

**From:**  
**Sent on:** Friday, August 30, 2024 1:19:55 PM  
**To:** RIIOElectricityTransmission <RIIOElectricityTransmission@ofgem.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Consultation Response  
**Attachments:** Energy Sources Top Trumps.pdf (2.44 MB)

Consultation questions

Section 3

Q1. Do you agree with our assessment of the tCSNP2 and the risks that we have identified?

**No**

Section 4

Q2. Do you agree with our proposals for the “Development track”?

No

Q3. Do you agree with our proposals for the “Delivery track”?

**No**

Q4. Do you agree with our proposals for the “Small / Medium Sized Project Delivery track”?

**No**

Section 5

Q5. Do you agree with our categorisation of tCSNP2 projects?

**No**

Q6. Do you agree with our proposed approach for the tCSNP2 asset classification projects?

**No**

Q7. Do you agree with our approach to identifying a project for early competition?

**No**

Section 6

Q8. Do you agree with our approach to identifying a first project for early competition?

**No**

Section 7

Q9. Do you agree with our expectations for the TOs and ESO?

**No**

Section 8

Q10. Do you agree with our proposals to introduce a scope change governance process for onshore transmission projects?

**No. We should not encourage onshore wind turbine projects due to the extremely low energy density of such projects (0.0003kWh/kg renewable energy vs 1kWh/kg for fossil fuels). A 99.97% reduction in energy consumption to meet the low energy density of such a supply is not only unrealistic, but also expensive, using vast amounts of land and materials including critical minerals, which could be put to better use. Please refer to attachment for references.**

Regards,