# ET3 Atypical Non-Load, Non-Lead Interventions EJP Template:

Summary Table:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Title Section** |  |
| **Name of Scheme** | Working title of the Scheme.  *This will be a meaningful name that relates to the investment proposed.* |
| **Investment Driver** | Non-Typical Non-Load, Non-Lead Interventions  *Where there are multiple drivers, licensee should provide the list of works. In particular costs will be disaggregated and aligned to the drivers of works.* |
| **ESO Review** | ESO Reviewed: Yes/No *(delete as applicable)*  ESO Comment: *If applicable.* |
| **BPDT/Scheme Reference Number** | This will include the licensees own referencing system.  *This will provide interaction with other projects which licensees are developing through their portfolio papers*. |
| **Outputs** | Please list all outputs to be delivered. |
| **Cost** | This is the total cost of the preferred option(s)  *This includes all cross-period funding requirements.* |
| **Delivery Year** | The year the scheme will be completed.  *If this is a staged programmed with multiple ACL dates, please provide the list and associated circuits which are commissioned.* |
| **Applicable Reporting Tables** | *Please list all applicable tables which have this scheme, including volumes and costs. Embedded tables allowed.* |
| **Historic Funding interactions** | Pease detail all interactive (either as direct named assets, or as portfolios) funding provided for works in RIIO ET1 and/or RIIO ET2.  Please highlight if there have been any deferrals of works  Please highlight any EAWOs associated with these works. |
| **Interactive Projects** | Please detail any projects which are directly interactive with this investment. This includes existing and future ASTIs, MSIPs, LOTIs.  Where applicable include any project references from the BDPT. |

*We expect the Spend apportionment table below to be merged with the summary table above, but have included separately for accessibility purposes.*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Spend Apportionment (£m)** |  |
| **ET2** |  |
| **ET3** |  |
| **ET4** |  |

1. Introduction (Expected word count- 1500):
   1. *A succinct summary of the detail contained within the main text of the document as listed below.*
   2. *Will address the following as a minimum:*
      1. *Background to Investment*
      2. *Identification of primary driver(s)*
      3. *Timing of investment*
      4. *Uncertainties expected to be experienced.*
      5. *Outputs and delivery year*
2. Background Information
   1. *Narrative (expected word count – 1000)*
   2. *Will include completion of the following tables -* ***where applicable****.*
   3. *On projects which are not interactive with the network, we expect proportionate development of the need case. Appendices may be used for larger volumes of information.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Non-Lead Asset** | **Description** | *Asset 1* |
| **Risk** | Present Condition |  |
| **Risk** | Future Condition |  |
| **Risk** | Present Criticality/Use |  |
| **Risk** | Future Criticality/Use |  |
| **Risk** | Other Health Indicators |  |
| **Intervention/ Optioneering** | Replace |  |
| **Intervention/ Optioneering** | Refurbish |  |
| **Intervention/ Optioneering** | Reposition |  |
| **System Requirements** | Existing Ratings |  |
| **System Requirements** | Future Ratings |  |
| **Interfacing Design** | AIS/GIS |  |
| **Interfacing Design** | Existing Bay/ New Bay |  |
| **Interfacing Design** | New Land/Existing Land |  |

* 1. *Will include completion of the following tables.*
     1. *Asset Health information (for interactive assets only)*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Asset** | **Present health** | **Future health (8 years)** | **Future Health (20 years)** | **Risk** | **Main Health Drivers** | **Comments** |
|  |  |  | **Primary Plant** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Wound Plant** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Routes** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Secondary Plant** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **Civils** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Optioneering
   1. *Narrative (expected word count – 1000 when completing design table, 2000 when not completing design table)*
   2. *Will include the completion of the following table when considering* ***an applicable investment works which are not interactive directly with substations or routes.****(Formatting at the licensees digression).* 
      1. *Cells may reference appendices where drawings and other information is contained.*
      2. *EJP may include further appendices to support the submission, where pertinent and proportional to the funding request.*
      3. *TOs will provide details of any crossings of other infrastructure, for example gas transmission pipelines, when considering new routes.*

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Options** | **Map** | **Layout of Substation/ Connection** | **Relevant Survey Works** | **Narrative Consenting Risks (if applicable)** | **Narrative Preferred Option** | **Narrative Rejection** |
| **Preferred** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Rejected 1** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Rejected 2** |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. Cost
   1. *Narrative supporting cost drivers (expected word count- 1000)*
   2. *Scope overview (no word count)*
2. Deliverability
   1. *Narrative (Expected word count - 1000)*
   2. *Previous funding overview (no word count)*
      1. *This includes any requested funding applicable to assets interactive with the site strategy.*
      2. *This includes any assets recently intervened on the site within the last 2 regulatory periods, including the cost and short scope description.*
   3. *Programme (P6 or MSProject)*
3. Conclusion
   1. *Overview*
   2. *Control Request (if required)*

# Appendices:

* 1. *Relevant drawings*
  2. *Cost breakdown/further information (as required)*
  3. *Other Supporting Information*