# Consultation on Administration of Mid-Scheme changes to ECO4 and GBIS

This document provides a template for responses to:

* our consultation on the administration of mid-scheme changes to ECO4 and GBIS.
* Summary of Guidance Updates for our proposed administration of the mid-scheme changes.

If you are interested in responding to this consultation or providing comments on the proposed guidance, please complete this word document and send it to [eco@ofgem.gov.uk](mailto:eco@ofgem.gov.uk) by the end of the day on Monday 12 May 2025.
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**Guidance**

We typically publish consultation responses when we publish our decision. To ensure that we can correctly attribute your response, please ensure that you enter all relevant details in the “your organisation’s details” section.

If you would like us to treat your response as being confidential, either in full or in part, please indicate this to us below. Further information on how we will treat your response, data and confidentiality can be found at the end of this document.

Please use the template to provide your responses. All consultation question response templates have two parts:

1. Select your response from the drop down list to indicate if you agree with the proposal within the question. The drop down list can be accessed by clicking on the words ‘click or tap to provide a response’
2. The template also provides space for you to provide your full response to the question.Please respond with as much detail as possible.

Please also use the template to provide feedback on the proposed guidance. There is a table in the ‘Feedback on Proposed Guidance’ section of this document to provide comments on specific parts of the proposed guidance updates. It is important that the relevant guidance document, policy area and paragraph numbers are provided to enable us to identify the relevant paragraphs you comment on.

There is also a section for “general feedback”. Please use this section to provide any views on the overall consultation process.

**Your Organisation’s Details**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Contact name** |  |
| **Role title** |  |
| **Organisation name** |  |
| **Telephone number** |  |
| **Email address** |  |
| **Date of submission** |  |
| **Do you want your response to be treated as confidential?**  **(If yes, please indicate whether you would like the whole of your response to be confidential, or just particular parts)** |  |

**Deliverability**

### Loft and Cavity Wall in the Same GBIS Project

**1.** Do you agree with our proposed administrative approach to multiple insulations under GBIS?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### **Response detail:**

[Add text here]

### Smart Thermostats

**2.** Do you agree with our proposed administrative approach to the notification of smart thermostats on GBIS?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

### ECO4 Projects Counting the Towards GBIS Obligation

**3****.** Do you agree that May/June 2025 is a feasible deadline to be able to provide a realistic plan of what, if any, ECO4 ABS you intend to re-assign to GBIS?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

**4.** Do you agree that suppliers should request the total ABS/ECO4 delivery that they want to be reassigned to GBIS by 31 July 2026?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

**5.** Do you agree with our proposed approach to reporting before and after ABS has been reassigned?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

**Installation Standards**

### [References to PAS 2035/2030:2023](#_Toc193208992)

**6.** Do you agree with our proposed approach to administering references to PAS 2035/2030:2023?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

**Minor ECO4 and GBIS Policy Amendments**

### Shared Ground Loops

**7.** Do you agree with our proposal for administering SGLs as IMs?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

### Rural Area Definition

**8.** Do you agree with our proposal for administering the changeover of the rural datasets?

Response: Click or tap to provide a response

#### Response detail:

[Add text here]

**Feedback on Proposed Guidance**

We welcome any comments on the proposed guidance updates. In particular, we are seeking feedback on the following questions:

1. The overall tone of the guidance - is the proposed guidance easy to read and understand? Are there any sections which could be better explained?
2. Is the proposed guidance clear and easy to use?
3. Are there any sections of the proposed guidance which are ambiguous?

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Guidance document and policy area** | **Section of guidance / paragraph number/s** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Please add any further rows as required |

**General Feedback**

We believe that consultation is at the heart of good policy development. We welcome any comments about how we’ve run this consultation. We’d also like to get your answers to the following questions.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Question** | **Response** |
| Do you have any comments about the overall process of this consultation? |  |
| Do you have any comments about its tone and content? |  |
| Was it easy to read and understand? Or could it have been better written? |  |
| Were its conclusions balanced? |  |
| Did it make reasoned recommendations for improvement? |  |
| Any further comments? |  |

**Your Response, Data and Confidentiality**

You can ask us to keep your response, or parts of your response, confidential. We’ll respect this, subject to obligations to disclose information, for example, under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Environmental Information Regulations 2004, statutory directions, court orders, government regulations or where you give us explicit permission to disclose. If you do want us to keep your response confidential, please clearly mark this on your response and explain why.

If you wish us to keep part of your response confidential, please clearly mark those parts of your response that you *do* wish to be kept confidential and those that you *do not* wish to be kept confidential. Please put the confidential material in a separate appendix to your response. If necessary, we’ll get in touch with you to discuss which parts of the information in your response should be kept confidential, and which can be published. We might ask for reasons why.

If the information you give in your response contains personal data under the General Data Protection Regulation (Regulation (EU) 2016/679) as retained in domestic law following the UK’s withdrawal from the European Union (“UK GDPR”), the Gas and Electricity Markets Authority will be the data controller for the purposes of GDPR. Ofgem uses the information in responses in performing its statutory functions and in accordance with section 105 of the Utilities Act 2000. Please refer to our Privacy Notice on consultations, see Appendix 4.

If you wish to respond confidentially, we’ll keep your response itself confidential, but we will publish the number (but not the names) of confidential responses we receive. We won’t link responses to respondents if we publish a summary of responses, and we will evaluate each response on its own merits without undermining your right to confidentiality.