would better facilitate achieving the Relevant Code Objectives than the relevant proposed modification.

~~A14.3 At~~ A14.3 The Code Manager must complete a draft of the Final Modification Report as specified above in A14.2, with the exception of sections A14.2f, A14.2g and A14.2h.

A14.4 The SAF Secretary will arrange for the draft of the Final Modification Report and any supporting documents to be circulated to SAF members for assessment and corresponding vote at the next available SAF meeting.

A14.5 Following the SAF meeting, the SAF chair will ensure that the SAF's written assessment of the Modification Proposal and outcome of the vote is circulated to all SAF members for comment and approval.

A14.6 The SAF's assessment of the Modification Proposal must clearly set out the complete views of the SAF, including any minority or contested views, and the reasons underpinning these views.

A14.7 Once approved by SAF, the SAF Chair will confirm the SAF's assessment (including the outcome of the vote) to the Code Manager.

A14.8 The Code Manager must include the SAF assessment as confirmed by the SAF Chair and add section A14.2f, A14.2g and A14.2h to the Final Modification Report.

A14.9 The assessments of the SAF and the Code Manager are to be made without regard to whether the Modification Proposal was raised in consequence of a Strategic Direction Statement.

A15. Alternative Modification Proposals

A15.1 An Alternative Modification Proposal is an alternative solution to the Issue identified by a Modification Proposal.

A15.2 An Alternative Modification Proposal can only be raised by either the Code Manager or by members of the Workgroup.

A15.3 The provisions set out in A2.78-A2.12 apply to the Proposer of an Alternative Modification Proposal.

A15.4 An Alternative Modification Proposal must meet the ~~Assessment Criteria set out in A8.10 in order to be accepted into the process.~~

~~A15.5 An Alternative Modification Proposal must meet the~~ following criteria in order to be accepted into the process. An Alternative Modification Proposal must:

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- a) address the original issue–;
- b) have a clear rationale as to why ~~they have~~ it has been raised; and;
- c) be sufficiently different in outcome and impact from the original Modification Proposal and ~~from each any~~ other Alternative Modification Proposal.

A15.5 The Code Manager will decline to accept any ~~proposal~~ Alternative Modification Proposal that does not meet the criteria in A15.4.

A15.6. ~~Notwithstanding whether~~ Whether or not an Alternative Modification Proposal meets the criteria in ~~15.5~~ A15.4, the Code Manager ~~will~~ must decline to accept it if, in its view, the overall number of Alternative Modification Proposals that have been put forward is disproportionate to the materiality of the issue sought to be addressed.

A15.7 In considering whether to decline an Alternative Modification Proposal under A15.6, the Code Manager must have regard to:

~~A15.6, the Code Manager must have regard to~~

- a) ~~Efficient~~ efficient progression: Whether the volume of Alternative Modification Proposals would materially impede timely assessment and progression of the Modification Proposal; and
Proposal; and/or,
- b) ~~Proportionality~~ proportionality: Whether additional alternatives would provide meaningful incremental value compared with the resource and stakeholder burden required to assess them.

~~A15.8~~ A15.8 Where the Code Manager has decided to decline an Alternative Modification Proposal on the basis of A15.4 or A15.6 they must write to the Proposer of the Alternative Modification Proposal setting out the decision and the reasons why.

A15.9 The Code Manager can combine suggested Alternative Modification Proposals if it determines that they are sufficiently similar or if, in the opinion of the Code Manager, they produce a better proposal once combined.

~~A15.9~~ 10 The Code Manager can instruct the ~~workgroup~~ Workgroup, other ~~code parties and~~ Code Parties or the Proposer of the Modification Proposal to work together to develop or combine one or more Alternative Modification Proposals.

A15.11 Where Alternative Modification Proposals have been combined the Code Manager can decide who the Proposer of the combined Alternative Modification Proposal will be.

A16. Decision

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A16.1 The final decision as to whether or not to approve a Modification Proposal will depend upon whether the Modification Proposal is:

- a) ~~Anan~~ Authority-Led Modification (see ~~A18~~A17);
- b) ~~Anan~~ Authority-~~Direct~~Directed or Authority-Consent Modification; or
- c) ~~Aa~~ Self-Governance Modification, including Self-Governance Fast-Track modifications.

Authority-Directed and Authority-Consent Modification Proposals

A16.2 An Authority-Directed or Authority-Consent Modification Proposal ~~shall~~will only be approved where the Authority ~~determines that~~makes the decision to approve the Modification Proposal ~~shall be approved.~~

A16.3 The Authority may consent to the approval of the Modification Proposal where, in the opinion of the Authority, that Modification Proposal facilitates achieving the Relevant Code Objectives (taken as a whole and without priority as between them):

- a) better than the existing provisions of ~~the~~this Code; and
- b) as well as, or better than, any other proposed modification specified in the report.

Send-Back Process

A16.4 The Authority may send-back a Modification Proposal if it:

- a) ~~is~~is not satisfied the Code Manager has demonstrated in the Final Modification Report that it has taken into account the views of the SAF in forming its recommendation on the Modification Proposal; ~~or,~~
- b) ~~is~~is not satisfied that the Code Manager has sufficiently assessed whether one of the Modification Proposals would better facilitate achieving the Relevant Code ~~Objectives than the others; or~~
~~Objectives than the other(s); or,~~
~~e) — Otherwise~~otherwise considers that it cannot form an opinion on consenting or refusing consent to the Modification Proposal.
- c)

A16.5 In these circumstances the Authority may issue a direction to the Code Manager specifying any additional steps that the Authority requires and a timeline for resubmission (including drafting or amending the proposed relevant legal text, revising the proposed implementation timetable, and/or revising or providing additional analysis and/or information).

A16.6 The direction may specify a timeframe for the revised Final Modification Report to be submitted or, if the Authority decides to publish a notice under s.193(1) of the Energy

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

Act 2023 of a Proposed Direct Modification in respect of any of the matters addressed by the Final Modification Report, suspend a decision about the Modification Proposal specified in the Final Modification Report for the duration of the ~~Consultation Phase~~Proposed Direct Modification consultation phase.

A16.7 Where the Authority issues a direction to the Code Manager in accordance with A16.45:

- ~~a) — The~~a) — The recommendation of the Code Manager in respect of the Modification
- ~~a) Proposal will be null and void;~~
- ~~b) — The~~b) — The Code Manager may send the Modification Proposal back to the relevant Workgroup (or may establish a Workgroup) to consider the matters raised by the
- ~~b) Authority;~~
- ~~c) — The~~c) — The Code Manager must revise the implementation timetable applying to the
- ~~c) Modification Proposal;~~
- ~~d) The~~d) The Code Manager must prepare a revised Final Modification Report;
- ~~e) The~~e) The Code Manager must submit the revised Final Modification Report to the SAF for its assessment; and, vote in respect of the revised Report as set out in A14.2; and
- ~~f) The~~f) The Code Manager must update the Change Register to record the status of the Modification Proposal.

Self-Governance Modification Proposals, including ~~Fast-Track~~ Self-Governance ~~Fast-~~

~~Track~~ Modification Proposals

A16.8 A Self-Governance Modification will only be approved where the Code Manager makes the decision to approve the Modification Proposal. In making such a determination the Code Manager must have regard to the following:

- ~~a) Whether~~a) Whether or not the approval of the Modification Proposal would, as compared with the existing provisions of the Code, better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Code Objectives than if the Modification Proposal was rejected;
- Relevant Code Objectives than if the Modification Proposal was rejected

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- ~~b)~~ Whether ~~whether~~ any other proposed modification would better facilitate achieving the
- b) Relevant Code Objectives than the relevant proposed modification; and;
- c) ~~The~~ the assessment of the SAF as to whether or not to approve the Modification Proposal.

A16.9 Any decision of the Code Manager in accordance with A16.8 is final, subject to the following:

- ~~(a)~~ a) ~~Any~~ any Code Party that disagrees with the decision of the Code Manager in accordance with A16.8 may ~~refer~~ refer the matter to the Authority, and the Authority shall determine whether the Modification Proposal should be rejected or approved in accordance with A16.8; and;
- ~~(b)~~ b) ~~Accordingly~~ accordingly, where the consequence of the Authority's determination is that the Modification Proposal is to be rejected ~~where~~ where it has previously been approved ~~the~~ the Modification Proposal will be cancelled and not implemented ~~or, if already implemented, will be reversed~~.

A16.10 An appeal will only be considered by the Authority where it meets the following conditions:

- ~~a)~~ a) ~~The~~ the appellant considers that the Code Manager has wrongly assessed the
- a) Modification Proposal ~~against or Alternative Modification Proposal as set out in~~ against or Alternative Modification Proposal as set out in A16.8a-b; and;
- b) ~~The~~ the Authority considers that the appeal has not been raised for reasons that are trivial or vexatious, or has ~~no~~ no reasonable prospect of success.

A17. Significant code review Authority-Led Modifications

A17.1 The Authority may submit an Authority-Led Modification Proposal to the Code Manager, together with such supplemental information as the Authority considers appropriate.

A17.2 In response to an Authority-Led Modification Proposal the Code Manager must prepare a written report on the proposal (the 'Authority-Led Modification Report'). The Authority-Led Modification Report must be consistent with the information provided by the Authority under A17.1 and must:

- a) set out the legal text of the Modification Proposal;
- b) specify the likely effects of the Modification Proposal if it is implemented;
- c) specify which stakeholder categories are likely to be affected by the Modification Proposal;

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- d) specify whether or not the approval of the Modification Proposal would better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Code Objectives than if the Modification Proposal was rejected;
- e) specify whether approval of the Modification Proposal will have a material impact on Greenhouse Gas Emissions, and if so, assess the effect in accordance with guidance issued by the Authority from time to time;
- f) suggest any Alternative Code Modifications that, in the Code Manager’s opinion, could also address the problem, ensuring that any Alternative Modification Proposals meet the criteria set out in A15.4;
- g) specify a timetable for the implementation of the Authority-Led Modification, including the date from which such modification could take effect; (implementation date); and
- h) specify whether approval of the Modification Proposal is likely, as far as is reasonable for the Code Manager to anticipate, to lead to changes to other Energy Codes and if Modification Proposals have been raised for those effected.

SAF

A17.3 Upon completion of the Authority-Led Modification Report the Code Manager must schedule the report as an agenda item for the next meeting of the SAF for its consideration.

A17.4 The SAF, upon receiving an Authority-Led Modification Report must assess it following the procedures set out in B12.

A17.5 The Authority’s Significant Code Review conclusions document will not fetter the assessment of the SAF under B12.

A17.6 The Code Manager must communicate the outcome of the SAF assessment to the Authority including the reasons given by the SAF members.

A17.7 As soon as appropriate, the Authority-Led Modification Report must be submitted by the Code Manager to the Authority.

A17.8 The Authority-Led Modification Report must set out whether the Authority-Led Modification Proposal has been determined to be an Urgent Modification Proposal or not, and where it has been determined to not be an Urgent Modification Proposal, or is not a Self-Governance Fast Track Modification Proposal, the Prioritisation Category.

Authority Decision

A17.9 An Authority-Led Modification Proposal will only be approved where the Authority makes the decision to approve the Modification Proposal.

A17.10 The Authority may consent to the approval of the Modification Proposal where, in the opinion of the Authority, that proposed modification facilitates achieving the Relevant Code Objectives (taken as a whole and without priority as between them):

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

- a) ~~better than the existing provisions of the Code; and~~
- b) ~~as well as, or better than, any other proposed modification specified in the report.~~

Send-Back Process

~~A17.11 Where the Authority considers that it is unable to form an opinion in relation to a proposed Authority-Led Modification, it may issue a direction to the Code Manager specifying any additional steps that the Authority requires in order to form such an opinion.~~

~~A17.12 Where the Authority issues a direction to the Code Manager in accordance with A17.11 the Code Manager must address the matters raised by the Authority and must, where necessary, produce an updated Authority-Led Modification Report.~~

A18. Significant code review

~~A18.1 The Authority may, at any time, notify affected Parties~~parties of a Significant Code Review.

~~A17~~A18.2 The notice referred to in ~~A17~~A18.1 will include:

- a) ~~A~~a statement that the Authority's review will constitute a Significant Code Review;
- b) ~~The~~the start date of the Significant Code Review;
- c) ~~A~~a description of the matters ~~for consideration~~ within the scope of the Significant Code Review; and;
- d) ~~Confirmation~~confirmation that a Modification Suspense Period has begun or will commence on a specific date as a result of the Significant Code Review.

~~A17~~A18.3 The Authority may direct the Code Manager to provide assistance during a Significant Code Review. This assistance may include:

- ~~a) — Collating~~collating and ~~canvassing~~canvassing views from Code Parties and the Stakeholder Advisory
- a) Forum, including less well-resourced Code Parties, on the proposal; and;
- b) ~~Providing~~providing advice on the proposal.

~~A17~~A18.4 A Modification Suspense Period in relation to a matter within the scope of the Significant Code Review will commence on the date specified by the Authority as set out in ~~A17~~A18.2d, and ends for the purposes of the Code ~~on the date that:~~

- a) where the Authority has issued a direction to the Code Manager to raise an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal(s) ~~or~~, or itself has raised, an Authority-Led Modification Proposal(s), on the date on which the Authority either:

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- i) consents to the modifying of the Code in accordance with that Modification Proposal(s); or
- ii) Modification Proposal(s); or, ii)—refuses such consent.
- b) on the date on which the Authority publishes a statement that it will not issue a direction to raise an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal(s), or itself raise an Authority-Led Modification Proposal;
Authority-Led Modification Proposal(s)
- c) if by the end of 28 working days from the Authority publishing any of its Significant Code Review conclusions it has taken none of the actions specified in that conditionconclusion; or,
- d) if the Authority issues a statement that it will continue work on the Significant Code Review in respect of the matters included in its published conclusions, on the date:
 - i) —the Authority issues a statement confirming that the
Modification
 - i) Suspension Period has ended; or, ii)

where the Authority has issued a direction to raise an Authority-Directed

 - ii) Modification Proposal(s) or, or itself has raised, an Authority-Led Modification Proposal(s) (irrespective of whether such circumstance occurs within 28 working days after the Authority has published any of its Significant Code Review conclusions), whichever is earlier.

A17A18.5 A Significant Code Review ends in respect of each matter within its scope, on the date the Modification Suspense Period ends in respect of that matter.

A17A18.6 Where the Authority publishes its Significant Code Review conclusions in parts, the 28-day period referred to in A17A18.4c will run from the date of each respective published conclusion.

A17A18.7 ~~If the Authority issues a statement that it will continue work and/or issue a direction~~The Authority's published conclusions in accordance with A17.4d, the relation to a Significant Code Review ~~phase will be deemed to have ended when:~~

- a) —The Authority issues a statement that the Significant Code Review phase has ended
- b) —One of the circumstances in paragraphs A17.4a or c occurs (irrespective of whether such circumstance occurs within 28 working days after the Authority has published its Significant Code Review conclusions); or,
- c) —The Authority makes a decision consenting or otherwise to the modification of the Code following the Code Manager's submission of its report

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~
~~in accordance with A18.2, where this related to an Authority-Led Modification Proposal.~~

~~A17.8 The Authority's Significant Code Review conclusions~~ will not fetter the assessment ~~of the SAF procedures or nor~~ voting rights of the SAF referred to in B12 ~~or nor~~ the assessment of the Code Manager.

Backstop Direction

~~A17.9~~A18.8 Where an Authority-Led Modification Proposal or an Authority-Directed Modification Proposal has been raised in respect of the Significant Code Review the Authority may issue a direction (a “backstop direction”), which requires such proposal(s) and any alternatives to be withdrawn, and which causes the Significant Code Review phase~~Modification Suspense Period~~ to recommence.

Modifications Proposals raised before or during the modification-suspense-period~~Modification Suspense Period~~

~~A17.10~~A18.9 The Code Manager must report to the Authority on whether or not the Code Manager considers that any Modification Proposals on which the Code Manager has not yet made a decision (for Self-Governance Modification Proposals) or sent the Final Modification Report to the Authority (for Authority-Consent Modification Proposals) (whether submitted before or after the commencement of the Significant Code Review~~Modification Suspense Period~~) falls within the scope of the Significant Code Review.

~~A17.11~~A18.10 The Authority may direct the Code Manager to suspend the progress of any Modification Proposal that the Authority considers ~~to fall~~falls within the scope of that Significant Code Review ~~(, and the Code Manager must comply with such directions).~~

~~A17~~A18.11 The Authority may direct the Code Manager to cease the suspension of any Modification Proposal that has been suspended in accordance with A18.10, and the Code Manager must comply with such directions.

A18.12 The Code Manager must regularly update the Change Register to reflect any suspension or resumption of Modification Proposals during a Significant Code Review.

~~A17~~A18.13 Any and all suspensions as set out in ~~A17~~A18.10 ~~and A17.11~~ will automatically cease at the end of any Significant Code Review phase~~Modification Suspense Period~~.

~~A17~~A18.14 The commencement and cessation of suspensions in respect of a Modification Proposal as set out in ~~A17~~A18.10 and ~~A17~~A18.11 will have the effect of modifying the timetable applying to the Modification Proposal.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~A18. Authority-Led Modification~~

~~A18.1 The Authority may submit an Authority-Led Modification Proposal to the Code Manager, together with such supplemental information as the Authority considers appropriate.~~

~~A18.2 In response to an Authority-Led Modification Proposal the Code Manager must prepare a written report on the proposal (the ‘Authority-Led Modification Report’). The Authority-Led Modification Report must be consistent with the information provided by the Authority under A18.1 and must:~~

- ~~a) — Set out the legal text of the Modification Proposal~~
- ~~b) — Specify the likely effects of the Modification Proposal if it is implemented~~
- ~~c) — Specify which party categories are likely to be affected by the Modification Proposal~~

~~Proposal~~

- ~~d) — Specify whether or not the approval of the Modification Proposal would better facilitate the achievement of the Relevant Code Objectives than if the Modification Proposal was rejected~~
- ~~e) — Specify whether approval of the Modification Proposal will have a material impact on Greenhouse Gas Emissions, and if so, assess the effect in accordance with guidance issued by the Authority from time to time.~~
- ~~f) — Specify a timetable for the implementation of the Authority-Led Modification, including the date from which such modification could take effect (implementation date); and,~~
- ~~g) — Specify whether approval of the Modification Proposal is likely, as far as is reasonable for the Code Manager to anticipate, to lead to changes to other Industry Codes and if Modification Proposals have been raised for those effected.~~

SAF

~~A18.3 Upon completion of the Authority-Led Modification Report the Code Manager must schedule the report as an agenda item for the next meeting of the SAF for its consideration.~~

~~A18.4 The SAF, upon receiving an Authority-Led Modification Report must assess it following the procedures set out in B12.~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~A18.5 The Authority's Significant Code Review conclusions document will not fetter the assessment of the SAF under B12.~~

~~A18.6 The Code Manager must communicate the outcome of the SAF assessment to the Authority including the reasons given by the SAF members.~~

~~A18.7 As soon as appropriate, the Authority-Led Modification Report must be submitted by the Code Manager to the Authority.~~

~~A18.8 The Authority-Led Modification Report should set out whether the Authority-Led Modification Proposal has been determined to be an Urgent Modification Proposal or not, and where it has been determined to not be an Urgent Modification Proposal, the Prioritisation Category.~~

Authority Decision

~~A18.9 An Authority-Led Modification Proposal shall only be approved where the Authority determines that the Modification Proposal shall be approved.~~

~~A18.10 The Authority may consent to the approval of the Modification Proposal where, in the opinion of the Authority, that proposed modification facilitates achieving the Relevant Code Objectives (taken as a whole and without priority as between them):~~

- ~~a) ——— better than the existing provisions of the Code; and,~~
- ~~b) as well as, or better than any other proposed modification specified in the report.~~

Send-Back Process

~~A18.11 Where the Authority considers that it is unable to form an opinion in relation to a proposed Authority-Led Modification, then it may issue a direction to the Code Manager specifying any additional steps that the Authority requires in order to form such an opinion.~~

~~A18.12 Where the Authority issues a direction to the Code Manager in accordance with A18.11 the Code Manager must address the matters raised by the Authority and must (where necessary) produce an updated Authority-Led Modification Report.~~

A19. Direct Code Modifications

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A19.1 A Proposed Direct Modification means a proposal by the Authority to modify an ~~IndustryEnergy~~ Code pursuant to s.192 of the Energy Act 2023.

A19.2 The Authority may at any time notify ~~Parties~~relevant stakeholders of a Proposed Direct Code Modification.

A19.3 The notice referred to in paragraph A19.1 will include:

- ~~a) —~~ Aa description of the matters within scope of the Proposed Direct Code
- ~~a)~~ Modification; and;
- b) ~~Confirmation~~confirmation that a Modification Suspense Period has begun, or will commence on a specific date, as a result of the Proposed Direct Code Modification.

A19.4 The Modification Suspense Period in relation to a Proposed Direct Code Modification ends:

- a) on the date the Authority publishes a notice of decision under s. 193(5) of the Energy Act 2023; or;
- b) if the Secretary of State directs the Authority not to make the Proposed Direct Code Modification pursuant to s.193(4) of the Energy Act 2023, on the date the Authority publishes that it has received ~~the~~such a direction.

Modifications raised before or during the modification suspense period

A19.5 The Code Manager must report to the Authority on whether or not the Code Manager considers that any Modification Proposals on which the Code Manager has not yet made a decision (for a Self-Governance Modification Proposal) or sent the Final Modification Report to the Authority for a decision (in the case of ~~an~~ Authority-Consent Modification Proposal) falls within the scope of the Proposed Direct Modification.

~~A19.6 A19.5 applies to any~~ Modification Proposal) ~~(whether submitted before or after the commencement of the Proposed Direct Modification) falls within the scope of the Proposed Direct Modification.~~

A19.~~6~~7 The Authority may direct the Code Manager to suspend the progress of any Modification Proposal that the Authority considers to fall within the scope of that Proposed Direct Modification (and the Code Manager must comply with such directions).

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

A19.~~78~~ The Authority may direct the Code Manager to cease the suspension of any Modification Proposal that has been suspended in accordance with A19.~~56~~ (and the Code Manager must comply with such directions).

A19.~~89~~ Any and all suspensions in accordance with A19.~~5~~ and A19.~~6~~ will automatically cease at the end of any Modification Suspense Period.

A19.~~910~~ The commencement and cessation of suspensions in respect of a Modification Proposal as set out in A19.~~56~~ and A19.~~67~~ will have the effect of modifying the timetable applying to the Modification Proposal.

A19.~~1011~~ The Code Manager must regularly update the Change Register to reflect any suspension or resumption of Modification Proposals during a Modification Suspense Period.

A20. Implementation

General

A20.1 Once a Modification Proposal has been approved in accordance with A16 or an Authority-Led Modification has been approved in accordance with ~~A18~~A17, the Code Manager must modify the Code in accordance with the Modification Proposal or Authority-Led Modification.

Implementation

~~A20.2~~ The Code Manager must, after a Modification Proposal has been approved:

- a) ~~Determined~~determine what actions are required in order to ensure that the approved modification to the Code is made in accordance with the approved implementation timetable; and;
- b) ~~Set~~set a timetable for the completion of each of those actions.

A20.3 The Code Manager must ensure that the required actions in the approved implementation timetable are carried out so that the approved Modification Proposal is fulfilled.

A20.4 Each Code Party will co-operate with the Code Manager to the extent required to ensure that such modification is implemented with effect from the approved date.

Subsequent Amendment to the Implementation Timetable

A20.5 For Authority-Consent, Authority-Directed and Authority-Led Modification Proposals where the Code Manager considers that it is not reasonably practicable to make the approved modification to the Code in accordance with the approved implementation timetable:

-Decision – Final code modification template text

- a) ~~The~~the Code Manager may request the Authority to direct that a new implementation timetable be substituted for the original timetable; and;
- b) ~~Where~~where the Authority makes such a direction following a request by the Code Manager, the new implementation timetable replaces the original implementation, and the requirements set out in A20.2 will be defined in relation to that later date.

A20.6 Where the Authority declines the Code Manager's request as set out in A20.5a the Code Manager must adhere to the original timetable.

A21. Cross-code working

Cross Code Steering Group

A21.1 The Code Manager must:

- ~~(a)~~a) _____ in accordance with A2.56, help Proposers to identify potential cross-code impacts;
- ~~(b)~~b) _____ communicate, coordinate and work with other code managers, code administrators, and the Cross Code Steering Group (CCSG) on ~~modifications~~Modification Proposals that impact ~~multiple Codes~~more than one Energy Code to ensure changes are progressed efficiently;
- ~~(c)~~c) _____ ~~attend~~ensure it is represented at meetings of the CCSG by individuals with sufficient expertise and authority, attends meetings of the CCSG and update any documentation that is required ~~after meetings of the CCSG following each meeting;~~
- ~~(d)~~d) _____ inform the SAF of any cross-code impacts that affect the ~~code~~Code that are identified at meetings of the CCSG; and;
- ~~(e)~~e) _____ identify when it would be useful for the Central System Delivery Body to attend a meeting of the CCSG.

Lead Code

A21.2 Where the Cross Code Steering Group determines that the Code is to be used as the Lead Code, the Code Manager must:

- a) progress the Lead Code Modification Proposal in accordance with the Code;
- b) coordinate with the Code Managers of the other affected ~~Industry~~Energy Codes so that ~~it~~they can raise and progress a Consequential Change under ~~its~~their Energy Code~~Codes~~ in parallel with the process under the Code; and
- ~~c)~~ e) _____ consult with the other affected Energy Codes to agree upon and publish a timetable setting out the proposed progression of the Lead
- c) Code Modification Proposal and any associated Consequential Changes; ~~and~~.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~d) notwithstanding~~**A21.3 Notwithstanding** Section A16 (~~Decision~~), the Modification Proposal under the Code will only be approved if both:

- ~~(i)a)~~ the Modification Proposal is approved in accordance with the Code; and;
- ~~(ii)b)~~ the associated Consequential Changes under the other **IndustryEnergy** Codes are all approved in accordance with those other **IndustryEnergy** Codes.

Consequential Change

A21.34 Where the ~~Gross Code Steering Group~~**CCSG** determines that another Energy Code is the Lead Code for a Modification Proposal, then the Code Manager must progress the relevant Consequential Change to the Code in accordance with this ~~section~~**A21.4**, but subject to the following:

- a) the Code Manager must progress the Modification to the timetable determined under the Lead Code;
- ~~b)~~ if the Lead Code Modification **Proposal** under the Lead Code is withdrawn or rejected prior to a **determinationdecision**, the Code Manager must also withdraw the Consequential
- ~~b) Change in accordance with Section A6 (Withdrawals)~~;
- c) the Modification Proposal under this Code will only be approved if both:
 - ~~i)~~ the Modification Proposal is approved in accordance with the
 - ~~ii)~~ Code; and
 - ~~ii)~~ the Modification Proposal to the Lead Code is approved in accordance with the Lead Code.

Appeal to the Authority

A21.45 The Code Manager may appeal the **determinationdecision** of any associated ~~consequential change~~**Consequential Change** to the Authority where:

- a) this Code is the Lead Code;
- b) the Lead Code ~~modification~~**Modification Proposal** is approved; and
- c) ~~One~~**one** or more associated Consequential Changes are not approved.

A21.56 An appeal must be raised within 30 working days of a **determinationdecision** on the Lead Code Modification **Proposal** or relevant Consequential **ModificationChange**.

A21.67 Where another Energy Code is the Lead Code, any **determinationdecision** under this Code on an associated Consequential Change may be sent to the Authority for appeal within 30 working days of such determination on request of the **Code Manager of the** Lead Code.

A22 Reasonableness criteria **and SDS**

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~22A~~22.1 Where reasonably requested by a Code Manager, in relation to the Code, the Code Party must take reasonable steps to cooperate with the development of the Code by:

- ~~a-a)~~ _____ providing the Code Manager with information relating to the Code Party which the Code Manager would reasonably require to plan, assess or implement code modifications related to ~~the~~ a Strategic Direction Statement; and
Statement
- ~~b-b)~~ _____ disclosing to the Code Manager, if it reasonably expects that proposed modifications related to the Strategic Direction Statement, may have a significant ~~implication~~ impact on its processes or systems.

~~22A~~22.2 Where the Code Manager is considering proposed modifications relating to the content of a Strategic Direction Statement, it may request ~~co-operation~~ cooperation from a Code Party: where it is proportionate and reasonable to do so. In doing so, the Code Manager must have regard to the following:

- a) ~~Nature~~ nature of cooperation: the ~~code manager~~ Code Manager must review existing information and attempt to engage informally with a Code Party prior to ~~issuing~~ deciding to issue a formal request for ~~information; cooperation;~~
- b) ~~Timing~~ timing: the Code Manager must ensure that any request for cooperation is made at an appropriate stage in the process, considering whether early engagement could reduce the need for subsequent requests. The Code Manager must take into account other energy sector activities that may affect a Code Party's ability to cooperate, which may include price controls, calls for evidence and consultations. The Code Manager will also seek to provide sufficient notice of any request;
- c) ~~Impact~~ impact on ~~code parties~~ Code Parties: the Code Manager must consider the resource implications for ~~parties~~ Code Parties in responding to a request for cooperation and the availability of the data ~~requested; sought under a request for cooperation~~. Requests for cooperation must only be issued to relevant ~~parties~~ Code Parties, and where possible, will include ~~parties~~ Code Parties of varying sizes and ~~sector; role;~~
- d) ~~Volume~~ volume: the Code Manager must take reasonable steps to avoid issuing ~~uplicative~~ duplicate requests for cooperation, including consideration of requests for cooperation made by other code managers. The Code Manager must identify similarities between requests for cooperation and consolidate them where appropriate; ~~and~~
- e) ~~Other~~ other impacts: the Code Manager must consider cross code or system interactions.

A22.3 Prior to issuing a formal request for cooperation, the ~~code manager~~ Code Manager must:

- a) ~~Assess~~ assess the request against the criteria set out above; and

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

- b) ~~Record~~~~record~~ the outcome of that assessment.

A22.4 The formal request ~~for cooperation~~ will be in writing and contain the following:

- a) ~~The~~~~the~~ outcome of the ~~Code Manager's~~ assessment ~~under Section A22.3~~; and
 b) ~~Details~~~~details~~ of the informal engagement methods undertaken prior to issuing the request.

Definitions

Alternative Modification Proposal means an alternative solution to the issue identified by a Modification Proposal in accordance with standard condition 27 of the Code Modification and Maintenance SLC.

Authority means the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority that is established under section 1 of the Utilities Act 2000.

Authority-Consent Modification has the meaning given to it in the Code Modification and Maintenance SLC.

Authority-Directed Modification Proposal means a proposal for modification that is raised by the Code Manager at the direction of the Authority: ~~pursuant to SLC 27.14a).~~

Authority Guidance on Code Modification Prioritisation means any guidance published by the Authority from time to time on code modification prioritisation.

Authority-Led Modification Proposal means a proposal for modification that is submitted by the Authority.

Authority-Led Modification Report has the meaning given to that term in section A.18.2.

Central System Delivery Body/~~bodies~~ means the persons responsible for operating or procuring the operation of a Central System, where “Central System” has the meaning given to that term in Section 184 of the Energy Act 2023.

Change Register means the register established and maintained of all current and past Issues and Modification Proposals.

Code means [insert name of ~~Code~~code].

Code Maintenance and Modification SLC means standard condition 27 contained in the Licence.

Code Manager means the persons responsible for the governance of the ~~[Relevant~~relevant] Code in accordance with its Licence.

Consequential Change means, for any cross-code modifications, a modification to a code that is necessary to support or enable the lead Modification Proposal.

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text~~

~~Consultation Phase means the period starting on the date which the Authority publishes a notice under s 193(1) of the Energy Act 2023 and ending on the date on which the Authority publishes a notice of decision under s193(5) of the Energy Act 2023.~~

Code Party/~~ies~~~~parties~~ means persons who are party to the ~~Relevant~~~~relevant~~ Code (including the ~~Code Manager~~~~Licensee~~).

Cross Code Steering Group, referred to in Section A21, means the group established under the REC to support the development of change proposals that impact multiple ~~industry~~~~Energy~~ codes.

Designation Document means a document that is (i) maintained in accordance with the conditions of a licence granted for the purposes of s.4 of the Electricity Act 1989 and s.5 of the Gas Act 1986; and (ii) designated under either s.182 of the Energy Act 2023 or Schedule 12 to the Energy Act 2023.

Draft Modification Report has the meaning given to that term in section A13.2.

Energy means either or both of gas (as supplied to premises under or pursuant to the Gas Act 1986) and electricity (as supplied to premises under or pursuant to the Electricity Act 1989).

~~Energy Code means a multilateral code or agreement, whether or not it is at the relevant time a Designated Document, created and maintained pursuant to a licence granted by the Authority under section 6 of the Electricity Act 1989 or under sections 7, 7ZA or under sections 7, 7ZA, 7A, 7AA, 7AB, or 7AC of the Gas Act 1986~~

Energy Consumers means a person who is supplied or requires to be supplied with Energy at any premises in Great Britain.

~~Fail(ure) to engage means the failure of the Proposer to meaningfully engage within 2 months to any contact from the Code Manager.~~

Fast-Track Self-Governance Modification has the meaning given to it in the ~~Code~~~~Cod~~ Modification and Maintenance SLC.

Final Modification Report means a report submitted to the Authority by the Code Manager; recommending a Modification Proposal and either: (i) seeking the Authority's determination where it is an Authority-Consent Modification Proposal or (ii) informing the Authority of the Code Manager's decision where it is a Self-Governance Modification.

Greenhouse Gas has the meaning given to that term in section 92 of the Climate Change Act 2008.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions means emissions of that Greenhouse Gas into the atmosphere that are attributable to human activity.

~~Industry Code means a multilateral code or agreement, whether or not it is at the relevant time a Designated Document, created and maintained pursuant to a licence~~

~~-Decision – Final code modification template text granted by the Authority under section 6 of the Electricity Act 1989 or under sections 7, 7ZA or under sections 7, 7ZA, 7A, 7AA, 7AB, or 7AC of the Gas Act 1986~~

Issue means an issue arising in relation to the ~~Relevant~~relevant Code that may result in a Modification Proposal in accordance with the Modification Procedures.

Lead Code means, for any cross-code modifications, the code manager or code administrator with primary responsibility for developing and progressing the lead Modification Proposal.

Licence means ~~a the Code Manager~~ licence granted ~~under~~pursuant to section 6(1)(g) of the Electricity Act ~~1986~~1989 or ~~Section~~section 7AC of the Gas Act 1986.

~~Modification Plan means a plan produced by the Code Manager that contains the information set out in A11.2.~~

Modification Path means the ~~categories~~classification of Modification Proposals ~~that may be raised~~, as outlined in A9.1.

Modification Procedures has the meaning given to it in ~~section 1 ‘Definitions’ of the Code Modification and Maintenance SLC~~Manager SLCs.

Modification Proposal has the meaning given to it in ~~section 1 ‘Definitions’ of the Code Modification and Maintenance SLC~~Manager SLCs.

Modification Suspense Period means:

~~a-a)~~ _____ in relation to a matter within the scope of a Significant Code Review, the period starting on the date the review starts, as specified by the Authority, and ending on the date ~~determined under the Code Modification and Maintenance SLC, as set out in A17.4;~~

~~b-b)~~ _____ in relation to a ~~[matter within the scope of a]~~Proposed Direct Modification, the Consultation Phase ~~[or] the period starting on the date which the Authority publishes a notice under s 193(1) of the Energy Act 2023 and ending on the date on which the Authority publishes a notice of decision under s193(5) of the Energy Act 2023.~~

Pre-Modification Forum means a forum chaired by the Code Manager to discuss Issues.

Prioritisation Category has the meaning given to that term by the Authority Guidance on Code Modification Prioritisation.

Prioritisation Criteria has the meaning given to that term by the Authority Guidance on Code Modification Prioritisation.

Proposer means the owner of an Issue or Modification Proposal throughout the modification process.

Proposed Direct Code Modification ~~-~~means a proposal by the Authority to modify an ~~Industry~~Energy Code pursuant to s.192 of the Energy Act 2023.

-Decision – Final code modification template text

Relevant Code Objectives means the objectives set out in the Licence or [section x] of the ~~Relevant~~relevant Code.

SAF Secretary means the person nominated and appointed by the Code Manager for the purpose of providing secretariat support to the SAF.

Self-Governance Modification means a modification that does not satisfy the criteria to be an Authority-Consent Modification.

Significant Code Review means a review of one or more matters which the Authority considers is likely to: (a) relate to the ~~Relevant~~relevant Code (either on its own or alongside other ~~Industry~~Energy Codes); and (b) be of particular significance in relation to its statutory duties; and for which the Authority has issued a notice specifying scope and start date of the review.

Stakeholder means any individual, group, body, or institution with an interest in the code regardless of whether they are a party to the code or not.

Stakeholder Advisory Forum means the body required by ~~the standard condition 27~~ (Code ~~Modification~~maintenance and ~~Maintenance SLC-~~modification).

Strategic Direction Statement means a statement prepared and published by the Authority under section 190 of the Energy Act 2023 setting out a strategic direction for Designated Documents.

Statutory Consumer Advocate means representatives appointed by Citizens Advice or Consumer Scotland.

Urgent Modification Proposal means a modification that the Code Manager has determined to be urgent, based on the criteria for urgency published by the Authority from time to time.

Workgroup means a group established by the Code Manager to carry out the functions set out in section A13.16.

Workgroup Process means the process set out in A12.