



#### ECO 4: 2022-2026; Scoring Consultation Response

Question 1: Do you agree that full project scores should be based on starting and finishing intermediate SAP bands?

No. The minimum requirement is correct but the SAP scores do not reflect the full benefits of a whole house approach in all cases. Its confusing that some measures are not recognised within SAP. It could encourage manipulation of EPC or cherry picking properties. To impact HTT, fuel poor and a whole house approach then an uplift system would be a better incentive.

Question 2: Do you agree that scores should be segregated into four floor area segments?

Yes, the bigger the floor area the more material is required so the difference in scores should reflect that.

Question 3: Do you agree with the methodology used to determine the full project scores?

No - Added complication when multiple installers are required for a whole house approach.

Question 4: Are you aware of any further advantages or disadvantages in respect of the options presented to determine the finishing SAP band?

Added confusion when some measures are not recognised in SAP. POPT per measures could be difficult to divide up funding between multiple installers.

Question 5: What are your views on the advantages and disadvantages identified?

We feel that this does not support multiple installers working on the same property. That is a requirement to allow small business to partake in the scheme and to maintain quality standards of measures installed.

Question 6: Do you agree with the proposal to use pre-calculated deemed partial project scores based on the floor area, and starting intermediate SAP band?

No. Added confusion because these are measure specific and the full score is not. If final score was split my measure then it would work.

Question 7: Do you agree with the process used to develop the partial project scores?

Hard to answer when we have not seen the final partial scores

Question 8: Do you agree with the use of a single fixed correction factor to account for interactions between measures?

to complicated to explain to installer network

Question 9: Do you agree with the use of the actual percentage of property treated to determine the partial project score for a measure?

Yes, however further information needed on the impact to the FPS. More information required for POPT

Question 10: Do you agree with our proposal to calculate the innovation measure uplift by using the partial project score for the innovation measure?

yes

Question 11: Do you agree with our proposal to have two routes for new measures to enter the ECO4 scheme – a standard alternative methodology route and a new “data light” route?

yes

Question 12: Do you agree with our proposed evidence requirements for the data light route? If not, please inform us of your preferred requirements.

yes

Question 13: Do you think we should have additional mechanisms, such as a review stage or an open call for evidence, to account for the inherent risk associated with data light scores?

no