

Strategic Innovation Fund (SIF) 2021 Round 1 Innovation Challenges – Discovery Phase

Expert Assessors' Recommendations Report (unsuccessful projects redacted)



Contents

1. Introduction	5
2. Innovation Challenge Requirements	6
3. Assessment Process	7
3.1 Expert Assessors	7
3.2 Meeting the SIF Eligibility Criteria	8
4. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Whole System Integration	11
4.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Whole System Integration – Scope	11
4.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Whole System Integration – Proposals	12
4.3 Evaluation of Whole Systems Integration submissions	13
4.3.1 10020383, Network-DC, Initial Net Funding Required £142,288	13
4.3.2 10023216, Green Hydrogen Injection into the NTS, Initial Net Funding Required £114,652	16
4.3.3 10023632, HyNTS Compression, Initial Net Funding Required £146,659	19
4.3.4 10024392, Nuclear Net-Zero Opportunities (N-NZO), Initial Net Funding Required £107,494	22
4.3.5 10024879, INCENTIVE - Innovative Control and Energy Storage for Ancillary Services in Offsher Wind, Initial Net Funding Required £121,002	ore 25
4.3.6 [REDACTED]	28
4.3.7 10025653, Asset Reuse and Recovery Collaboration (ARRC), Initial Net Funding Required £75,9	963 28
4.3.8 10025660, Fast Flex, Initial Net Funding Required £112,221	30
4.3.9 10027180, Crowdflex: Discovery, Initial Net Funding Required £70,057	32
4.3.10 [REDACTED]	35
4.3.11 10027292, Excess gas turbine energy generation, Initial Net Funding Required £134,161	35
4.3.12 10027503, SEGIL - Sustainable Electrical Gas Insulated Lines, Initial Net Funding Requi £133,814	red 37
4.3.13 [REDACTED]	40
4.3.14 [REDACTED]	40
4.3.15 10027601, SCADENT - SuperConductor Applications for Dense Energy Transmission, Initial I Funding Required £148,437	Vet 40
4.3.16 [REDACTED]	43
5. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Data and Digitalisation	44
5.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Data and Digitalisation – Scope	44
5.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Data and Digitalisation – Proposals	45
5.3 Evaluation of Data and Digitalisation submissions	46
5.3.1 10020514, NIMBUS - Network Innovation and Meteorology to BUild for Sustainability, Initial I Funding Required £148,476	Net 46
5.3.2 10020620, Gas Networks Interoperable Digital Twin, Initial Net Funding Required £78,779	48
5.3.3 10020622, HyNTS Pipeline DataSet, Initial Net Funding Required £95,571	51
5.3.4 10021808, Gas Analyser Systems for Hydrogen Blends, Initial Net Funding Required £113,414.	53
5.3.5 10022352, Hydrogen Metering, Initial Net Funding Required £86,378	56
5.3.6 [REDACTED]	58
5.3.7 10025639, Digi-GIFT, Initial Net Funding Required £136,236	58
5.3.8 10025651, EN-twin-e, Initial Net Funding Required £143,480	61
5.3.9 10025656, Predict4Resilience, Initial Net Funding Required £109,401	63

5.3.10 10025731, Digital Twins: Exploring the commercial, societal and operational benefits on hydrogen projects, Initial Net Funding Required £124,265	green 65
5.3.11 10026595, Virtual Energy System, Initial Net Funding Required £149,921	68
5.3.12 10027059, Digital Twin - Exploring the societal, operational, and cross industry whole subserve benefits on the Gas Distribution Network, Initial Net Funding Required £119,127	system 71
5.3.13 [REDACTED]	75
5.3.14 [REDACTED]	75
5.3.15 10027183, Intelligent Gas Grid, Initial Net Funding Required £116,401	75
5.3.16 [REDACTED]	77
5.3.17 10027191, Predictive Safety Interventions, Initial Net Funding Required £58,729	77
5.3.18 10027276, Thermal imagery analysis - Condition assessment fluid and pressure, Initial Net F Required £78,182	unding 80
5.3.20 10027307, CEV: Critical factors for the adoption of smart homes for energy efficience implications for consumers and providers, Initial Net Funding Required £55,395	cy and 83
5.3.21 [REDACTED]	86
5.3.22 10027572, Digital Platform for Leakage Analytics, Initial Net Funding Required £114,576	86
5.3.23 10027585, Eye in the Sky - Utilising satellite data to improve grid resilience in emergency Net Funding Required £119,105	, Initial 89
6. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Heat	92
6.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Heat – Scope	92
6.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Heat – Proposals	92
6.3 Evaluation of Heat submissions	93
6.3.1 10020609, Ch4rge - Emissions Capture, Initial Net Funding Required £144,782	93
6.3.2 10022648, Hydrogen Barrier Coatings for Gas Network Assets, £74,706	95
6.3.3 10025661, Flexible Heat, Initial Net Funding Required £137,858	98
6.3.4 10025662, HEAT BALANCE, Initial Net Funding Required £125,695	100
6.3.5 10027185, Velocity Design with Hydrogen, Initial Net Funding Required £55,542	102
6.3.6 [REDACTED]	105
7. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Zero Emission Transport	106
7.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Zero Emission Transport – Scope	106
7.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Zero Emission Transport – Proposals	106
7.3 Evaluation of Zero Emission Transport Submissions	107
7.3.1 10020605, HyNTS Deblending, Initial Net Funding Required £148,141	107
7.3.2 10025479, Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green M Initial Net Funding Required £118,780	lobility, 110
7.3.3 10025738, A Holistic Hydrogen Approach to Heavy Duty Transport (H2H), Initial Net F Required £108,238	unding 111
7.3.4 10026963, HyPark, Initial Net Funding Required £150,000	114
7.3.5 [REDACTED]	116
7.3.6 10027293, Multimodal Hydrogen Transport Refuelling Study, Initial Net Funding Required \pounds	89,445 116
7.3.7 [REDACTED]	119
7.3.8 [REDACTED]	119
7.3.9 10027315, Rail Decarbonisation Planning, Initial Net Funding Required £113,594	119
7.3.10 [REDACTED]	121
7.3.11 10027575, NAVIGATION, Initial Net Funding Required £149,724	121

8. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase portfolio Gas Projects - Summary	125
8.1 Gas Projects recommended for SIF Funding	125
8.2 Gas Projects not recommended for SIF Funding	125
9. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase Portfolio Electricity Projects - Summary	126
9.1 Electricity Projects recommended for SIF Funding	126
9.2 Electricity Projects not Recommended for funding	126
10. Analysis of Recommended Portfolio	127
11. Recommendation of Expert Assessor panel	133
11. Closing remarks	134

1. Introduction

Innovation will play a crucial role in delivering best value to energy consumers. Innovation will prepare the regulated energy network companies to deliver Net-Zero greenhouse gas emissions at lowest cost to consumers, while maintaining world-class levels of system reliability and customer service, and ensuring no consumer is left behind.

The Strategic Innovation Fund (SIF) has been introduced within the RIIO-2 price control for the Electricity System Operator, Electricity Transmission, Gas Transmission and Gas Distribution sectors and is open to the following network licence holders:

- Scottish Hydro Electric (SHE) Transmission Plc
- SP Transmission Plc (SPT)
- National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc (NGET)
- National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited (NGESO)
- National Grid Gas Plc (NGGT)
- Scotland Gas Networks Plc and Southern Gas Networks Plc (SGN)
- Northern Gas Networks Limited (NGN)
- Cadent Gas Limited (Cadent)
- Wales & West Utilities Limited (WWU)

The SIF seeks to support energy network innovation that contributes to the achievement of Net-Zero, while delivering real net benefits to network consumers. It is delivered in partnership with Innovate UK (part of UKRI), who will work with other funders of innovation so that activities appropriately funded by network consumers are coordinated with activities delivered through other funding providers. Specifically, Ofgem has appointed Innovate UK as an innovation partner in respect of the end to end process of delivering the SIF programme, including designing and running the competitive funding calls that generate the Project ideas to be funded and delivery of a transparent, consistent and proportionate assessment process (as detailed in section 3) of the ideas against the SIF Eligibility Criteria, leading to the preparation of this Recommendation Report.

All projects must meet the requirements of the SIF Governance Document.

In the published version of this report, some data presented will be redacted to protect the interests of projects that are not recommended for funding. For example, publication of unfunded proposals may prevent applicants from advancing their ideas independently and may threaten innovator's intellectual property rights. Unsuccessful applicants in this round of SIF may be able to resubmit their Project idea in future rounds of SIF.

2. Innovation Challenge Requirements

4 Innovation Challenges were launched in August 2021, namely, Whole system integration, Data and digitalisation, Heat, and Zero emission transport. The Challenges were opened to Discovery Phase Applications on 31st August 2021.

The Innovation Challenges were developed in collaboration with the networks and key stakeholders in network innovation and are based on a strategic need to achieve the multi-vector transition to Net-Zero across power, heat and transport. The Application process was designed, managed and delivered by Innovate UK using their <u>Innovation Funding Service</u>¹ (IFS) platform. Each of the eligible networks were required to submit their Project proposal by responding to 10 Application questions in IFS to demonstrate how their proposal met the overall SIF criteria as set out in Box 1, as well as the Innovation Challenge specific scope criteria as detailed in sections 4.1, 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1.

Box 1

The aims of the Innovation Challenges are to:

- decarbonise gas and electric energy distribution and transmission networks and benefit the consumer
- improve coordination between networks and other system participants
- reduce duplication and excessive variation of products, processes, or services
- reduce complexity, bureaucracy, and barriers to entry
- improve coordination of emerging innovations across networks, generators, market participants, investors, local & national policy makers, consumers, and other key stakeholders
- understand consumers' preferences to inform future market designs which will help to optimise across networks and infrastructures

Projects submitted to the funding calls must address the overarching requirements of the Strategic Innovation Fund (SIF), as stipulated in the SIF Governance Document. Proposals must focus on network innovation that can be deployed or applied to benefit GB energy networks' infrastructure, network consumers, operation, and utilisation, and take a novel approach to infrastructure investment.

Applicants must demonstrate that projects deliver a net benefit to network consumers through:

- energy bill cost reductions
- carbon emission reduction
- access to revenues for users of energy network services; and
- introduction of products, process and services that are new to the GB energy market

Projects must address:

- users and their context
- constraints affecting the problem or wider context
- opportunities for improvement
- environmental impacts

Project must start by 1 March 2022, end by 30 April 2022 and not request funding of more than £150,000, exclusive of VAT.

¹ The Innovation Funding Service is Innovate UK's web service used by networks to submit applications, and by Innovate UK to manage reporting and project delivery.

3. Assessment Process

For the Discovery Phase there is a maximum of 5 stages to assess eligible submitted Applications:

- <u>Initial sift</u> completed by Innovate UK to confirm whether or not the Application complies with the funding call's (Innovation Challenge) requirements (detailed in the relevant sections of 4.1, 5.1, 6.1 and 7.1).
- <u>Expert Assessor evaluation</u> Questions 2-9 of each assessed Application and the accompanying appendices (within the word count) is assessed by a minimum of five Expert Assessors. The mean score from all Expert Assessors is presented per Application question, per Project, within the Recommendation Report (this document) along with any comments made and a discussion of any outlier scores. This forms part of a qualitative assessment of how the Project meets the Eligibility Criteria set out in the SIF Governance Document, the methodology of which is detailed in section 3.2. Additionally, each Expert Assessor indicates whether they recommend that the Discovery Phase Project be funded or not.
- <u>Expert Assessors' overall recommendation</u> This is made based on the count of recommended scores by the Expert Assessors, the average score achieved, and any outstanding feedback which highlights a serious risk or opportunity to funding a proposal. Typically, assessments which have a strong majority of Expert Assessors supporting it for funding will be recommended. The mean Expert Assessor scores achieved will also be taken into account and any specific feedback which make a strong and substantiated case for why the proposal should, or should not, be funded. Within the Recommendation Report (this document), a summary of the justification for the recommendation will be presented per Project along with any recommendations for any Project Project specific conditions to be imposed in the Project Direction. Sections 8 and 9 summarise which projects have and have not been recommended for funding in the 2021 round of Application.
- <u>Clarification questions and discretionary interview</u> Ofgem, IUK and expert assessors reserve right to raise clarification questions about a Project or hold an online panel interview with applicants to aid evaluation against the Eligibility Criteria. If this is required, the results will be included as an Annex to the Recommendation Report.
- <u>Recommended Project Project specific conditions</u> These are areas which assessors indicated that their recommendation for funding was requisite on information clarifications, slight adjustments to scope, or other extenuating circumstances. In many cases these conditions have been offered as ways of strengthening the proposal and project outcomes. They do not necessarily reflect a weakness in the application and should be viewed as opportunities to improve outcomes and the likelihood of success in future Phases.
- <u>Final decision</u> is made by Ofgem after considering the Recommendation Report (this document).

3.1 Expert Assessors

Innovate UK has appointed Expert Assessors who collectively have knowledge, expertise and are able to demonstrate capability in more than one of the following areas: energy sector, energy network, energy regulatory and policy, challenge focused technical and engineering, cross-sectors, financial and commercial. Capabilities are verified through the provision of professional CVs which have been independently assessed by 3 representatives from the Innovate UK SIF Team as meeting the minimum required standards to be considered as an Expert Assessor.

Each Expert Assessor has undergone training on the SIF assessment process to ensure quality and consistency of the delivery of the assessment process. All Expert Assessors have confirmed that they have no conflicts of interest for each Application they have assessed. Expert Assessors are assigned to Applications for which they have the most relevant experience.

3.2 Meeting the SIF Eligibility Criteria

As required by the SIF Governance document, projects submitted must meet the Eligibility Criteria in order to receive SIF Funding. There are 8 specific Eligibility Criteria that the projects must evidence in their Applications and the assessors check the Applications for compatibility with the criteria. The overall funding recommendation presented in this report is based upon a balance of considerations taking in to account whether a Project has meet each of the SIF Eligibility Criteria, the mean score achieved for each of the Application questions, and consideration of other comments made by the Expert Assessors.

The following table outlines how the IFS Application (competition) questions and process corelate to the 8 Eligibility Criteria and how the requirements are met through the assessment process. Excerpts of the relevant competition questions are referenced. The full Application questions are available on the <u>Ofgem website</u>.

It should be noted that two of the SIF Elligibility Criterion are assessed against applicants response to a single question, the Project Summary. These are;

- Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &,
- Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.

This is indicated in the application feedback summary tables outlined in this document, ahead of the Project Summary feeback for each application.

SIF Eligibility	Discovery Round 1 Application	Assessment for meeting	
Criterion	requirement	requirement	
1. Projects must	rojects must Question 4. The big idea Mean Expert Asse		
address the Innovation	How does the networks proposal	or more	
Challenge set by	address the aims described in the	The proposed Project meets the	
Ofgem.	competition scope?	scope of the competition.	
2. Projects must have	Question 6. Impacts and benefits	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5	
clearly identified	How will the networks idea deliver	<u>or more</u>	
potential to deliver a	benefits to consumers?	The applicant has given some	
net benefit to gas or		description of benefits supported	
electricity consumers		by referenced evidence, prior	
(whomever is paying		learning, and/or a credible	
for the innovation).		explanation of how benefits might	
		be realised.	
3. Projects must involve	Scope	IUK initial sift	
network innovation.	The networks proposal must:	Application focuses on network	
	focus on network innovation that	innovation.	
	can be deployed or applied to		
	benefit GB energy networks'		
	infrastructure, network		
	consumers, operation, and		
	utilisation		
	Question 3. Project summary		

	The networks are to provide a	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5
	As well as describe or explain:	The proposed Project meets the
	how it meets the scope of the	scope of the competition.
	competition	
4. Projects must not	Question 8. Route to market	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5
undermine the	If the networks idea becomes	<u>or more</u>
development of	viable after the Beta Phase of the	The route to business as usual
competitive markets.	Project, they must describe how	deployment is described but the
	they will enable procurement and	value proposition to networks or
	utilisation of the innovation across	other key stakeholders is unclear.
	the UK and internationally?	how profit productivity or growth
		increases may be achieved at
		some point and the impact on
		competitive markets.
5. Projects must be	Question 5. Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5
innovative, novel and/or	The networks must clearly	<u>or more</u>
risky.	demonstrate how their idea is	The applicant has presented a
	truly innovative and should not be	reasonable, but incomplete
	funded elsewhere within the price	knowledge of similar innovations.
	business-as-usual activities	most appropriate avenue for
		funding has been given.
6. Projects must	Who can apply?	IUK initial sift
include participation	To lead a Project, the applicant	The Application meet the
from a range of	must:	partnership/ collaboration
stakeholders.	Partner with at least one/ work	requirements of the competition.
	with (requirements vary	
	between innovation Challenges)	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5
	Question 3. Project summary	or more
	The network must provide a short	The proposed Project meets the
	summary of their Project,	scope of the competition.
	including	
	• the experience and capability of	
	each Project Partner or	
	of the Project	
	• and why each Project Partner is	
	best placed to develop the idea	
	further	
7. Projects must	Question 9. Costs and value for	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5
provide value for	money	or more
money and be costed	How much will the Project cost	The Project costs seem ok but
competitively.	and how does it represent value	the justifications are not clear.
		Project Partners and assets is
		acceptable. Little information is
		offered about alternative
		approaches and the value for
		money this Project offers.
8. Projects must be well	Question 7. Project plan and	Mean Expert Assessor score of 5
thought through and	milestones	or more

have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	 What is the networks Project plan? What are their milestones? An outline of Project approach must: describe the main work packages of the Project for Phase 1, indicating the lead resource or subcontractor (where appropriate) assigned to each plus the relevant success criteria 	All elements of the answer have been completed but some lack clarity. The plan, milestones, and risk register can mostly be followed but are inconsistent or unclear.
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

4. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Whole System Integration

Achieving a Net-Zero economy by 2050 is a system transformation challenge consequent upon Government's Net-Zero strategy. As recently highlighted by the Council for Science and Technology, clearer understanding of the entirety of the system will enable the identification of multiple intervention points required to achieve this goal.

The energy system is made up of a complex range of activity across networks, markets, supply, and demand. A range of organisations play crucial roles in managing different parts of this system. Working across traditional boundaries can create opportunities for better integration of services to consumers, who typically experience the system as a whole. Innovative whole system solutions are required to optimise the system, reducing costs whilst enhancing the experience of consumers.

Taking a whole system approach to innovation means considering the full range of opportunities, risks, and interdependencies that exist across the full energy system to integrate and optimise them in a way that best serves the consumer. This can deliver greater benefits around cost, emissions, and services to consumers, whilst also maximising economic growth.

Networks can maximise outcomes by working collaboratively with each other and with a wide variety of system stakeholders to develop innovations that supports whole system approaches across energy supply, demand, markets and networks. Working in this way will enable new products, services, and processes to emerge and ensure satisfaction for a wide range of consumers.

This section covers the requirements and assessment of eligible Applications received into the <u>Whole System Integration</u> Innovation Challenge.

4.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Whole System Integration – Scope

Project scope was described in the <u>Innovation Challenge brief</u> for the Whole System Integration Challenge as;

"To lead a Project, applicants must:

- be a licenced: gas distribution network, electricity/ gas transmission network operator, or electricity system operator
- work with at least one other energy network licensee holding a different category of network licence, for example: a gas transporter, electricity system operator, electricity transmission, electricity distribution or other energy network licensed company
- work with at least one generator, energy supplier, or consumer group.

Applications should consider all the points listed here, but as a minimum must directly address at least two as the primary focus of the proposed Project:

- current and future needs for energy provision for heat, power, and transport
- coordinating energy transmission, distribution and system operation across gas and electricity
- complementary and competing priorities between local, national, and international energy systems
- evaluating the costs and opportunities of repurposing or decommissioning existing infrastructure or assets
- assessment of the costs of potential energy demand reduction activities against alternative interventions

- utilisation of data and development of new approaches which harness greater value from data across organisations
- future policy and regulatory conditions as well as market designs, which support whole systems approaches for example integrated network planning and whole system operation

Projects should also consider as a primary focus, novel approaches to infrastructure investment, such as:

- maximising efficiency in large-scale network and system investments by taking a systems view across generation and demand side changes linked to decarbonisation
- coordinating approaches to siting assets to deliver more efficient capital investment on the system
- determining the economic investment required for network resilience and reliability through and beyond the transition."

4.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Whole System Integration – Proposals

19 proposals were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 11am 17th November 2021, of which 15 were deemed eligible for the Whole System Integration Innovation Challenge as per the scope outlined in section 4.1. The remaining 4 Applications were deemed ineligible for the following reason: projects not led by an eligible licensed distribution or transmission network. All projects submitted by an eligible licensed distribution or transmission network have been assessed by the Expert Assessors and are listed below.

Project ref	Project name	Funding licensee	Total eligible	Total Project contribution	Total SIF Funding
			costs (£)	(£)	requested (£)
10020383	Network-DC	SHE	150,588	8,300	142,288
	Green Hydrogen				
	Injection into the				
10023216	NTS	NGGT	114,652	0	114,652
	HyNTS				
10023632	Compression	NGGT	155,332	8,673	146,659
	Nuclear Net-Zero				
	Opportunities (N-				
10024392	NZO)	NGGT	116,430	8,936	107,494
	INCENTIVE -				
	Innovative Control				
	and Energy				
	Storage for				
	Ancillary Services				
10024879	in Offshore Wind	SHE	136,002	15,000	121,002
[REDACTED]					
	Asset Reuse and				
	Recovery				
	Collaboration				
10025653	(ARRC)	SPT	99,279	23,316	75,963
10025660	Fast Flex	SPT	129,907	17,686	112,221
	Crowdflex:				
10027180	Discovery	NGESO	206,830	136,773	70,057
[REDACTED]					

10027292	Excess gas turbine energy generation	NGN	141,902	7,741	134,161
10027502	SEGIL - Sustainable Electrical Gas	NOFT	122 014	0	122 014
10027503	Insulated Lines	NGET	133,814	0	133,814
[REDACTED]					
[REDACTED]					
	SCADENT - SuperConductor Applications for Dense Energy				
10027601	Transmission	NGET	148,440	0	148,437

4.3 Evaluation of Whole Systems Integration submissions

4.3.1 10020383, Network-DC, Initial Net Funding Required £142,288

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Plc	£124,300.01	£0.00	£124,300.01
National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited	£4,910.00	£0.00	£4,910.00
Renewable UK Association	£3,750.00	£3,750.00	£0.00
The Carbon Trust	£4,200.00	£0.00	£4,200.00
Transmission Investment Services Limited	£4,800.00	£0.00	£4,800.00
National Grid Interconnector Holdings Limited	£4,550.00	£4,550.00	£0.00
The University of Edinburgh	£4,077.86	£0.00	£4,077.86
Submitted Project description			

To combat climate change, the UK needs clean energy. The UK is very well positioned to generate clean electricity because our coasts provide a large potential for offshore wind. The UK currently has an installed offshore wind capacity of 12GW and is targeting increasing the total capacity to 40GW by 2030. Given the scale of the developments proposed and their increasing distance from the onshore grid, the most efficient option is to connect these to the network using Direct Current (DC) cables. The electricity used by the consumer is alternating current (AC) and there is a need to convert the DC to AC at a convertor station, usually positioned on the coast and connected point-to-point to the wind farm via an offshore cable. The current method of connect is to connect each wind farm to an AC convertor station with an AC circuit breaker to protect the electricity grid from faults. However, as the number of wind farms increases, the number of AC convertor stations also increases in a point-to-point system. This has impacts on coastal communities through ever increasing number of convertor stations and cables. It is also costly to install and maintain many convertor stations, which will increase the cost of electricity to consumers.

The big idea is to create DC networks that can connect multiple wind farms into a DC substation, that then can connect to fewer convertor stations. This will reduce the impact on coastal communities, reduce costs and has the potential to deliver lower cost wind energy to consumers. It will also help us open new areas for developing windfarms. To do this we need to

and European market. DCCB will allow us to bring multiple windfarms into a DC system, containing the impact of any single failure safely and securely. We will need to develop and test these DCCBs before we can develop a DC network. This Project will test and prove the use of DC breakers so that we can implement our big idea of DC networks that can deliver safe.				
reliable, and cost-effective energy to the consumer.				
Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.4				
Assessors acknowledged that the Problem has been well articulated. There is a good case made				
for significant carbon and cost savings to be achieved. Overall the use of DC networks to				
improve the efficiency of integrating offshore wind in to the electricity system at scale was				
viewed to have the potential to deliver considerable benefits.				
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.				
The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' Score 7.0				
The Project has been assessed and complies with the Whole System Integration challenge				
requirements. The idea has been viewed as being ambitious with potential for considerable				
positive outcomes. Assessors observed that there has been similar work carried out in previous				
years considering the use of DC Circuit Breakers. The Project could deliver good additionality to				
This work by leading coordinating activities between the relevant industry Project Partners.				
market. Consideration of IP access, and (in later phases) equipment design with manufacturers				
should be made				
Eligibility Criterion 2 Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit				
to gas or electricity consumers				
Impacts & Benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.4				
The Application gives reasonable justification of achieving considerable cost savings by avoided				
investment in network assets to facilitate connecting offshore wind farms. A reasonable				
qualitative justification was given that this will achieve environmental benefits by improving the				
economic case for a low carbon electricity generation source. Higher scores may have been				
achieved with an estimation of the job creation, regional service, and improved resilience				
benefits. A fuller economic analysis of benefits should be developed in later phases, but this				
should draw from previous work conducted by industry rather than duplicating existing work.				
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &				
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.				
Project Summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0				
I here is appreciable network innovation involved in the Project with regards to the focus on DC				
transmission notwork. A diverse stakeholder team is described with linkages to the Project				
workplan. There has been some concerns raised that the Project should have representation				
from DC Circuit Breaker (DCCB) equipment manufacturers A Partner from this industry should				
be brought into the Project in later stages to focus of the specification and design of equipment.				
The representation of Project Partners and stakeholders is however reasonable for the delivery				
of the Discovery Phase.				
The Project summary is very good. It could have better articulated the needs of the full range of				
users and should avoid duplicating past work carried out by NGET, the ESO, Ofgem and in other				
industry reports.				
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive				
markets				
Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4				
The route to market including regulatory and standards changes are reasonably well explained.				
i ne dissemination of information and learning, and now this will be incorporated into BAU is also				
developments. Some further information on the future investment is needed, particularly for third				

party suppliers it would be beneficial to better establish the value of and route to the market. The
development of standards and specifications for DCCB appears to be valuable. No assessors
raised concerns regarding the Project undermining competitive markets.Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.Innovation justificationMean Expert Assessors Score7.4Applicants intent is high risk for a risk adverse industry, with potential for substantial UK enduser, government, industry and environmental gains. Any strategic necessity for a European

hardware source is not referenced, and some further description of how the learnings from parallel international studies would be incorporated in to the Project could have been given.

The existing supply chain will not respond unless there is clear support and this Project could provide a way forward. Whilst there is focus on UK market requirements exploitation should be global.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.Cost & value for moneyMean Expert Assessors' score6.8

The Project team is appropriate for task and available timescale. There is good transparency on key staff and associated costs. Considering the potential considerable benefits of the Project, this appears to offer good value for money. More information could be provided to justify the full costs outside of the main subcontractor. A counter factual presenting the opportunity cost of not doing the Project, or delivering alternative options would have improved the assessment of value for money. There has been an additional contribution to the Project outside of the core SIF costs. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.

Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score

essors' score 7.6

The Project plan is realistic and well explained. Clear milestones have been identified and the delivery is clear. The risks are appropriate for this Project and the mitigations are appropriate and ongoing. The responsibilities are identified at a high level. The Project is likely to deliver based on this planning.

It was noted by assessors that the time allocated to the production of a Vendor Specification looks ambitious, and should be managed closely. In general, given the size of the Project team, extremely effective Project management will be required to coordinate the work and keep to programme.

Regulatory barriersYes/ NoNoNo immediate regulatory barriers have been identified which are going to block the progress of
the Discovery Phase of this Project. Longer term, there is work ongoing that may relate to this
including energy network planning reviews, and operational requirements of Offshore
Transmission Operators (OFTOs). Dissemination of learning and up to date knowledge of these
pieces of work should be shown by the Project team.

Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

FUND

Overall assessors have viewed this Application positively. It is viewed as an important area of focus which merits consideration. The Problem is well described and there are considerable economic, environmental and cost benefits that could be achieved through successful delivery. The approach to planning and delivery is good.

The main concern highlighted is that this should build on previous work nationally and internationally. There needs to be some refinement of the core focus of the Project to progress into the Alpha Phase, for instance whether a technical design for a DC interrupter or economic benefits of a Direct Current Circuit Breaker implementation as part of a DC mesh network is the target outcome. It has been viewed that the greatest benefit could be achieved by coordinating common specifications, developing standards, and creating a market for these solutions. Equipment manufacturers should be brought in to contribute directly in the Project in future phases.

This is a valuable Project to pursue, which is appropriate to be funded through the SIF and which is not covered by other existing regulatory funding mechanisms or programmes. It does however need to explain in fuller detail how the outcomes will ultimately transition directly to a BAU rollout, rather than simply require further ongoing investigation beyond the Beta Phase.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must set out a clear plan of engagement for Alpha Phase with one or more DC Circuit Breaker equipment manufacturers. Additionally, the Funding Party must also evidence, in its end of Project Phase report, consideration for the role of a DC Circuit Breaker equipment manufacturer in the Project.

Condition 5

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must document a refined Project focus, showcasing how the Project will build on previous work completed internationally and nationally and what the Project's target outcome is.

4.3.2 10023216, Green Hydrogen Injection into the NTS, Initial Net Funding Required £114,652

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Gas Plc	£12,230.00	£0.00	£12,230.00
CNG Services Ltd	£48,123.82	£0.00	£48,123.82
Element Energy Ltd	£45,327.50	£0.00	£45,327.50
Centrica Plc	£4,800.00	£0.00	£4,800.00
Scottish and Southern Energy Power	£4,170.00	£0.00	£4,170.00
Distribution Limited			
Scottish and Southern Energy Power Distribution Limited	£4,170.00	£0.00	£4,170.00

Submitted Project description

This Project aims to establish a technical regime for injection of Green Hydrogen (made by electrolysis using renewable electricity) into the National Transmission System (NTS), displacing fossil gas. This process is a key 'whole system' development that reduces carbon emissions and helps on the journey to Net-Zero.

At present, there is no regime for injection of Hydrogen because of the specification allowed by Gas Safety Management Regulation (0.1%). Blending Hydrogen into the NTS avoids issues associated with calorific value that apply for Hydrogen injected into the gas distribution network

The team consists of CNG Services, National Grid Gas (NGG), Element Energy, Centrica and Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks (SSEN). The Project Partners have a wealth of experience relevant to Hydrogen injection into the NTS and the Project will be focused on three key workstreams:

1. To establish a technical regime for green Hydrogen injection into the NTS. This builds upon experience gained from the biomethane industry including the EMIB (Energy

Market Issues for Biomethane Projects) deliverables from 2012 and the Somerset Farm Biomethane NTS Project as part of NGG's CLoCC (Customer Low Cost Connection Innovation) Project.

2. Whole system integration- Develop models of potential system configurations, including RES-H2 (where RES is wind, solar and batteries) and Grid-H2. The Project will review an NTS feeder close to the SSEN electricity transmission grid in Scotland to establish scenarios of constrained and abundant electricity being converted into Hydrogen and blended in the NTS.

3. Review of the economics of green Hydrogen production and injection into the NTS to inform the development of appropriate financial incentives. The team will utilise their experience of modelling the costs of hydrogen production via electrolysis using electricity from directly connected renewables, as well as systems using grid electricity, including the availability of curtailed wind.

The initial pilot should help establish the technical regime and give confidence to the HSE and stakeholders that blending Green Hydrogen into the NTS is both feasible and deliverable. The Project will stimulate growth, so that further projects can be installed as business as usual, and will support balancing green Hydrogen, injected to supply a number of "difficult to electrify" industrial customers.

 Once a number of projects are up and running, the whole system benefit will be realised, improving utilisation of excess electricity resulting from the successful offshore wind programme.

 Problem and opportunity
 Mean Expert Assessors' score
 8.4

 The Problem relating to the lack of a technical regime for green Hydrogen injection into the NTS has been clearly articulated. The importance of the challenge and the positive outcomes that could be achieved by addressing it have been summarised concisely, and include meeting government net-zero targets and serving "hard-to-electrify" industrial consumers.

With regard to hydrogen innovation projects, the technical developments and innovations need to fit in to an over-arching whole system framework. This Project addresses the need for such a framework and the proposition here could be a critical piece of work. The applicants could have better articulated the end user benefits that might be realised

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' Score7.2

Broadly, Assessors consider that this idea is well described and aligns with the competition scope. It is clear that it involves network innovation and could lead to increased green hydrogen blends in the gas network. Additionally, it was viewed that this could help to inform other future hydrogen network decisions.

Some assessors noted that the applicant has described the expected outcomes and their benefit to supply-side organisations, but that the value to end-users/consumers has not been well defined. And additionally, others recognised that it could have been more ambitious given the capabilities of the Project consortia.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & BenefitsMean Expert Assessors' score6.6The applicant has clearly explained a range of potential benefits of blending H2 into the NTS.
The applicant has considered a good range of positive impacts focussed primarily on carbon
savings. The proposal focuses on the benefits at this system level and could do a better job of
articulating the benefits to end consumers. Furthermore, although the proposed benefits are
considerable there needs to be consideration of how a successful Project would further progress
to full decarbonisation of the gas grid, in order to make the solution commensurate with Net-Zero

national and local policies. The financial benefits (or disbenefits) realised to the end user are lacking and should be improved upon if successful.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.8

The Project has been clearly explained based on 3 well-described workstreams and is clearly focussed on network innovation, namely injection of green hydrogen in to the gas transmission network. A wide range of suitable stakeholders have been described, including how they will interface with the Project. The skills appendix and video provide a clear explanation of the role each Project Partner would play in the wider Project and the Project summary and postcard outline the expected short and longer-term outcomes of the follow-on phases of the proposed Project. There were some questions raised about the timing of the Project, and whether delivering the Project now was in line with the evidence needs for BEIS and Ofgem policy decisions.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6

The applicant has provided a clear description of the approach to ensuring the proposed solution becomes business as usual within their network and across the other networks. Route to market is well considered, with a vision for the progression through Alpha and Beta Phase Projects and pull through to implementation in the gas grid. Downstream benefits for various stakeholders are described, including informing future BEIS/ Ofgem considerations which mitigates any risks to the disruption of competitive markets, as they will be the gatekeepers to policy decisions.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors Score Innovation iustification

7.2

Assessors had split opinions on how innovative the Project was in comparison to past projects conducted in the UK and Germany. It was assessed that this was a significant Problem that needs addressing but could have been made more ambitious and far-reaching.

However, the applicant's had sufficiently summarised why the Project is suitable for SIF funding, although this could have been done in a more compelling way rather than mainly focussing on the reasons it cannot be considered a business-as-usual activity within the price control framework. The Project could look at addressing some of the other issues to H2 deployment at scale in conjunction with injection, to take a more innovative whole systems perspective.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for monev Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.2

The estimated costs were assessed to be appropriate for the work described by all assessors. The applicant has outlined concessions Project Partners have offered in terms of rates and the potential value to the environment and government targets as value for money arguments. Some assessors observed the response would have been strengthened with an indication of the value to consumers (such as energy bill reductions), and more granular breakdowns of cost profiles.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2

Most assessors have indicated that the Project plan is well set out with a clear Project plan work packages, timeframes and risk register. Several highlighted slight areas of concern. These included that some smaller activities were missing bars in the Project plan, that there was a lack of explanation of why cost forecasts are expected to be consistent at the same level throughout the Project, and that the risk register could have been more detailed. However, on the whole assessors indicated a good response had been provided which is sufficient for successful delivery in a Discovery Phase.

Regulatory barriers

The applicants did not flag any regulatory barriers, despite earlier making a case that the Project would produce evidence to be provided to Ofgem and BEIS for regulatory policy consideration. Both Ofgem and BEIS should be treated as priority stakeholders within this Project and the appropriate teams engaged closely to demonstrate how the learnings differentiate and build upon previous and concurrently run H2 integration projects.

Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

FUND

Assessors identified that the Problem to be addressed has been well articulated and a reasonable articulation of how significant environmental and system benefits could be achieved through the delivery of the Project. It was broadly recognised that the proposed Project team was strong, but that the proposal conveyed limited enthusiasm and could aim to be more ambitious, perhaps by investigating how benefits will be realised to the end consumers and consumers of the network.

Some concerns were raised about the Project presuming that the priority use of green hydrogen should be for blending in to the National Transmission System. A more holistic Project could provide a balanced evaluation of whether the economics of future hydrogen should prioritise alternative Applications of hydrogen. One Expert Assessor questioned whether the Project was timely to feed in to policy decisions to be made by Government and Ofgem, however it is considered that a Discovery Phase could develop a roadmap which better informs how evidence can be presented at appropriate times over the coming years. For this reason, it is important that the Project delivery engages closely with Ofgem as a key stakeholder.

The Project should ensure that it is not duplicating and complements upon evidence presented through the BEIS Hydrogen Business Models consultation, as well as building past work completed by IGEM on gas quality and blending.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Phase Report, the Funding Party must evidence review of the work completed by IGEM on gas quality and blending and the evidence presented through the BEIS Hydrogen Business Models consultation.

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

4.3.3 10023632, HyNTS Compression, Initial Net Funding Required £146,659

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required		
National Grid Gas Plc	£38,389.00	£0.00	£38,389.00		
Siemens Power Generation Ltd	£57,825.00	£8,673.75	£49,151.25		
DNV Services UK Limited	£50,182.50	£0.00	£50,182.50		
ITM Power Plc	£3,000.00	£0.00	£3,000.00		
Scotia Gas Networks Ltd	£3,056.04	£0.00	£3,056.04		
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00		
Submitted Project description					
The National Transmission System (NTS) is a network of high-pressure natural gas pipelines, that supply gas to about forty power stations and large industrial users, from natural gas terminals situated on the coast, to gas distribution companies that supply commercial and					

domestic users. In order to move gas from producers to users, the system utilises several compressor systems located strategically across the country.

In order to achieve the UKs Net-Zero targets by 2050, the gas networks will play an important part through the delivery of Net-Zero gases such as hydrogen and biogas to users. These gases have different properties to natural gas and therefore need different control and management systems.

The HyNTS Compression Project investigates the key challenges associated with compression of hydrogen and hydrogen blends through the NTS assets. The Project aims to determine the technical and commercial feasibility, provide a technical demonstration and create a strategy for UK NTS Compression Systems. The Project will determine whether the use of current compression assets on a hydrogen gas network is feasible, this in turn will help reduce the cost of the energy transition by eliminating the need to replace the compression systems. The largest costs in the current assumptions for migrating the NTS to hydrogen, is the cost to replace the compression systems, if this Project determines that the current systems are unable to function with hydrogen, alternative cost-efficient options will be assessed and demonstrated.

The Project will utilise demand predictions for hydrogen across the NTS along with modelling undertaken by the internal National Grid team and as part of Hydrogen Grid Research & Development (HGR&D) to determine the likely compression requirements. This will be the basis for the compression strategy, Cost Benefit Analysis (CBA) and environmental assessment.

The technical demonstration is planned to be conducted at the FutureGrid site in Spadeadam, Cumbria and will provide a facility for any future work as an outcome of this Project, whilst enabling the facility to demonstrate further capability such as In-Line Inspection techniques and alternative metering systems.

Problem and opportunityMean Expert Assessors' score8.2The problems identified are well explained and are applicable to exploring the potential role of
hydrogen in the whole energy system aimed to lead to carbon emission reductions. If the outputs
are successful, this Project will provide valuable information on technical and economic issues,
relating to compression, and to operating a sustainable NTS including hydrogen. Assessors felt
that although the opportunity is implicit (a low carbon national gas transmission system) the
applicants could have been more robust in describing the potential opportunities associated with
successful Project outcomes.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' Score7.2

Assessors all described the main theme of the Project as being set out well. They were easily able to understand how the Project will could address the issues that the transition to hydrogen from natural gas might create for the operation of the gas networks. The core idea of the Project is well described, and ought to address the aims of the competition scope. Assessors highlighted that there were technical challenges that needed to be assessed in order to make clear decisions on the use of hydrogen within the NTS, although it was not entirely clear how comprehensively this Project would be able to answer the full range of outstanding policy problems.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & Benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score7.8

The benefits have been identified and are clearly described and supported by metrics. These are principally around enabling decarbonisation of the transmission gas network, but also in reducing the costs of transforming the network. Costs which would ultimately be bourne by the consumer. There is a good range of benefits presented and they appear potentially achievable. There are split opinions on how ambitious the stated targets are. It has been recommended that the benefit to end consumers should be evaluated in later phases, if successful.

hydrogen in the gas networks.	provision of evidence for policy decisions	around use of	
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &			
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must	include participation from a range of sta	akeholders.	
Project Summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2	
Assessors felt that the Project summar Project was focussed upon innovation the Application describes the elements sub-contractors and it is clear that this requirements of the competition very v capabilities and stakeholders required	ry had provided a clear and concise indication for the energy networks. Furthermore, it was of participation from the wide range of Problend of stakeholders in the Project meets well. The assessors' views were consistent to deliver the Project were represented.	tion that this /as stated that roject Partners/ s the ly that the right	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must	not undermine the development of com	petitive	
Markets Bouto to market	Maan Export Assessors' coore	70	
The value preparation to not walk	wean Expert Assessors score		
The value proposition to networks and outlined. Assessors generally felt that t within the 'Route to market' question b proposal.	other stakeholders in the UK and internation the consumer benefits could have been and but have been described somewhat elsewh	onally has been ticulated better here in the	
There is an intention to progress towar assessors felt that this might undermin commented that there was an inadequ needs, given the range of third parties commercialisation of this sector.	rds commercialisation of the solution and r the development of competitive markets tate response to the question around future participating and likely interested in the fu	none of the s. It has been e investment ture	
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must	be innovative, novel and/or risky.		
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors Score	6.6	
A comprehensive understanding of sin	nilar innovations and alternative approache		
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger.	es is presented. 5 similar Project, er than	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively.	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. The noted that a contribution in kind is made for money.	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitation re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ble for money. It is additional value	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitable re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money.	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ble for money. It is additional value bject Partners III multi-Phase	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appea Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitabre is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 De for money. It is additional value oject Partners ull multi-Phase ust	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab	This initial initial and alternative approaches y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitater is a view that they do present value for rede by one of the Project Partners, offering accilitate collaboration between relevant Proses to facilities, equipment and assets for a future sto represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob ble of progressing in a timely manner.	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ble for money. It is additional value bject Partners III multi-Phase ust	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones	within a finite value is and alternative approache y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitation re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Project for and assets for a future store represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob le of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ble for money. It is additional value bject Partners III multi-Phase ust 8.0	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitable re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob- ble of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score bject plan, milestones and risks are well tho	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 De for money. It is additional value oject Partners ull multi-Phase ust 8.0 ought through	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro- and described. The methodology is clear	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitable re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob ble of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score bject plan, milestones and risks are well tho ear and is likely to deliver successful Project	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ble for money. It is additional value bject Partners ull multi-Phase ust 8.0 bught through ct outcomes. It	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro- and described. The methodology is cle- has been commented that the inclusion	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitation re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob ble of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score oject plan, milestones and risks are well tho ear and is likely to deliver successful Project n of the Alpha and Beta Phases in the RAS	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ole for money. It is additional value oject Partners all multi-Phase ust 8.0 bught through ct outcomes. It SIC table is	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appea Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro and described. The methodology is clean has been commented that the inclusion particularly helpful in giving foresight of	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitable re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob ble of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score oject plan, milestones and risks are well tho ear and is likely to deliver successful Project n of the Alpha and Beta Phases in the RAS of longer term responsibilities between Pro-	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 De for money. It is additional value oject Partners ull multi-Phase ust 8.0 bught through ct outcomes. It SIC table is ject Partners.	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro and described. The methodology is clean has been commented that the inclusion particularly helpful in giving foresight of Regulatory barriers	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitation re is a view that they do present value for r de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob- ble of progressing in a timely manner. <u>Mean Expert Assessors' score</u> oject plan, milestones and risks are well tho ear and is likely to deliver successful Project n of the Alpha and Beta Phases in the RAS of longer term responsibilities between Pro-	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ble for money. It is additional value bject Partners ull multi-Phase ust 8.0 bught through ct outcomes. It SIC table is ject Partners. No	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would far with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appear Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro and described. The methodology is clean has been commented that the inclusion particularly helpful in giving foresight of Regulatory barriers No regulatory barriers were flagged but	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitation de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob ble of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score oject plan, milestones and risks are well tho ear and is likely to deliver successful Project n of the Alpha and Beta Phases in the RAS of longer term responsibilities between Pro- ut this work should contribute evidence to the progressing to the state of the state of the state of the state of the state of the should contribute evidence to the state of the s	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 ole for money. It is additional value oject Partners ull multi-Phase ust 8.0 ought through ct outcomes. It SIC table is ject Partners. No Ofgem to	
There is a strong justification as to why but the reasons for funding this work the alternative funding mechanisms could Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must Cost & value for money The Project costs have been evaluated successful delivery of the Project. Then noted that a contribution in kind is made for money. It was viewed that SIF funding would fa- with the required expertise and access Project and as such, the Project appea Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must methodology so that they are capab Project plan & milestones All assessors have agreed that the Pro and described. The methodology is clean has been commented that the inclusion particularly helpful in giving foresight of Regulatory barriers No regulatory barriers were flagged bu- support decisions around delivery of hereits and the pro- sont described barriers were flagged bu- support decisions around delivery of hereits and the pro- sont describer and the pro- sont decisions around delivery of hereits and the pro- sont decisions around	y this Project is innovative in comparison to hrough the Strategic Innovation Fund, rath have been stronger. provide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors' score d to be reasonable by assessors and suitable re is a view that they do present value for re de by one of the Project Partners, offering a acilitate collaboration between relevant Pro- s to facilities, equipment and assets for a fu- ars to represent value for money. be well thought through and have a rob- ble of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score bject plan, milestones and risks are well tho cear and is likely to deliver successful Project n of the Alpha and Beta Phases in the RAS of longer term responsibilities between Pro- ut this work should contribute evidence to e- bydrogen in the National Transmission System of the Alpha and Beta Phases in the RAS	es is presented. o similar Project, er than competitively. 8.4 De for money. It is additional value oject Partners ull multi-Phase ust 8.0 ought through ct outcomes. It SIC table is ject Partners. No Ofgem to cem.	

Assessors felt that evaluating the suitability of existing natural gas compression systems for hydrogen duty was a suitably important issue to address and will provide evidence for the role of zero carbon gases in the transmission network and for system operation. There is an overarching view that the capabilities of the consortia are strong and the Project plan is robust. The proposal has been well constructed and gives confidence of a high standard of delivery.

The full range of opportunities could be better described and explored, and this could represent an opportunity to be more ambitious with the Project scope in later phases. There needs to be strong demonstration that this Project builds upon and does not duplicate past or ongoing work as part of Future Grid Phase 2.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that the Project does not duplicate any work included in the existing NIC project HyNTS FutureGrid Phase 1 – Transmission Test Facility (NGGTGN04)².

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

4.3.4 10024392, Nuclear Net-Zero Opportunities (N-NZO), Initial Net Funding Required £107,494

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Gas Plc	£9,039.00	£0.00	£9,039.00
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£4,600.00	£0.00	£4,600.00
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00
Rolls Royce Plc	£3,298.82	£0.00	£3,298.82
URENCO Limited	£2,657.48	£0.00	£2,657.48
Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limited	£89,354.86	£8,934.49	£80,419.37
National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited	£4,600.00	£0.00	£4,600.00

Submitted Project description

Next generation nuclear reactors, Small Modular Reactors (SMRs) and Advanced Modular Reactors (AMRs), collectively known as Advanced Nuclear Technologies (ANTs), can play a critical role in meeting the Government's published ambitions around future low-carbon hydrogen production and use, in addition to their electrical power output.

Both the Government's "Ten Point Plan for a Green Industrial Revolution" (2020) and the Hydrogen Strategy (2021) identify the growth of low-carbon hydrogen as a key element in achieving net-zero. They also emphasise the role that next generation nuclear reactors could potentially play in unlocking efficient production of hydrogen.

The large quantities of hydrogen produced from future nuclear reactors will necessitate the use of pipelines to transport hydrogen to key industrial clusters, as well as more distributed endusers. This raises questions over how the existing National Transmission System (NTS) can be

² <u>https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nggtgn04/</u>

used to transport this hydrogen, and how, in turn, it can enable the development of nuclear hydrogen production. Research & Development is being undertaken on the production of hydrogen from nuclear power, but there is a critical gap in knowledge around where best to site future nuclear-hydrogen production as well as the requirements on the NTS to transport this hydrogen to end-users. This Project will address this gap. Through scenario modelling, it will consider current and future siting options for future nuclear-hydrogen production and how these could interface with the NTS.

Initially, the Project will define a set of end-user scenarios for low carbon hydrogen demand (including industrial clusters and key transport hubs). We will determine how future nuclearhydrogen siting options - under current regulatory frameworks - can service this demand using the NTS and the benefits and barriers of developing this. We will then consider new credible siting options for nuclear-hydrogen production and determine the additional benefits these may provide to transporting hydrogen to the end-users, along with the greater challenges and barriers these new sites may present. In each case we will consider the regulatory, operational, engineering, commercial, social and wider energy systems issues.

This Project will highlight how future nuclear-hydrogen production can be effectively interfaced with the NTS to deliver low carbon hydrogen to key end-users at scale. It will be key to the enabling and development of low carbon hydrogen production in the UK.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6

Applicants have identified and clearly described an opportunity to use future nuclear technologies in a way which maximises the value and use of existing energy network infrastructure, particularly the gas transmission network. Assessors viewed this as an interesting opportunity which may warrant some study, there were split opinions on the timeliness of the work. Some questioned whether the investment is or ahead of need whilst others felt that it is well timed to provide evidence on the future use of green hydrogen within the national energy system.

7Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' Score7.2

Assessors acknowledged that the scope of the Project had done enough to meet the requirements of the innovation challenge, with a focus on the whole system benefits of considering ANTs alongside energy network opportunities. However, some assessors felt that the proposal Project lacked clarity at times. The focus is sometimes placed upon an analysis of future demand centres to be served by transmission networks and optimal siting from an energy networks perspective, whilst at other times focussing on the technical design of ANTs. The latter was considered less suitable for a Strategic Innovation Fund Project.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & Benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score

ore 6.2

The applicants have identified and outlined environmental benefits of the Project in facilitating an economic move to nuclear produced hydrogen as part of the energy supply. Assessors generally agreed that the benefits from the proposed Project will be to achieve a better understanding of optimal siting locations based on scenario modelling and existing infrastructure. However, they did feel that the impact on the energy networks, and consequently the benefits to consumers were unclear and should be of increased focus if successful in the Discovery Phase. Economic benefits including levelling-up opportunities and the develop of new products and services that create export opportunities were described.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score7.6

Assessors supported the whole system approach to considering energy network interfaces with an energy supply technology, and noted that the wholistic approach to the Project could assist planning for timely integration of advanced nuclear technologies, whilst minimising the of cost to

end user, meeting energy demands and stepped capacity increases. A couple of the assessors questioned whether the target benefits were focussed on the generation assets rather than the energy network users, but noted that the benefits to intelligent siting might increase the usage of legacy infrastructure and avoid unnecessary investment in new build.			
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets	undermine the development of com	petitive	
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	5.6	
Assessors felt that the appropriate partner	ships were represented in the Project	to evaluate the	
route to market and that value proposition	s to each of the Project Partners were	understandable	
from the response. No assessors raised co	oncerns regarding the Project undermi	ning competitive	
markets. The broad observation was that t	his was an early stage feasibility study	and pathway to	
enrolment as business as usual, particular	ly regarding the networks aspects, was	s unclear and	
needs more development if successful.			
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be i	nnovative, novel and/or risky.		
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors Score	7.4	
The proposal is looking at the novel integr	ation of an early stage technology (adv	anced nuclear	
technologies) that spans the electrical and	gas sectors. This is viewed as an inno	vative area and	
a focus that has not previously been consi	dered within the UK, although projects	delivered	
Internationally have been referenced by the	le applicants. Some assessors question	hed whether the	
network planning and modelling is commo	is was in itself particularly innovative, g	iven inai	
Eligibility Criterion 7 Projects must pro	vide value for money and be costed	competitively	
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7 0	
Assessors generally viewed costs as being	a consistent with those expected in the	market and that	
the Project represented sufficient value for	r money. Some guestioned whether a	areater	
proportion of costs could have been applie	ed to the energy networks, to promote	greater focus	
on the benefits to energy network users, w	whilst others viewed it as a positive that	third parties	
were leading most activities, given the sco	pe of the work.		
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be	well thought through and have a rob	ust	
methodology so that they are capable o	f progressing in a timely manner.		
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.6	
The Project plan and methodology is view	ed as being consistent with the scope	of the proposed	
work, although the scope of work is considered to be ambitious for the Project duration. Close			
and effective Project management will be	required to keep the Project on project	ted timelines.	
Pagulatary barriera	Veg/Ne	No	
No regulatory barriers have been flagged	y the Project although there are obvic		
considerations related to the scope of wor	by the Project although there are obvic	dus regulatory	
the Office for Nuclear Regulation (ONR) ar	nd the appropriate BEIS/Ofgem policy	teams The	
observations of the ONR. BEIS and Ofger	should be presented as part of the ou	touts of the	
Discovery Phase. To understand if this Pro	piect represents value to consumers it	will need to be	
understood if siting of nuclear assets near	hydrogen infrastructure or demand ce	entres is likely,	
given the highly regulated nature of nuclea	ar sites.		
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	ty Markets Authority	FUND	
Assessors felt that there were reasonable	opportunities for understanding siting		
options/constraints for future ANT deployr	nent, and that these could be importan	t to underpin	
their eventual optimal roll out from an ener	rgy networks perspective. Some asses	sors had	
significant concerns that the focus of the F	roject should be more orientated towa	rds the energy	
networks, and that benefits of this investm	ent must be realised for energy netwol	rk users. This	
must be evidenced more strongly if the Pr	oject is to proceed to future stages. In	ere are split	
Advanced Modular Reactor deployment	or would be more appropriate at a late		

The whole system approach to the Project is welcomed, but issues such as the use of waste heat for district heating, public acceptance, and electricity dispatch requirements may prove important in addition to those issues identified in the proposal.

There are significant regulatory challenges associated with the siting of nuclear assets which must be taken in to account. The Project must engage at an early stage with the Office for Nuclear Regulation, the appropriate BEIS/Ofgem policy teams and take on board learnings from the UKRI Low Cost Nuclear Challenge. Shared learnings should be disseminated, and activities coordinated with those of the UKRI Small Modular Reactor Programme, as well as the regional Energy Hubs that have previously undertaken analysis on the opportunities of SMR deployment. Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must evidence it has reviewed and built upon the work completed under the UKRI Low Cost Nuclear Challenge to minimise duplication.

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 5

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must clearly demonstrate how benefits will be realised for energy network consumers/users.

Condition 6

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must evidence consideration for additional aspects around Advanced Nuclear Technologies deployment, such as use of waste heat for district heating, public acceptance and electricity system dispatch requirements.

4.3.5 10024879, INCENTIVE - Innovative Control and Energy Storage for Ancillary Services in Offshore Wind, Initial Net Funding Required £121,002

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Plc	£32,904.85	£0.00	£32,904.85
The Carbon Trust	£87,555.00	£15,000.00	£72,555.00
National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited	£11,180.00	£0.00	£11,180.00
University of Strathclyde	£4,362.00	£0.00	£4,362.00
Submitted Project description	• · · ·	•	• · · ·

With the urgent need for decarbonisation, the capacity of offshore wind is expected to increase dramatically. However, innovation is required to facilitate the rapid roll-out of non-synchronous generation and prevent grid balancing and stability challenges. Without new solutions, the GB grid will become weaker, which will lead to issues in system operation. These issues include:

increasing the likelihood of severe instability events (such as the 9 August 2019 black-out event); increasing the need for imported electricity; maintaining reliance on synchronous fossil fuel generators on stand-by.

All of these will lead to price increases for GB energy consumers and will slow down the energy transition, with adverse impact to the environment.

This problem creates an opportunity for GB network companies, generators and ultimately consumers. Building on preliminary work, an opportunity has been identified to enable offshore wind farms to play a role in stabilising the GB network through the use of innovative technologies that provide voltage, current and frequency control to the grid. Establishing this will require simultaneous technical, regulatory, commercial and market innovation to enable these innovative technologies.

INCENTIVE aims to seize this opportunity by studying and demonstrating how these innovative technologies can allow offshore wind farms to provide stability services to the grid, with particular focus on the technical, regulatory, commercial and market innovations. The ultimate aim is to maintain the fast-paced roll-out of offshore wind in GB, and hence accelerate the energy transition, at best value to the consumer.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.4 The applicants have clearly described the Problem of maintaining grid stability with increasing levels of non-synchronous generation connected to the system. There are significant opportunities described by addressing. Reliance of the grid for energy consumers, reduced costs of integrating renewables and therefore carbon savings are highlighted. Assessors agreed that these were valid problems and opportunities that had been well articulated. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. Mean Expert Assessors' Score The Big Idea 7.8 All assessors agreed that the Project has the potential to deliver to the SIF objectives. The justification of this has been well laid out and align to the scope of the whole system integration challenge. The Project has the potential to deliver significant changes and is ambitious in its approach. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & Benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 The potential benefits are in the three areas of reducing CO2, value for money, and energy network operational benefits. Assessors viewed the potential benefits as highly valuable. There was observation that these were described on a predominantly gualitative basis, which was deemed acceptable at the Discovery Phase with the expectation that more quantitative evaluations will be developed and presented if the Project progresses to later stages. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4 Assessors acknowledged that there were significant innovative components to the approach this Project is taking to solving the Problem of grid stability in a high renewable energy system. It was viewed that taking a blended approach of technical, market, regulatory, and commercial approaches was novel and offered potential for significant innovation. Some assessors felt that the proposal was a little vague about the specific innovations that might be trialled in later stages of the Project. There has been R&D effort invested in ways and means to have asynchronous generation emulate the inertia characteristics of conventional synchronous generation in recent years and more clarification will be needed going in to the Alpha Phase of how this Project will differentiate in its approaches. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6 A prime purpose of the Project is to identify and resolve market, regulatory, commercial, and technical barriers on the route to market and ultimately prove the technology by a trial

installation (in the Beta Phase) at an offshore wind farm grid connection transformer station. This would provide a route to market in the UK and potentially world-wide.

In particular, the route to market will be looking at the barriers to private sector investment in implementing the solution. It therefore meets this SIF Eligibility Criteria. Further development of the value proposition for the range of key stakeholders involved, as well as development of standardisation for OEMs, which assessors view as a valuable addition.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors Score 8.2 Excellent justification has been provided for pursuing and building upon the innovations discovered in other related projects. The applicant has described how the integration of multiple approaches provides significant innovation in developing a novel approach to solving system problems. All assessors felt that the applicants gave an excellent response, and was clear with the barriers that were needed to be overcome in delivery of the Project. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Mean Expert Assessors' score Cost & value for monev 8.0 The Project costs have been demonstrated to be fair and costed competitively for the scope of work described. The applicants have provided a comprehensive breakdown of costs, which could have only been further improved by offering supporting information on individual work packages or sub-contractor costs. Additional contributions have been committed from the Offshore Wind Accelerator which further adds value to the consumer. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.0 A good Project plan has been provided with clear milestones. The work packages are logical. The risk register is appropriate and the Project approach is particularly good. The split of resource by Project Partner between and within the work packages could be more clearly defined. Assessors agree that the approach meets this SIF Eligibility Criteria. Regulatory barriers Yes/No No No regulatory barriers have been flagged, despite reference to the need for taking innovative regulatory approaches to apply the full innovative systems approach to solutions, particularly regarding the ownership regime for stability models produced. **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority** FUND

Assessors felt that this was an excellent proposal with the potential for real innovation. It is taking a well defined Problem, interruptible generation, and turning it into the potential solution. It is a strong team with a robust Project plan.

It does not appear to address how (and at what cost) these resources may be provided in a low wind system. To strengthen the Project further it should also explore full system responses to maintain stability when wind resources cannot be provided, and the associated potential system costs of doing so.

The methodology of taking a holistic approach to the relevant market, regulatory, commercial, and technical issues to provide a route to market was very welcomed.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must set out its views on whether the Project's proposed solutions differ significantly from the current mechanisms and services that the Electricity System Operator (ESO) currently operates.

4.3.6 [REDACTED]

4.3.7 10025653, Asset Reuse and Recovery Collaboration (ARRC), Initial Net Funding Required £75,963

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
SP Transmission Plc	£7,429.80	£3,184.20	£4,245.60
Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limited	£73,950.30	£7,395.03	£66,555.27
SP Distribution Limited	£3,184.20	£3,184.20	£0.00
Scottish and Southern Energy Power Distribution Limited	£5,162.24	£0.00	£5,162.24
ScottishPower Renewable Energy Limited	£3,184.20	£3,184.20	£0.00
BEAMA Limited	£1,592.10	£1,592.10	£0.00
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£1,592.10	£1,592.10	£0.00
SSE Renewables Ltd	£1,592.10	£1,592.10	£0.00
Network Rail Limited	£1,592.10	£1,592.10	£0.00

Submitted Project description

Supported by all UK TOs, the aim of the ARRC Project is to develop and assess novel solutions to an industry wide problem of sustainably managing high value assets. The key Project output is to reduce the environmental impact of the energy industry through the life extension of assets, applying Circular Economy principles, utilising practices such as refurbishing, repairing, retrofitting, remanufacturing, repurposing and resource exchange.

Problem and opportunity

Mean Expert Assessors' score

7.2

The applicant has identified a challenge related to the full lifecycle environmental and financial costs of energy infrastructure assets. This is viewed as a somewhat neglected area for innovation by assessors, and that this could provide significant value as a result. There is a clear opportunity in the industry collaborating to reuse and recover assets, both in terms of costs and reduction in embodied carbon. It has been acknowledged by assessors that collaborative working and the Application of circular economy principles represents an opportunity to capture these benefits in novel ways. However, more description and detail could have been given to communicate the full extent of the Problem currently. Referencing of previous or current work on this, or methodologies that are currently used would have given a fuller understanding of the challenges and opportunities.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' Score7.2

Assessors agreed that this Project meets the scope of the innovation challenge. The idea has been well articulated and could have a considerable impact on the industry. Some commented that there could be greater awareness shown of similar initiatives in the UK or internationally, to ensure this is truly leading. Additionally, there appears to be an opportunity to look more widely at the whole system nature of this, including across other industries. Although within the time constraints of the Project there would need to be some management of this to ensure to Project remains focussed.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefitto gas or electricity consumersImpacts & BenefitsMean Expert Assessors' score7.0

Success metrics and a range of positive impacts on a range of stakeholders have been clearly and well described. Creating second life opportunities, recycling, and appropriately disposing of energy infrastructure assets could have a positive impact on business and the environment. There could be some more detail provided on the potential benefits, though it is appreciated that this will be developed over the course of the Discovery Phase. Better explanation of how these benefits would then be passed on to the consumer would have been valuable supplement to the response.			
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must invo	olve network innovation &		
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must incl	ude participation from a range of sta	keholders.	
Project Summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.0	
Descriptions of participating organisations relevant expertise and assets are represer would involve network innovation, and the industries such as construction or non-ene an explanation of the type of assets that m energy supply chain to provide context for	and team members have been provide nted. Good capabilities are shown. It is re is opportunity to provide representa- ergy utilities. The response would have ay be interchangeable across stakehol the potential for the whole system app	ed and the clear that this tion from other benefited from ders in the proach.	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not	undermine the development of com	petitive	
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	62	
The applicants have identified the various involved, providing opportunities to dissen other European energy infrastructure asse been mentioned briefly, only in recognising is not viewed to be likely to undermine the	wider industry groups in which Project ninate information on their proposed we et owners/managers. Regulatory consic g that they would have to be considere e development of any competitive mark	Partners are ork and involve lerations have d. The initiative ets.	
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be i	innovative, novel and/or risky.		
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors Score	64	
The proposal references a number of relations from and built upon. This does appear to be considered innovative by assessors. The least other stakeholders in the energy sector su distributors whom might be able to reuse a	ted initiatives in other industry which can be a novel approach within the energy sevel of innovation could be improved b ich as generators, and other manufactu assets in secondary markets.	an be learned sector and is y inclusion of irers or	
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro	vide value for money and be costed	competitively.	
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8	
The Project budget and the grant request align with the competition guidance. Costs to appear to be costed at competitive rates and represent good value for money. The full available costs have not been requested whilst there are a number of organisations referenced to be engaging in the Project whom are not seeking costs. This brings in to question the extent of their meaningful contribution to the Project and whether value for money could have been achieved through improved outcomes by closer inclusion of those parties.			
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be weethodology so that they are capable of	well thought through and have a rob f progressing in a timely manner	ust	
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	74	
The applicant has outlined a clear set of work packages and activities within a Project plan as well as a risk register for the Discovery Phase. Resource and responsibilities against the Project plan are not explicitly defined. Inclusion of Project advisory board with named representatives is helpful and welcomed.			
Regulatory barriers	Yes/No	NO	
No regulatory barriers have been identified	d in relation to this Project.		
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	ty Markets Authority	FUND	
This proposal has broadly been received positively by assessors, it is considered a novel approach to reuse and recovery of energy network assets. There is clear potential for environmental and economic benefits to implementing well-functioning circular economy principles and processes. Assessors felt that there were some areas which could have been			

described in more detail, such as the specific areas of innovation that might be explored and the value proposition to stakeholders and consumers. Overall, it has been viewed as a proposal worthy of exploration.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must include a high-level assessment of the carbon risk and benefit of its proposed solution or solutions in the Discovery Phase.

4.3.8 10025660, Fast Flex, Initial Net Funding Required £112,221

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding
			Required
SP Transmission Plc	£33,842.29	£6,768.46	£27,073.83
Imperial Consultants UK Limited	£55,597.73	£0.00	£55,597.73
GE Digital	£29,550.00	£0.00	£29,550.00
National Grid Electricity System	£6,672.00	£6,672.00	£0.00
Operator Limited			
Scottish Power Renewables (UK)	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Limited			
SP Distribution Plc	£2,122.82	£2,122.82	£0.00
SP MANWEB PIC	£2,122.82	£2,122.82	£0.00
Submitted Project description			
Fast Flex will establish a regional inertia capability comprising many distribution-connected			
devices combined to provide a predictable capability quickly. Building on previous learning, we			
will develop the methodologies to introdu	ice a mechanism whe	ereby distributed er	nergy assets can
participate and contribute to the stability	services required in a	a low carbon netwo	rk.
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	8.6
The commentary provides a concise and clear picture of the issues and problems that the			
Project seeks to resolve. Maintaining system inertia of the grid within a renewables dominated			
grid is a significant Problem, posing a risk of instability. The Project therefore aims to address a			
major issue on the path to a Net-Zero energy grid which could realise carbon and costs benefits,			
as well as create new market opportunities. The role of demand management and flexibility has			

been explored in many projects with many market players entering the industry aiming to develop new services. The proposal to address this from a more centralised perspective is justified but should also look to develop inclusive opportunities for the full range of potential interested stakeholders.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' Score8.6

This section is the very effective in conveying the ambition, the likely benefits to whole system and users, and the specific contribution of the Discovery Phase to the overall proposition. There is no doubt at all that the innovation challenge being taken on here is well aligned to the competition scope.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefitto gas or electricity consumersImpacts & BenefitsMean Expert Assessors' score8.0

The presentation of impacts and benefits that could emerge from the Project is strong. The case for how these impacts will translate in to benefits to consumers is made very well and some quantification of those benefits is shown. Costs and benefits described are measurable and will be assessed during the Discovery Phase Project and beyond. One Expert Assessor advises that the Discovery Phase should also explore what the total benefit achievable from demand sources could be as inertia declines on the system, on a national as well as regional level.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score7.6

The applicants have provided a clear summary of participation in the Project, with helpful given detail on roles and expertise in the skills appendix. It is clear that the Project focuses upon network innovation. The Project team formed demonstrate extensive collaboration between relevant Project Partners. The Project Partners are well placed to deliver the piece of work described even though the limited time challenge may prove challenging for coordination of activities.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8

The main purpose of the Project is to lead to the development of a market mechanism for demand side grid control. By focusing on a market mechanism, it will be instrumental in setting up competitive markets both within the technology concepts proposed and with other inertia adding or control technologies. The advanced state of the UK's transition to a Net-Zero grid means that technologies and markets could be developed in advance of other networks and result in a global competitive advantage. The commentary sets out a clear roadmap to adoption of the potential solution(s). The economic opportunities for prospective market service providers are unclear and should be considered as an integral part of the Project.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.

Innovation justification

Mean Expert Assessors Score

8.8

The applicant provides a clear and concise overview of the history of research and innovation in this area in a number of countries and location. Some of the research has led to business-asusual systems while other have yet to do so and some of the barriers to this have been described. The overwhelming view of assessors is that the proposition is innovative, novel and risky. It has been noted that there are many other examples of flexibility provision, and innovation projects in this area, which this Project should support and build upon to help energy networks move these approaches in to widespread business as usual activities.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.Cost & value for moneyMean Expert Assessors' score7.0

The Project funding request are viewed as reasonable for the most part. Applicants have agreed to provide resource in kind over and above their labour costs to provide added value for money. Project participants should ensure that resource costs are suitable for the activities carried out against the roles described, as some assessors observed that costs were a higher that should be expected against the required activities. The value for money proposition is seen as strongest against improvements to modelling capabilities that can effectively evidence avoided costs that can be achieved through predictable, fast flexibility market.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.

 Project plan & milestones
 Mean Expert Assessors' score
 7.6

Work plan and milestones appear well-structured, with mostly explicit allocation of responsibilities to Project Partners. The risk register is well-aligned with the plan; risk descriptions and owners are clear and appear reasonably comprehensive. This gives confidence in capacity of Project Partners to coordinate effective delivery. Some Project Partners appear to appear to have little or no direct participation in the delivery of work packages, raising some

questions over the dependency on the Imperial College team to deliver the full scope of work on behalf of other Project Partners.

Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No	
No regulatory barriers flagged in Application	on the Application, but there are clear i	regulatory	
considerations that are needed to enable t	he rollout of new market approaches ir	n the mid-term.	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	y Markets Authority	FUND	
The Project is well structured, and mostly of benefits of, a predictable, fast flexibility ma The Project builds on the work of previous solutions. The potential benefits are signific	clearly explained and justified. The opp rket to respond to network instabilities projects and adds a new heat vector, cant.	ortunity for, and are evident. to the possible	
The delivery team are viewed as highly capable. The final outputs are not entirely clear to all assessors. The target outputs could be to develop new modelling capabilities, develop a flexibility participation platform, or creation of new market products. However, at this stage it is viewed unanimously as a valuable Project, with the scope and outputs of the Project expected to be refined in later phases.			
Recommended Project specific conditio	ns		
To mitigate issues and leverage opporture recommend these Project specific condition	unities identified during the project and the	assessment, we ect;	

Condition 3

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must demonstrate how they have taken onboard learnings from other similar and relevant projects.

Condition 5

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide documentation showcasing how the monitoring and control development will be agnostic for flexibility providers, and that interoperability with other systems will be considered.

4.3.9 10027180, Crowdflex: Discovery, Initial Net Funding Required £70,057

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Electricity System	£9,817.00	£0.00	£9,817.00
Operator Limited			
Octopus Energy Group Limited	£68,250.00	£68,250.00	£0.00
Ohme Technologies Limited	£68,250.00	£68,250.00	£0.00
Element Energy Ltd	£56,425.00	£0.00	£56,425.00
Western Power Distribution Plc	£2,728.00	£272.80	£2,455.20
Scottish and Southern Electricity	£1,360.00	£0.00	£1,360.00
Networks Distribution Limited			
Submitted Draiget description			

CrowdFlex aims to establish residential flexibility as a reliable energy and grid management resource, establishing it alongside business as usual solutions such as network reinforcement or new thermal capacity, using system operational principles to develop a new digital service. CrowdFlex builds on a significant first phase,

https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nia2_ngeso001/, which sized the market opportunity

and the viability of consumer response. The CrowdFlex programme will be split into three distinct projects:

- CrowdFlex:Discovery (this Project) will capture the requirements of the ESO/DNOs; identify the technology and consumer behaviour parameters to explore in a trial; and understand how the statistical nature of flexibility translates into reliable modelling and robust commercial frameworks.
- CrowdFlex:Design and CrowdFlex:Trial will complete the scoping of and conduct largescale trials to test domestic flexibility for use in system operations.

CrowdFlex meets the scope of Innovation Challenge 1: Whole system integration by:

- Predicting the current and future needs for domestic energy provision for heat, power, and transport, and how flexibility can reprofile these to support the power system. Building on CrowdFlex:NIA (which focused on residential loads and EV demand), this Project will also explore residential heat. CrowdFlex:Trial will assess how the impact of EV charging, heat pumps, and other emerging low carbon technologies on customers electricity bills can be reduced when consumers participate in domestic flexibility.
- Coordinating energy transmission, distribution, and system operation. CrowdFlex partners represent stakeholders across the power system. CrowdFlex aims to develop commercial frameworks to allow the ESO and DNOs to coordinate their needs and transmit them to consumers via effective tariffs.
- Planning future policy, regulatory conditions, and market designs to support whole system approaches. CrowdFlex will identify the market design of flexibility services that coordinate the needs of the ESO and DNOs, while lowering consumers' energy bills. CrowdFlex will align demand to variable renewable energy generation, reducing stress on the transmission and distribution networks.
- Maximising efficiency in large-scale network and system investments by taking a whole systems view across generation and demand side changes linked to decarbonisation. Domestic flexibility will improve the efficiency of existing investments, deferring or avoiding investment in new network and generation capacity. These savings can be transmitted to consumers, reducing energy bills.

The ESO, DNOs, and suppliers would be the primary users of this innovation, transmitting novel tariff mechanisms to domestic consumers to reduce their energy bills.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.8 The applicant has clearly described the Problem, increased electrical demand due to electrification of transport and heat, and a potential solution in domestic load flexibility, particularly in EV charging and heat. The opportunity is described primarily as avoided costs through creation of a domestic sector flexibility market. The applicants could expand on how the Problem looking to be addressed is differentiated, or refined, beyond the previous NIA Project. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' Score 8.8 The big idea, that domestic demand flexibility can become an integral part of the grid management and develop markets for flexible demand products, is a potentially large component for designing an electricity system of the future that accommodates ever greater renewable generation and electricity demand. It is well aligned with the scope of the innovation challenges and SIF more widely. It meets this SIF Eligibility Criteria. A greater focus on establishing the best ways to communicate price signals with consumers would be useful based on varied categorisations of user group. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & Benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.8

The fundamental benefit from demand flexibility is to reduce the need for investment in generation and distribution resources in the electricity systems. Significant benefits of the Project are clearly articulated in terms of potential revenue/savings for consumers and carbon benefits. The proposal is viewed as having described major potential system benefits. The Project should focus on how these can be passed back on to the consumer, and also consider the potential disbenefits of novel market approaches, in order to design mitigating actions.
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders
Project Summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.2
The Project summary has been fairly well described. It highlights the network innovation and system operator aspects of the Project well. A strong Project Partner team is described, though additional capabilities could be introduced with expertise on consumer behaviour and other prospective domestic sector flexibility providers.
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive
markets
Route to marketMean Expert Assessors' score6.8
The route to market is clear as the Project Partners have a clear set of capabilities between them to deliver future business propositions. Since the Project is developing market conditions that allow for demand flexibility from a variety of providers in will have to demonstrate how commercial and technical inter-operability will be achieved to allow fair access. If this is done appropriately it can promote the development of competitive markets, but should be monitored closely through phases. The Project will have to demonstrate how the findings and IP will be made more widely available in later stages, given the range of potential utilities and third parties that would participate in a market under business as usual.
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.
Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors Score 7.8
A clear justification is given of the need for innovation in domestic flexibility markets to assist in managing network constraints, system balancing and costs. The applicant has provided a good summary of the key findings of previous research. Reference to relevant previous work is not comprehensive but provides a reasonable case for how this Project will investigate novel approaches to integrating reliable, predictable, automated flexibility response from domestic consumers using market structures for network services, including tariffs.
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.
Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors' score 9.2
The Project is viewed as providing very good value for money as it will unlock significant contribution in kind from two of the subcontractors, Octopus Energy and Ohme Technology. Costs are competitive and proportionate to the planned work.
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.
Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4 The structure of the Project plan, the work packages and the risks are appropriate and logical. It is not always clear which Project Partner is leading each work package or milestone but between Project Partners and additional contributions, it is expected that the Project can be progressed successfully in a timely manner. The availability of all Project Partners should be confirmed given other potential demands during the delivery period.
Regulatory barriers Yes/ No No
Particularly novel market designs are likely to require regulatory review and approval. Regulators and policy makers should be viewed as an important stakeholder group and engaged with at an early stage. Evidence for new market propositions ought to be shared as the proposal develops to enable early consideration for any requisite regulatory or policy changes.
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND
The proposal is viewed as innovative with the potential for significant system and consumer benefits. The Discovery Phase aims to develop the lessons from a previous NIA Project, with the

same Project Partners, and to use the results to scope out the data, digital systems, automation and commercial frameworks for a viable domestic flexibility market. It is important that Crowdflex disseminates learning and involves other potential market participants, to ensure access to IP generated and the development of competitive markets. There are other notable projects which relate to this in scope. These include the recently approved 2021 NIC Projects, BiTradER and EQUINOX. Some Project Partners are participating across these projects.

There have been wide range of projects exploring the integration of demand side flexibility in markets. To avoid duplication, a priority objective of this Project should be to enable access to these types of assets and approaches under business as usual market arrangements. The arrangements for doing this should be made a priority for the projects, to be presented to Ofgem **Recommended Project specific conditions**

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

The Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI prior to Project commencement a summary of how this Project, BiTraDER³ and EQUINOX⁴ differ, and a resource plan. The Funding Party must also share its end of Project Phase report with the Funding Party of BiTraDER (Electricity North West) and Equinox (Western Power Distribution).

Condition 4

The Funding Party must review the outputs from similar funded projects which have explored the integration of demand side flexibility in markets, and include, as part of its end of Project Phase report, a plan for how different demand side flexibility assets would be accessed under business as usual market arrangements.

4.3.10 [REDACTED]

4.3.11 10027292, Excess gas turbine energy generation, Initial Net Funding Required £134,161

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00
Northern PowerGrid Limited	£1,800.00	£0.00	£1,800.00
Revolution Turbine Technologies Ltd	£77,411.23	£7,741.12	£69,670.11
Digital Catapult	£59,811.00	£0.00	£59,811.00
Stockton & District Advice &	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Information Service			
Submitted Project description			

This whole system integration Project aims to decarbonise the gas distribution operation and reduce cost, with a benefit to the energy consumers in the way of reduced tariffs. We aim to investigate if the power generated from excess gas can be fed back into the grid or stored, improving the coordination between the gas and electric network, and assessing the cost of potential energy demand reduction activities. To design for scalability and harness value from data across organisations, we will determine the digital systems architecture to improve data collection, quality, interoperability and shareability.

³ Electricity NIC Submission 2021: BiTraDER - Electricity North West Ltd | Ofgem

⁴ Electricity NIC Submission 2021: EQUINOX - Western Power Distribution | Ofgem

We are evaluating the introduction of a new product and service that has been proven in the oil and gas sector but is new to the UK energy market. The main users will be gas distribution and transmission businesses. The Project entails evaluation of novel approaches to infrastructure investment by taking a systems view across generation and demand side, determining new financial viability for infrastructure expansion and modernisation. Mean Expert Assessors' score **Problem and opportunity** 7.4 The applicants have identified a number of system issues relating to supply/demand profiles and network constraints across the gas and electricity sectors. This is a known Problem which could have been supplemented with more detail in the proposal, but a good case has been made for the opportunity to address this Problem through novel approaches to data integration to improve coordination between utilities. This Project looks to learn from and apply an approach that has been demonstrated previously in the oil and gas sector. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' Score 6.8 The applicant has clearly described that the big idea is to generate electricity through the recovery of excess gas pressure in the distribution grid. Assessors acknowledge that the proposal meets the criteria of the innovation challenge through whole systems working across different network types. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers **Impacts & Benefits** Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.4 A reasonable summary of potential benefits include positive environmental, economic and social impacts. Benefits are expected to be realised by to consumers through reduced costs, although the scale and ambition of those benefits was unclear and should be better evidenced if successful in future phases. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6 All elements of the Project summary have been presented well providing consistent information and summary of the Project. The organisations directly involved in Project delivery are appropriate and include consumer representation, which is welcomed. It is clear that the Project endeavours to apply new technical approaches to the energy networks, and therefore is focussed on network innovation. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.6 The potential solution would be adapted from the offshore oil and gas sector and investigated for gas networks use. Commercialisation and Application would be led through an industrial Project Partner and supported by the Digital Catapult. This provides a reasonably clear route to market. More description could have been provided to explain how learnings would be disseminated. supporting furthermore rollout. The Project is not viewed to have a risk to undermining competitive markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Innovation iustification Mean Expert Assessors Score 6.4 The locations where similar technology has been piloted in the oil and gas sector have been clearly outlined. There is clear acknowledgement that although a relatively mature technological approach this is clearly a new Application of the technology in a different environment. References to similar technologies and alternative approaches to the Problem have been referenced. Assessors are in agreement that this represents a novel approach which is innovative. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Mean Expert Assessors' score Cost & value for monev 7.6
The Project budget and grant request for each Project Partner align with the competition guidance. The balance of costs across Project Partners appears reasonable given their roles on the Project. An additional contribution is provided by a Project Partner which represents additional value for money to the consumer.			
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be w	vell thought through and have a rob	ust	
methodology so that they are capable of	f progressing in a timely manner.	-	
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	5.4	
The Discovery Phase work packages and r have been illustrated in a Project plan whic indicates that the Project could progress in register is very limited in detail and should successful.	nilestones have been summarised and th is clear but simplistic. The methodol a timely manner, however it is noted be developed further during Project in	timeframes logy described that the risk nception if	
Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No	
No regulatory barriers have been identified	l in relation to this Project.		
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	y Markets Authority	FUND	
Assessors viewed this as an interesting Project that identifies some potential areas of innovation which could impact positively on the whole energy system. However, the extent of the benefits, particularly to the consumer, is unclear at this stage. Evaluation of potential benefits should be carried out in more detail during Discovery Phase. The Project planning and management was reasonable but could be more robust and detailed as it moves into delivery. Generally, the approach to learning and applying technology with successful use cases from different sectors was welcomed.			
Recommended Project specific conditio	ns		
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;			
Canditian 2		,	

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must evaluate and clearly demonstrate how the benefits from the Project will be realised for energy network consumers and users.

4.3.12 10027503, SEGIL - Sustainable Electrical Gas Insulated Lines, Initial Net Funding Required £133,814

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Electricity Transmission Limited	£23,780.00	£0.00	£23,780.00
Ørsted Onshore UK Limited	£5,088.00	£0.00	£5,088.00
Scottish Power Transmission Limited	£4,914.90	£0.00	£4,914.90
National Grid Electricity System Operator Limited	£2,130.00	£0.00	£2,130.00
University of Manchester	£29,300.00	£0.00	£29,300.00
General Electric	£10,942.70	£0.00	£10,942.70
J.Murphy & Sons Limited	£10,600.00	£0.00	£10,600.00
Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limited	£47,058.42	£0.00	£47,058.42
Submitted Project description			

The UK Government has committed to achieve Net-Zero by 2050 that leads to significant changes in GB energy system:

• An increase in renewable generation with UK government's commitment to deliver 40GW of offshore wind power by 2030 by large windfarms on the East Coast where the transmission network is underdeveloped for the expected connection capacity.

• Decarbonisation through electrification of heat and transport which will significantly increase the demand for electricity, especially in heavily populated areas.

Public opposition to the visual impact of overhead lines (OHL) and impacts on wildlife, lead to challenges with planning consents, design and build. Relying on conventional OHL leads to a risk of not delivering required capacity quickly enough to accommodate fast-growing demand in cities. Underground HV cable systems offer low visual impact and often receive consent much faster. However, they are more costly and require significant construction works. In addition lead to operational challenges, as in the case of an internal fault, a circuit stays out of service for significantly longer due to prolong time identifying faults, excavation and replacement.

A proposed sustainable GIL solution will help to connect offshore renewables to urban centres. This Project would begin early-stage R&D by exploring opportunities for a new alternative -- Gas Insulated Line (GIL), in certain way similar to a gas transmission, can transmit over 3000MVA, more power than a conventional OHL, and with less construction works than a cable system for the same power rating, hence reducing the cost and time to deliver capacity. However, to develop GIL at scale as a viable alternative, two key challenges must be resolved:

*Lack of experience with long-distance GIL construction and operation. Currently, the longest operating circuit is 17 km, 420 kV inside a substation.

*Current generation GIL are filled with a sulphur hexafluoride (SF6), a potent greenhouse gas which is 23,900 times more environmentally damaging than CO2.

The key aim of this Discovery Project is to evaluate these challenges and propose solutions to develop a viable, efficient long-distance GIL for high-capacity lines for the GB network. Mean Expert Assessors' score Problem and opportunity 8.6 The Problem of effectively integrating significant capacities of offshore wind, whilst minimising the costs and public opposition of new infrastructure build is recognised as a valid and well described Problem. The opportunity to facilitate greater use of renewables, improve efficiency of the electricity networks, and reduce costs to consumers is a valid one. Assessors acknowledged that the proposal has outlined this Problem and opportunity clearly, whilst noting that previous work exploring similar solutions has been carried out nationally and internationally. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. Mean Expert Assessors' Score The Big Idea 6.6 The Project proposal is viewed to meeting the whole system integration challenge of the Strategic Innovation Fund. Although the proposal is focussed upon gas insulated lines (a technical solution focussed upon the electricity transmission network) the Problem and opportunity have been considered from a systems perspective. Aspects such as commercial, regulatory and reputational factors are also likely to drive development and should be addressed by the Project also. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers **Impacts & Benefits** 7.2 Mean Expert Assessors' score A range of system benefits covering cost, time, resources, maintenance and potentially performance have been well described. These are predominantly qualitative assessments of benefits which there should be an attempt to quantify to some level during the Discovery Phase, including further development how they will affect consumers. One Expert Assessor noted that potential disbenefits do not appear to have been considered including the risk of enhanced maintenance requirements, and challenges associated with construction and manufacturing. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Project Summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.6 38

The Project is focussed on electricity transmission network assets, with a concerted focus on network innovation. The Project Partners are judged to be suitable to support the Project although there could have been further justification as to why the selected Project Partners were chosen, rather than third parties with comparable capabilities. A strong response has been given to stakeholder participation and assessors would like to see an ongoing opportunity for interested stakeholders to engage with the Project. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4 The route to market is explained at a high level, which is considered appropriate for the Discovery Phase but should be developed in further detail for future phases. The supply chain for alternatives to SF6 gases needs to be considered if a solution is to be successfully rolled out and scaled within business as usual operations. The Project is not viewed as undermining the competitivity of markets, if the knowledge and learning is disseminated properly across industry. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors Score Innovation justification 6.8 A good understanding is demonstrated of current state of the art innovation in gas insulated lines and similar existing projects worldwide. The Project is viewed as innovative in its ambition to significantly expand the technical capabilities of gas insulated line deployments. However, there could be better articulation of the additional technical challenges faced when attempting deployment over greater distances. It has been noted by assessors that non-SF6 solutions have been tested and GIL approaches at higher MVAs also trialled. The Discovery Phase should provide further and clear differentiation on how this Project will build upon these with innovative, novel approaches. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Mean Expert Assessors' score **Cost & value for money** 7.4 The response provides detailed cost breakdown, Project Partner contributions and justification for the costs which seem appropriate for the activities and Project deliverables. The potential benefits of improvements in integrating of offshore wind capacity offer good justification that this investment is value to money for the consumer. The delivery of the Project principally through consultancy based Project Partners is deemed appropriate for the Discovery Phase, although some assessors would like to see greater ownership of delivery from transmission operators by owning roles such as Project management. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6 The response and the associated appendix are written clearly and transparently outlining the Project plan including stages, outputs, milestones risks. Assessors agreed that this was a comprehensive approach which gave confidence of delivery. It was observed that additional time should be built in to the Project plan to engage with additional OEMs developing potential solutions, as full market consideration should be given and equal access opportunities outside of the listed Project Partners given. **Regulatory barriers** Yes/ No No No regulatory barriers have been identified in relation to this Project. **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority** FUND This is a strong proposal clearly centred on network innovation to enable a more rapid and cheaper integration of offshore renewable assets within the grid. It has identified a significant opportunity to accelerate decarbonisation of the electricity system at lower costs to consumers. and with reduced environmental impacts. Evaluation should be made of counter factual approaches and whether the economic costs will make this approach competitive for business as usual rollout. Assessors felt that the benefits will need to be refined and quantified in more detail as the Project progresses. **Recommended Project specific conditions**

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI a revised Project plan which includes greater detail than provided in the Funding Party's Application on the energy network focussed activities and the expected outputs of these activities during the Project.

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 5

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must demonstrate how the Project differs from similar national and international initiatives, and whether it makes economic sense for the Project to progress to additional demonstration activities.

Condition 6

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide evidence of engagement with a gas network. This engagement should focus on gaining insights, best practice and lessons learned from buried high-pressure lines to incorporate into project delivery.

4.3.13 [REDACTED]

4.3.14 [REDACTED]

4.3.15 10027601, SCADENT - SuperConductor Applications for Dense Energy Transmission, Initial Net Funding Required £148,437

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£28,993.33	£0.00	£28,993.33
Ørsted Onshore UK Limited	£5,088.00	£0.00	£5,088.00
Western Power Distribution Plc	£4,692.00	£0.00	£4,692.00
SP Transmission Plc	£4,314.90	£0.00	£4,314.90
UK Power Networks (Operations) Limited	£5,331.73	£0.00	£5,331.73
University of Strathclyde	£29,990.50	£0.00	£29,990.50
Nexans France	£12,395.37	£0.00	£12,395.37
AMSC United Kingdom Limited	£10,873.33	£0.00	£10,873.33
Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limited	£46,758.42	£0.00	£46,758.42
Submitted Project description			

Summary: This Discovery Phase Project, led by National Grid Electricity Transmission (NGET), will develop an understanding of the barriers, opportunities, and benefits of modernising existing electricity infrastructure by replacing conventional cables with High Temperature Superconductor (HTS) cables.

This will help meet the anticipated increase in demand for electricity, especially in highly populated urban areas, that will result from a shift towards electrification for heat and transport. The Project will aim at investigating and developing a technology that will allow more rapid

progress to be made towards decarbonisation whilst minimising costs and disruption to local consumers.

Scope: Our Project will investigate a number of key questions:

- Evaluation of the costs and benefits of using HTS cabling for urban electricity networks for consumers and stakeholders.
- Modelling the impact on other parts of the network infrastructure, such as potential replacement of existing high voltage substations with a medium voltage (MV) option.
- Assessing the benefits and technical issues of using HTS technology to provide additional capacity for 132kV Applications. As a 132kV HTS system has higher capacity than a 400kV conventional option, these Applications cover the majority of power delivery requirements in future cities.
- Opportunity to develop standardised designs and installation techniques for HTS technology to address current high installation costs. Standardisation is one of the most effective ways in helping network operators deliver power where it is needed in the most efficient way.

Results: The key results for the Discovery Phase would be a detailed suite of reports, and a technology roadmap identifying key opportunities, barriers, and further work required to mainstream HTS cabling solutions.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.8 The Project has identified a well defined Problem of electricity transmission networks being equipped to accommodate increased electrical demand due to the uptake of low emission solutions for transport and heat, in particular. The opportunity is to increase electrical transmission network at lowest cost through standardised designs and use of high temperature superconductors. This has been well articulated and represents a significant opportunity. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' Score 9.0 This idea has great ambition and is explained well in the context of the current energy system and the state of the art of HTS cables, making this proposal for 132kV cables novel but with promising options for addressing the network demand issues if successful. The Project addresses innovation challenge with intent to shape path for affordable/low-disruption upgrade to UK networks to support future requirement for zero carbon-footprint heat, power and transport. Consideration of potential disruption to local communities by widespread deployment should be considered if successful. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & BenefitsMean Expert Assessors' score8.0The applicants have provided a strong response with qualitative justification of benefits in several
areas. Widespread economic and environmental benefits are claimed which are assessed to be
achievable and significant. These are sought to be evidenced through the delivery of the Project,
but should be evaluated against counter factual approaches. The approach makes a case for
realising cost benefits for the consumer, though more detail could be given on how these will be
conferred on to consumer energy bills. The impact on vulnerable consumers and those in fuel
poverty has not been separated from other consumer categorisations.Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &

Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score8.6

An excellent summary of the Project and its objectives has been provided. The description refers to 132kV networks being given additional capacity by using high temperature superconductor technology. The Project proposal is clear, easy to understand. The scope appears very appropriate in order to investigate a technical and economic issues outlined. It is unclear whether some of the approaches being considered within this Project could also be applied to lower voltage assets in the distribution system, or if expansion of capacity via overhead lines with be tested as a counterfactual. These may constitute an opportunity for further investigation.			
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not	undermine the development of com	petitive	
Reute to market	Moon Export Accessory' coore	7.4	
The route to market has been described in Discovery, Alpha and Beta Phases with our and demonstrate solutions. Most of the rou testing, ratifying and introducing new asser information could have been provided on o across different networks, and developing	the context of the progression of the l tline indication of the investment requir te to market process describes interna t types as business as usual within the development of procurement process, of supply chains to enable efficient sca	Project through red to prototype al processes for network. More particularly aling.	
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be i	nnovative, novel and/or risky.	0.0	
The applicants have provided a strong sun internationally and at lower voltage. Justific differentiated from past research and innov exploration through Strategic Innovation Fe	mean Expert Assessors Score nmary and understanding of related pro- cation has been given for how this Proj vation projects. It appears novel and wo unding.	ojects ect is orthy of further	
Cost 8 value for monoy	Moon Export Accoscore' coore		
of costs against the Project plan seem app consultancy is leading Project managemer energy network. Some resource rates are role within the Project but clarity should be these roles.	ropriate, though it is questioned why a nt rather than having ownership of this high, which may be justified as a strate sought on Project inception of the role	third part within the lead egic steering e in delivery of	
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be weethodology so that they are capable of	well thought through and have a rob f progressing in a timely manner.	ust	
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	80	
The Project plan is well set out and the wo responsibilities. The risk register is assesse Further adoption of agile approaches could during the short Discovery Phase. One Exp to the Project plan to consider the case for Regulatory barriers No regulatory barriers have been identified	rk packages well defined with high leve ed as being robust for Discovery Phase d help the Project to be responsive to o pert Assessor has recommended that t alternative solutions to HTS, including Yes/ No d in relation to this Project.	el e delivery. developments ime be built in DC systems. No	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	v Markets Authority	FUND	
The applicants have proposed an innovative to enabling increased capacity in high volta given for how this could realise cost saving electrified transport and heating at scale.	ve Project with the potential for consider age transmission systems. Good justific ags for consumers and facilitate the intro	erable benefits cation has been oduction of	
The Project plan is robust and correct stakeholders are involved. The early stages of the Project should seek to evaluate the counter factual options to addressing the Project of electricity network capacity, before settling on high temperature superconductors as the preferred solution.			
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit recommend these Project specific condition	ties identified during the project assess ons are attached to funding of this project	sment, we ect;	

Condition 3

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that costs are at competitive rates for the Project activities in the Discovery Phase.

4.3.16 [REDACTED]

5. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Data and Digitalisation

The complexity and scale of achieving Net-Zero will require greater provision of reliable information across parties for system planning, operation and integration of technologies. The government's Energy White Paper emphasised the need for a modern digital infrastructure to underpin energy markets and optimise physical networks.

As the move towards a Net-Zero energy system accelerates, network consumers will require simplified and accessible digital products, processes and services that can improve their user experience. Data and digital initiatives are already beginning to show the potential to improve the efficiency of energy networks whilst making it easier for third parties to interact with and innovate for the energy system.

Digitalisation of energy network activities will contribute to better coordination, planning and network optimisation. These will be required for a smarter, more flexible energy system which is underpinned by a larger proportion of intermittent renewables, alongside low carbon fuels.

Greater quality, interoperability, and availability of information from across the energy system is increasingly needed to support digital innovation. Delivering the digital infrastructure which improves the provision of information across the energy system will act as a key enabler to delivering strategic outcomes posed in other challenges. These include, but are not limited to, decarbonising heat and transport and integrating a greater proportion of flexible demand and generation.

This section covers the requirements and assessment of Applications received to the <u>Data and</u> <u>Digitalisation</u> Innovation Challenge.

5.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Data and Digitalisation – Scope

Project scope was described in the <u>Innovation Challenge brief</u> for the Data and Digitalisation Challenge as;

"To lead a Project applicants must:

- be a licenced: gas distribution network, transmission network operator, or electricity system operator
- Partner with at least one other energy network licensee holding a different category of network licence, for example a gas transporter, electricity system operator, electricity transmission, electricity distribution or other energy network licenced company
- work with researchers or private sector or organisations with technical capabilities in data and digital technologies
- work with relevant data owners and processors
- work with at least one other organisation as a subcontractor

Applicants should consider all the points listed here, but as a minimum must directly address at least one as the primary focus of the proposed Project:

- more transparent and effective pricing and allocation of reinforcement costs in relation to new connections
- how the applicant will work in the open and utilise open-source approaches wherever appropriate
- publication of searchable metadata of datasets produced through the Project
- enterprise and business processes to facilitate the flow of data within and between organisations

- enabling consumers to use their data to engage in energy system innovation whilst maintaining privacy and security
- how interconnected assets can help network consumers to interact with and support the energy networks
- how to improve the visibility of infrastructure and assets, for instance new digital infrastructure or novel uses of sensor and communications technologies
- the interoperability of software platforms and data with other infrastructure sectors how novel uses of data and digital platforms can significantly improve network planning, modelling, and forecasting capabilities"

5.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Data and Digitalisation – Proposals

23 proposals were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 11am 17th November 2021, all 23 were deemed eligible for the Data and Digitalisation Innovation Challenge as per the scope outlined in section 5.1. All projects submitted by an eligible licensed distribution or transmission network have been assessed by the Expert Assessors and are listed below.

Project ref	Project name	Funding licensee	Total eligible	Total Project contribution	Total SIF Funding
	NIMPLIC Naturals		COSTS (£)	(£)	requested (£)
	NIMBUS - Network				
	Motoorology to PL lild for				
10020514	Sustainability	SHE	148 476	0	148 476
10020314	Gas Networks		140,470	0	140,470
10020620	Interoperable Digital Twin	NGGT	79 644	865	78 779
10020620	HyNTS Pipeline DataSet	NGGT	95 571	0	95 571
TOOLOOLL	Gas Analyser Systems for	11001	00,011	0	
10021808	Hydrogen Blends	NGGT	113,414	0	113,414
10022352	Hydrogen Metering	NGGT	86,378	0	86,378
[REDACTE D]					
10025639	Digi-GIFT	SPT	141,356	5,120	136,236
10025651	EN-twin-e	SPT	161,043	17,563	143,480
10025656	Predict4Resilience	SPT	129,722	20,321	109,401
	Digital Twins: Exploring				
	the commercial, societal				
	and operational benefits				
	on green hydrogen				
10025731	projects	SGN	265,324	141,059	124,265
10026595	Virtual Energy System	NGESO	163,181	13,260	149,921
	Digital Twin - Exploring the				
	societal, operational, and				
	cross industry whole				
	system benefits on the				
10027059	Gas Distribution Network	SGN	300,322	181,195	119,127
[REDACTE D]					
[REDACTE D]					
10027183	Intelligent Gas Grid	SGN	116,401	0	116,401
[REDACTE					
נט					

10027191	Predictive Safety	SGN	58 729	0	58 729
10027101	Thermal imagery analysis -		00,720	0	00,720
	Condition assessment fluid				
10027276	and pressure	NGN	86,138	7,956	78,182
[REDACTE D]					
	CEV: Critical factors for				
	the adoption of smart				
	homes for energy				
	efficiency and implications				
	for consumers and				
10027307	providers	NGN	55,395	0	55,395
[REDACTE					
DJ					
	Digital Platform for				
10027572	Leakage Analytics	Cadent	125,328	10,752	114,576
	Eye in the Sky - Utilising				
	satellite data to improve				
	grid resilience in				
10027585	emergency	NGET	119,105	0	119,105

5.3 Evaluation of Data and Digitalisation submissions

5.3.1 10020514, NIMBUS - Network Innovation and Meteorology to BUild for Sustainability, Initial Net Funding Required £148,476

Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
£17,364.98	£0.00	£17,364.98
£12,453.46	£0.00	£12,453.46
£85,947.12	£0.00	£85,947.12
£32,710.00	£0.00	£32,710.00
	Eligible costs £17,364.98 £12,453.46 £85,947.12 £32,710.00	Eligible costs Project contribution £17,364.98 £0.00 £12,453.46 £0.00 £85,947.12 £0.00 £32,710.00 £0.00

Submitted Project description

SSEN's electricity network assets run across some of GB's most challenging terrain and are subject to the extremes of the UK weather, from heavy snow and strong winds, to flooding and wildfires. With a life cycle of 40-60 years, assets built today will need to remain resilient during a period when climate change is predicted to extend both the duration and intensity of the weather extremes experienced.

However, the techniques used in building today's electricity networks use only basic locational data to generically model the effects and impacts of weather and climate at a regional level only. The data is not available in enough granular detail to predict, with any great degree of accuracy, the impact that weather events and climate change will have on the individual assets that make up our electricity networks.

NIMBUS aims to make meteorological data (such as rainfall, wind speeds, temperature etc) available, at an asset-specific level of detail, and usable by the energy networks to improve the ability to model and predict the impacts of weather and climate change across the whole life of a network asset.

The outcomes of NIMBUS will help to rec network assets, avoiding the costs of rep unnecessary interventions for maintenan infrastructure providers, such as transpo those sectors.	duce costs to consumers by extending t placing assets early, and minimising cos ice. The outputs could also extend to ot rt and telecommunications, delivering s	he life of ts from her imilar benefits in	
NIMBUS will be delivered by a consortium areas SSEN Transmission and SSEN I innovation); The MetOffice (one of the lea Icebreaker One (developers of Open En- energy data is shared in the UK).	m of Project Partners that are experts in Distribution (asset owning businesses ar ading meteorological organisations in th ergy infrastructure which will revolutioni	a their respective ad users of this ae world); and se the way	
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0	
A clear Problem has been identified. The climate change and the lack of granular described. The opportunity to reduce fina carbon reductions has been well justified	e two problems of changing weather cor data available to predict impacts has be ancial costs, improve system resilience I.	nditions due to en well and achieve	
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must ac	Idress the Innovation Challenge set b	y Ofgem.	
The Big Idea	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.8	
The Big Idea has been very clearly article Digitalisation challenge. The approach to support network planning, modelling, and benefitted further by describing the cons spatial resolution of the data would be su	Ilated and addressing the requirements improving the visibility of infrastructure d forecasting capabilities. The Application straints affecting the problem in terms of ifficient for producing valuable outputs.	of the Data and and assets will on would have whether the	
to gas or electricity consumers	ive clearly identified potential to dem		
Impacts & hanafits	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8	
Explanation of how the proposed Project benefits has been given. More informatio costs for deploying asset monitoring equ those impacts might be mitigated. Asses with metrics for tracking these benefits in Alpha/ Beta Phase Applications.	idea may achieve economic resilience on could have been provided on how sho ipment could impact consumers negative sors noted that qualitative benefits have dentified. Quantification of benefits will b	and carbon orter term capital vely, and for how been described be presented in	
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must in	volve network innovation &		
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must in	clude participation from a range of st	akeholders.	
Project Summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2	
The applicants have provided a strong P and a well defined scope for the Project. and in addition could offer benefits beyon experience and the skills to deliver the p capability represented.	roject summary which provides context The Project involves a good level of ne nd the networks. The consortium memb rojects. There is good data managemer	ual background twork innovation, ers have strong it and metrology	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must no markets	ot undermine the development of com	petitive	
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.2	
The Project does not present risks to the development of competitive markets. An outline description of a route to market has been provided, although assessors felt this could be strengthened. Methods for dissemination across other energy networks will be an important aspect of achieving business as usual, including gas networks. The Application would have benefitted from describing how future business as usual scenarios will make use of the technology outputs. The commercial delivery model in business as usual needs better articulation for future Alpha/ Beta Phase Applications.			
	innovative novel and/or risky		
Innovation justification	e innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.4	

Good consideration of cross-sectoral applications and learning potential has been given. Assessors felt that the Project described how this Project builds upon previous work and will take novel and innovative approaches against the Problem use cases identified. There could have been better recognition of high profile national projects and initiatives around climate data- led asset management innovations, these include Open Climate Fix, the CrEDo Project, and ESA's cross-European Digital Twin Earth programme. Referencing these similar initiatives and explicitly stating how NIMBUS will build upon learnings elsewhere whilst exploring novel concepts would have achieved higher scores.			
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must prov	vide value for money and be costed	competitively.	
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors score	6.4	
The Project costs are reasonable and lie within industry expectations. The value for money case is sufficient for the activities and outputs described. However assessors noted that some cost rates are at the higher end of industry norms and have challenged the Project to ensure that resource costs for some Project Partners are proportionate to the activities to be carried out. The response reiterates benefits to consumers through avoidance of asset replacement and network resilience which if realised will be expected to provide value for money to consumers.			
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust			
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.			
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors score	8.0	
The Project plan has been produced to a high standard which gives clarity of the delivery			

activities and methodology. The work-packages are clear with defined lead parties. The risk register approach is good with clear ratings and mitigations, the risk register should be developed and provide more granular detail during the Discovery Phase. Improvements could be made by demonstrating the dependencies between the work packages.

Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No	
No regulatory barriers have been identified in relation to this Project.			
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	y Markets Authority	FUND	

NIMBUS has been assessed to provide a good fundamental scope of work and the Application provided was strong in most areas. Climate change resilience is a prime imperative for the energy sector, and the approach described in the Application appears to be credible and timely. In the Discovery Phase the Project Partners should take stock of other national and local projects are currently underway, some of which may provide additionality to this Project through sharing lessons, processes and evidence bases for underpinning any next steps.

In future phases the consortia should be able to offer greater specificity on the digital products or services that will be developed, and how these will be commericialised under business as usual. Assessors would also like to see further development and evidence of the value proposition to network consumers in both the near and longer term timeframes. Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that costs are at competitive rates for the Project activities in the Discovery Phase.

5.3.2 10020620, Gas Networks Interoperable Digital Twin, Initial Net Funding Required £78,779

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Gas Plc	£9,427.00	£0.00	£9,427.00

RAVMAC Limited	£64,346.21	£0.00	£64,346.21
National Grid Electricity System	£865.66	£865.66	£0.00
Operator Limited			
Southern Gas Networks Plc	£2,125.00	£0.00	£2,125.00
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00
Submitted Project description			

The transition to Net-Zero comes hand in hand with a level of complexity not managed by the gas networks to date. Digital technologies can enable solutions to manage multiple data sets much more quickly than manual intervention. Many networks are looking at digital twin technologies and advanced data lakes to support them, and it is important that at this stage we review the potential similarities and differences and determine how to ensure that they can work together through the transition and beyond.

Today there are many options for cloud platforms, analytics platforms, Application programming interface, IOT devices and visualisation systems. This Project will not restrict the networks to utilising one approach but will enable those systems to work together without some of the issues seen today such as data loss, time misalignment and incompatible data types, which are resource heavy and costly to rectify.

At this stage we will not look at specific technical solutions but initially consider the use cases, requirements and processes and where the interfaces are between the various networks; this we will call concept-in-the-loop. We will then consider a model of this interaction and process (model-in-the-loop), followed by a deeper consideration of the software supporting the Applications (software-in-the-loop). Finally we will look at hardware-in-the-loop which will determine the level of sensing required to meet our combined objectives. This should provide a robust and cost effective solution for our combined digitalisation platform. Human-in-the-loop will also be considered in terms of usability and ease of process throughout the Project.

This Project will work alongside the ESO led 'Virtual Energy System--Common Framework and Technical Parameters' SIF Project and the SGN led 'Common Information Model development' Project to bridge the gap between GDN asset information systems and the wider energy management systems.

RAVMAC have recently completed a piece of work for BSI looking at the interoperability of digital systems across multiple industries and countries. This work will provide a good foundation from which to develop an understanding for the gas networks in the UK.

Problem and opportunityMean Expert Assessors' score5.6The Problem that the applicant seeks to address has been articulated in outline with good
context of other related initiatives. However, assessors felt that there was a lack of detail in the
Problem statement. It is clear that improved use of data will be needed during the energy system
transition but it is unclear what the current barriers to progress are. There are generic
opportunities of utilising better data practices described.5.6

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score6.0

The proposal has been assessed to meet the criteria for Data and Digitalisation Innovation Challenge. The focus is clearly on developing use cases for which data and digital technologies could be applied, and has been done so in a clear manner. Some of the component technologies of digital twin technologies have been described, although the proposal is very broad in scope at this stage. Some assessors felt the proposal was ambitious as a result, whilst others felt that it lacked a focal area which pushed the boundaries of existing innovations.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefitto gas or electricity consumersImpacts & benefitsMean Expert Assessors' score5.8

The benefits are described as being an outcome primarily of real time monitoring of the gas networks. This presents an opportunity to deliver operational efficiencies and more intelligent transition to low carbon gas use, which should then be passed onwards to gas network consumers. There could be greater awareness in the response of the other technologies and processes that relate to digital twin development. Benefits, or the expected costs of technology deployment, are not quantified at this stage but would be expected to be developed against particular use cases in later phases.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.

Project Summary

Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.2 The proposal has clear focus upon energy network associated data and innovation activities which relate to digital twin technologies. The proposal meets the requirement of involving participation from a range of stakeholders, with several networks involved and a business with suitable technical capabilities. The Project summary has been well described, however the boundaries of the Project scope are vaque. Standardisation of communications protocols is most clearly understood, but other aspects of the proposal were less clear. For instance, the interoperability with other networks, unlocking opportunities to hydrogen deployment, development of a single digital twin, and reference to multiple digital twins was made. The proposal could have better described a clear prioritisation or idea development pathway of these ideas.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

_				_
Ro	ute	e to	ma	rkei

Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.8

The proposal is not viewed to undermine the development of competitive markets in any way. A description of the value proposition to gas networks has been described reasonably well. There do appear to be dependencies to realising benefits on the development of interoperable approaches by the other energy networks. This is implicit but should be highlighted as a core dependency. A credible narrative is given for how digital twins can support the transition to a Net-Zero energy system. Better explanation could be given for how these activities would transition to business as usual implementation.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.

Innovation justification

Mean Expert Assessors' score

5.2 The applicants have given a reasonable overview of related activities in the UK energy sector, and comparisons with some other sectors. Better description of similar international activities could have been provided. Most assessors felt that the justification of the innovative components of the Project lacked depth. Although there are certainly innovative concepts being explored as part of the Project, there is a moderate case that this proposal offers a significant step forwards in terms of ambition or innovation.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors score 5.8

The Project costs are viewed as appropriate and costed competitively given the scope of work. The balance of costs towards the technical lead Project Partner is viewed positively. A greater granularity in the breakdown of costs demonstrating the time allocation to different Project activities would have strengthened the response. Some assessors considered the scope of work to be ambitious given the resources available for delivery.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust					
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.					
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors score	7.8			

The Project plan and delivery methodology have been well described in this section. There is clarity on the work packages and deliverables provided. The risk register is clear and detailed to an appropriate level for the Discovery Phase. The approach described provides confidence to assessors in the capability of the team to deliver the plan. No

Regulatory barriers

Yes/ No

No regulatory barriers are identified for this Project. Although later consideration for how digital twin activities are transitioned to become part of gas networks delivery plans under business as usual will need to be considered at a later stage of development.

Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

FUND

The applicants have presented a high-quality Project plan which offered clarity on the objectives, work packages and deliverables of the Project. However, the Application has could have been strengthened with more detail in several areas. The Problem statement and innovative outputs in particular will need further development during the Discovery phase. Overall, the assessors felt that the concept of the proposal has potential, and welcomes the approach of coordinating activities with other energy networks to ensure common approaches and interoperability across digital twins.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must engage with members of the teams behind other SIF Projects focussed on digital twins, including: "Digital Twins: Exploring the commercial, societal and operational benefits on green hydrogen projects", "Digital Twin - Exploring the societal, operational, and cross industry whole system benefits on the Gas Distribution Network", and "EN-twin-e" to identify common areas of scope and collaboration opportunities. Additionally, the Funding Party must share its end of Project Phase report with the three SIF Project teams listed above.

5.3.3 10020622, HyNTS Pipeline DataSet, Initial Net Funding Required £95,571

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Gas Plc	£10,819.00	£0.00	£10,819.00
Rosen (UK) Limited	£81,261.80	£0.00	£81,261.80
Cadent Gas Limited	£3,490.20	£0.00	£3,490.20
Orabara 144 and Dava 1 and all a second states as			

Submitted Project description

The aim of this Project is to develop the tools and processes to determine the state of National Transmission System and Local Transmission System pipelines, and their capability to carry Hydrogen.

When looking to repurpose methane pipelines for hydrogen there is a requirement for us to have improved understanding of our pipeline assets; material type and smaller defects such as cracks become critical for hydrogen embrittlement effects and need to be understood prior to hydrogen injection, and whilst in use.

This Discovery Project looks at the data that is required to conduct the necessary integrity assessments, to facilitate the safe repurposing of pipelines from natural gas to hydrogen operation, including the identification of any gaps in the currently available data.

Alongside this, the Project will address which additional datasets will be needed, the methods for inspecting the pipelines to obtain these datasets and the identification of ongoing requirements for hydrogen pipeline inspection activities.

Through the Project, we will be looking at defining the requirements for a data management system, to store, align and visualise the data required for integrity assessment, and establishing the requirements for dissemination and sharing of agreed datasets, to facilitate key asset management activities.

We will also outline a methodology that will allow National Grid and Cadent to rank the suitability of all their individual pipeline segments for potential repurposing to hydrogen. Future phases of the Project. (Alpha/Beta) will look to demonstrate the assessment capability on both methane and hydrogen pipelines, online and at the FutureGrid site in Spadeadam, Cumbria respectively. Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6 The Problem of understanding our pipelines and their suitability for transporting hydrogen has been well described. Taking a strategic approach to planning data capture and management to aid that understanding presents an opportunity to better understand this Problem and plan future network operations. This approach has the potential to enable better evaluation of lowest cost decarbonisation approaches. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. Mean Expert Assessors' score The Big Idea 7.6 A strong case has been made for the alignment with the programme in regard to the needs of hydrogen transmission, and the impacts it might have in supporting national net zero targets. The proposal is considered to address the Innovation Challenge and wider SIF objectives. Improved marks may have been achieved by providing better description of current internal line inspection approaches, and how this proposal will significantly differentiate from these, particularly in regards to the data captured and analysis conducted. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 The potential benefits are clearly described and are considered to align with the SIF objectives. The principal benefits to consumers are enabling decarbonisation of gas supply at lower cost, as well as minimising the impact of gas conversion disruption on consumers. More detail in the form of metrics could be provided to support the qualitiative descriptions, but these are expected to be developed in more detail within the Discovery. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Mean Expert Assessors' score **Project Summarv** 8.2 The Project summary is clear and concisely explains the Project to a high standard. The phases of the Project have been clearly defined. The partners have strong relevant capabilities for delivery of the programme of work, with wider stakeholder engagement also planned. More details could have been provided on the role of Cadent in the delivery of the Project. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.6 The value proposition to gas networks is clear. There has been consideration of how operational efficiencies will be increased, by allowing existing infrastructure to be better utilised. How the proposal will create commercial opportunities for the partners is less clear. A route to market which enables the use of developed tools or approaches across all networks, whilst also creating opportunities for third parties to support delivery needs development. With better development and articulation of this, assessors believe the proposal has potential routes to market without undermining the development of competitive markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.0 A detailed review of the current state-of-the-art in regard to understanding the transmission challenges for hydrogen has provided. Most assessors consider this proposal to be innovative and novel. It is understood to be an iterative improvement on current data capture and analysis techniques, applied to the particular problem of understanding pipe systems and their integrity for transporting hydrogen through time. One assessor felt that greater explanation of how these

approaches differentiated significantly from the Rosen hydrogen integrity framework currently used. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors score 6.8 The Project costs are appropriate and should be sufficient for Project completion. The balance of costs is weighted towards a single partner, which raised some questions from assessors about the degree of participation from other partners. The partner costs have been assessed as being within common industry ranges, although they lie at the higher end of those ranges. The applicants are challenged to demonstrate improved cost competitiveness in future phases with more granular breakdown of costs against specific work packages. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors score 8.0 The Project delivery methodology and risk assessment are completed to reasonable quality and give confidence for successful delivery. Risk ratings and rankings would have strengthened the proposal. The plan shows good alignment with the stated business opportunity. **Regulatory barriers** Yes/No No No regulatory barriers have been identified at this stage, although output tools and methodologies may need to be quality assured by regulators if ultimately used to determine the suitability of future pipeline use for hydrogen. **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority** FUND The proposal sets out a compelling case for why networks need to understand the suitability and condition of gas networks to be repurposed for hydrogen use. Potential carbon and cost benefits are outlined. The consortia has suitable capabilities for the delivery of the project. The proposal has been delivered to a high standard, although the route to market for the potential tools and approaches is underdeveloped. The Project partners will need to demonstrate how their proposal offers additionality to current approaches and frameworks. **Recommended Project specific conditions** To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must evidence a refined scope of work to clarify the Project's focus, as the Project's focus is currently diffused across several areas including network repurposing, inspection of hydrogen assets, new tools for inspection and data management associated with internal line inspection. Condition 4 Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI an outline for how activities differentiate from those of similar projects which have investigated gas conversion, such as National Grid's Project Union⁵, Project Cavendish (NIA NGGT0143)⁶ and Aberdeen Vision (NIA SGN0134)7.

5.3.4 10021808, Gas Analyser Systems for Hydrogen Blends, Initial Net Funding Required £113,414

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
----------------------	----------------	----------------------	------------------------------------

⁵ <u>https://www.nationalgrid.com/stories/journey-to-net-zero-stories/making-plans-hydrogen-backbone-across-</u> <u>britain</u>

⁶ <u>https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nia_nggt0143/</u>

⁷ https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nia_sgn0134/

National Grid Gas Plc	£11,443.00	£0.00	£11,443.00
Cadent Gas Limited	£3,790.20	£0.00	£3,790.20
Des19ncor Limited	£98,181.33	£0.00	£98,181.33

Submitted Project description

The Gas Analyser Systems for Hydrogen Blends discovery Project looks to develop a solution to analyse National Transmission System (NTS) gas blends for the transition to Net-Zero. Gas Analyser systems today are capable of analysing 100% Natural Gas and 100% Hydrogen gas streams but there are no solutions for blended gas streams, especially when the blend could vary within the pipeline.

A fuel cell-based gas sensor (FCS), developed by Loughborough University and Des19ncor Ltd, recently completed its first concept demonstration against NTS chromatographs, with positive indications that this could be a solution for blended gas. The discovery phase we will further prove the capability of this technology and its ability to be networked to the 'Internet of Things', to meet the needs of the existing and future gas networks as they move towards Net-Zero.

The FCS will be examined in detail, to ascertain whether it is capable of sensing and calculating calorific values (CV) in natural gases, blended Hydrogen gases, pure Hydrogen gases and Biomethane in near real time, such that these can be used to control gas quality (e.g. Wobbe Index and relative gas densities) in a fully integrated IOT system. This Project will benchmark FCS capability against other gas analyser systems and will assess and test the technical and regulatory barriers and opportunities involved in the adoption of the new technology on the gas network.

The FCS technology has the potential to provide near real-time data, to gather rich data sources for controlling the needs of the transmission and distribution gas networks, something which systems today are unable to do. Hydrogen data from the sensor will enable embrittlement predictions for asset management and aid permeability management and control, allowing for predictive asset management on the high carbon steel network. The use of Al with machine learning and predictive analytics on the main FCS data sources, will help to identify efficiency and safety benefits. Alongside this, the FCS technology could gather CV data closer to the customer (e.g. at governor sites) and make the data available for customers to view via their smart metering systems.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.6

The Project addresses a key element in the potential transition to hydrogen or mixed blend transportation in the gas networks. An opportunity to use data, metering, and digital analysis to identify gas blends, as well as for predictive asset management, has been well described. A valid rationale for how this could facilitate cost savings and carbon reduction has been given.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score8.6

The concept is well-defined and shows good alignment to the challenges in the competition. A successful product could be underpinning in the transition to hydrogen ready gas networks. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Asses

Mean Expert Assessors' score

7.2

A range of credible environmental, carbon, and cost reduction benefits have been discussed. The benefits are in line with the SIF objectives and this could be an enabling technology for transportation of hydrogen fuel. The counter factual of other approaches to cost reductions and further development of the quantitative value to consumers is expected to be developed as the project progresses.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score8.2

The proposed Project has a clear focus of sensors and data analysis techniques acr consortium behind the Project, with an ap input. The supporting materials have bee benefits and impacts of the proposed app should ensure a balanced and successful	n network innovation, investigating the oss the gas networks. There is a highly propriate range of stakeholders and le n produced to a satisfactory standard a proach. The roles and skills of the partie Project.	use of novel complementary ading academic nd highlight the es involved
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must no	t undermine the development of com	petitive
markets		
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.8
The value proposition to transmission and	d distribution network operators and oth	ner stakeholders
is well described. Better understanding o	f the quantitative benefits in later phase	es will enable
greater consideration and articulation of h	now the value of those benefits will be r	ealised by
consumers. The Project will not undermir	ne the development of competitive mar	kets.
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	innovative, novel and/or risky.	
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.8
A well-argued and evidenced case has be	een made for the proposed innovation.	with appendices
providing additional supporting information	on on the commercial differentiation of	the proposed
technology compared to other gas analys	er solutions. Patents demonstrate the r	novelty and also
provide arounding for the protection of IP		
Fligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro	ovide value for money and be costed	competitively.
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors score	80
The costs are appropriate to the scope of	the proposed work, and a good case t	as been made
for the value proposition of the Project for	the applicants the LIK economy and	consumers
There is a good balance of costs between	the partners, although the response of	ould have
provided a more granular breakdown by	cost categories and work activities. The	
contributions in kind offered which impro	ve the value for money case to consum	
Eligibility Criterion 8 Projects must be	well thought through and have a rot	uet
mothedelegy so that they are capable	of progressing in a timely mapper	Jusi
Project plan 8 milectones	Moon Export Accossors sooro	0.0
The Dreiget plan & milestones	interine to deliver the required results in	9.0
The milestance and roles are clearly defin	actured to deliver the required results in	n me umerrame.
appondix material. A risk analysis has her	ned and a supporting Gante chart is pro	viueu as
mitigation strategies. Some consideration	has been given to major constraints of	
although those would have henefited from	mas been given to major constraints of	n haa baan
although these would have benefited from	n more detailed discussion. This section	n has been
Completed to a very high standard.	VeelNe	Na
Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	NO II
the transportation of hydrogen at differen	consideration of regulator's decision m t gas blends across the gas networks.	aking regarding
Recommendation to the Gas & Electric	ity Markets Authority	FUND
This is a very well articulated proposal wi	th a strong justification of innovative po	tential. The gas
industry's need to analyse many different	gases in real time is a significant probl	em that the
Project aims to address. The potential be	nefits are well described, attractive and	in line with the
objectives of the SIF. The Project is coste	d competitively and represents good v	alue for money
compared with alternative approaches. T	he potential for exploitation of any succ	essful outcomes
is considered to be high.		
, v		
Enabling the existing gas network to play	a role in the storage challenge that is in	nherent in the
move to Net-Zero would be an important	way to minimise costs to consumers.	laving a cost
effective way of monitoring an increasing	ly complex mix of das feeds is an impo	rtant step
towards that.		
		ľ
		·
A strong proposal in a highly strategic are	ea for the advancement of hvdrogen tra	insport in the UK

hydrogen as a fuel option for net-zero targets. There is a strong consortium behind the proposal, with a good balance of stakeholder and academic input. Potential for exploitation of any successful outcomes is high.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

Prior to the Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI clarification of the discrepancies between the Finances Overview and Finances Spreadsheet in the Project's Application.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must include a review of sensing and analyser solutions which are used in environments outside energy networks, and outline the benefits of the components under development in the Project compared to these available alternatives.

5.3.5 10022352, Hydrogen Metering, Initial Net Funding Required £86,378

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Gas Plc	£30,780.00	£0.00	£30,780.00
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00
DNV Services UK Limited	£47,917.50	£0.00	£47,917.50
IGEM House Ltd	£4,800.00	£0.00	£4,800.00

Submitted Project description

The Future Metering Project will build metering installations from repurposed and new metering equipment to operate with hydrogen and hydrogen blends, fully replicating metering facilities across the whole gas transportation system; from transmission and distribution to last mile industrial metering connections. The Future Metering facility will provide valuable insights into how hydrogen affects fundamental metering calculations, and will provide an assessment of the risk and costs for the repurposing of metering and associated gas assets (including c890k industrial and commercial metering installations)

Alongside this, it will also provide the UK gas industry with a valuable test facility to explore new technology and innovations. This will deliver the next generation of user driven digital products that will provide evidence to support the transition of the gas network to hydrogen and the UK to Net-Zero potentially supporting the reduction of 29% of UK CO2 emissions.

This proposal will provide assurance from derived real world data from this facility to assure the safety, performance and accuracy of metering across the gas transportation system up to and including industrial last mile consumer-connected metering installations. This will ultimately give assurance and confidence that hydrogen metering can provide fair, transparent, and accurate measurements for effective network management whilst meeting the fiscal performance expectations of industrial end consumers.

Problem and opportunityMean Expert Assessors' score8.8A potentially significant Problem has been identified, and the applicant has presented a
compelling case for how they will address this Problem. The opportunity is well-defined and is
strategically aligned with proposals for roll-outs of hydrogen as an alternative fuel source to
address net-zero challenges. The Project addresses a key element in the repurposing of the
network and in user-facing metering challenges for hydrogen or mixed blend metering.Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.

	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.4	
The core idea of the Project is clearly des	cribed and it has been well established	how it will	
address the problem and needs of its users. The Project has excellent alignment with the scope			
of the Innovation Challenge and provides a clear route to determining the challenges of metering			
hydrogen in current network systems. The	range of meter types in scope is also a	a good	
representation of what will likely be requir	ed in a hydrogen economy.	-	
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have	ve clearly identified potential to deliv	er a net benefit	
to gas or electricity consumers			
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0	
The quantified projected potential value to	o the environment in terms of a gas to h	nydrogen grid	
transition is considered realistic. The appr	oach to modelling for revealing potenti	al costs across	
the network and informing regulatory dec	isions shows promising prospects. Othe	er targeted	
benefits such as opening up the market, s	kills development, new standards are v	vell described.	
The Discovery Phase is ideal to building the	he benefits case supported by further c	quantification.	
The projection of cost savings for industria	al users and consumers is appears aml	bitious and will	
require further assurance as the Project p	rogresses. Assessors consider the ber	efits described	
to be achievable in principle.			
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inv	olve network innovation &		
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inc	lude participation from a range of sta	akeholders.	
Project Summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2	
A comprehensive outline of the Project ha	as been provided, and all supporting ap	pendices and	
video have been completed to the require	ed standard. The Project aligns with the	competition	
criteria in addressing knowledge gaps tha	t will contribute to the discussions arou	and the feasibility	
of a move to hydrogen fuel.			
There is a strong consortium with good re	presentation of stakeholders across the	e domain and	
roles are clearly defined. DNV provide exp	pertise and access to test facilities that	will underpin the	
study, although assessors encourage the	Project to invite engagement and chall	enge from the	
wider industry that will be involved in the metering or use of hydrogen.			
Elizability Outralian A. Dustante must use			
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not	undermine the development of com	petitive	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets	undermine the development of com	petitive	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is access for wide impact and explait	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the	6.8 e sector, and	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ration across the supply chain. The mai	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ation across the supply chain. The mai is a route for technology transfer into the	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ation across the supply chain. The mai s a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/test	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ration across the supply chain. The mai s a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/tee considerations required would have been	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the action across the supply chain. The mains a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ten considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ation across the supply chain. The mains a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed.	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ration across the supply chain. The mai is a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what it completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky.	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/tec considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the action across the supply chain. The mai is a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/te considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inpo-	Mean Expert Assessors' score value proposition of the Project for the ation across the supply chain. The mai is a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score r innovations is demonstrated, and a st vative. The Project will aim to tackle a u	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 7.6 rong justification	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of similar is presented as to why this Project is inno- by advancing the state of the art in meteri	A undermine the development of com Mean Expert Assessors' score e value proposition of the Project for the ration across the supply chain. The main is a route for technology transfer into the even beneficial to have understood what it completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score r innovations is demonstrated, and a st vative. The Project will aim to tackle a u ng hydrogen, which accommodates a value of the second ratio accommodates a value of the second second of the project will aim to tackle a u	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 7.6 7.6 rong justification unique challenge	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/tec considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inno by advancing the state of the art in meteri meters and scenarios across the existing	Mean Expert Assessors' score evalue proposition of the Project for the action across the supply chain. The mains is a route for technology transfer into the even beneficial to have understood what it completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score r innovations is demonstrated, and a st vative. The Project will aim to tackle a u ng hydrogen, which accommodates a v gas network. The stated test approach	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inno- by advancing the state of the art in meteri meters and scenarios across the existing promising prospects to show how existing	Mean Expert Assessors' score evalue proposition of the Project for the station across the supply chain. The mains is a route for technology transfer into the evalue proposition of the Project for the station across the supply chain. The mains is a route for technology transfer into the evalue proposition and any longer term plans chains a pecifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score r innovations is demonstrated, and a st vative. The Project will aim to tackle a u ng hydrogen, which accommodates a v gas network. The stated test approach assets would perform in a gas grid tra	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows nsition, together	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of similar is presented as to why this Project is inno- by advancing the state of the art in metering promising prospects to show how existing with the impact for customers. More inform	Mean Expert Assessors' score e value proposition of the Project for the ration across the supply chain. The mains a route for technology transfer into the een beneficial to have understood what t completion and any longer term plans chnical specifications. More insight into welcomed. innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score r innovative, novel and/or risky. Mean Expert Assessors' score r innovations is demonstrated, and a st vative. The Project will aim to tackle a ung hydrogen, which accommodates a vagas network. The stated test approach g assets would perform in a gas grid tramation could have been provided on home	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows nsition, together ow novel digital	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inno by advancing the state of the art in meteri meters and scenarios across the existing promising prospects to show how existing with the impact for customers. More inform technologies could be harnessed to achie	Image: A set of a	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows nsition, together ow novel digital	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/tec considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inno- by advancing the state of the art in meteri meters and scenarios across the existing promising prospects to show how existing with the impact for customers. More inform technologies could be harnessed to achies	Image: second part of the second part o	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows nsition, together ow novel digital	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of similar is presented as to why this Project is inno- by advancing the state of the art in metering meters and scenarios across the existing promising prospects to show how existing with the impact for customers. More inform technologies could be harnessed to achies Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro- Cost & value for money	Image: A set of a	petitive6.8e sector, andn outcomes aree commercialinvestments to maintain theo the regulatory7.6rong justificationunique challengewide range ofshowsnsition, togetherow novel digitalcompetitively.7.2	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/tea considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inno by advancing the state of the art in meteri meters and scenarios across the existing promising prospects to show how existing with the impact for customers. More inform technologies could be harnessed to achie Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money The costs are appropriate to the scope an	Image: A set of a	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows nsition, together ow novel digital competitively. 7.2 od balance of	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not markets Route to market A reasonable case has been made for the there is scope for wide impact and exploit clearly defined and a key partner provides market and supply chain. It would have be IGEM may require for this after the Project test facility or governance of standards/ter considerations required would have been Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be Innovation justification A comprehensive understanding of simila is presented as to why this Project is inno- by advancing the state of the art in meteri meters and scenarios across the existing promising prospects to show how existing with the impact for customers. More inform technologies could be harnessed to achie Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro- Cost & value for money The costs are appropriate to the scope an effort across the partners in line with the partners	Image: A set of a	6.8 e sector, and n outcomes are e commercial investment s to maintain the o the regulatory 7.6 rong justification unique challenge wide range of shows nsition, together ow novel digital competitively. 7.2 od balance of justifications for	

potential impact across the industry. Some partner resource rates are assessed to be high but within expected industry ranges. The Project should ensure that resource allocation is				
proportionate to the work to be carried out and is challenged to improve value for money if taken				
forward in later phases. Costs could have been broken down in more detail against project				
activities, rather than assigned to the delivery of the single milestone.				
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust				
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.				
Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors score 7.6				
The Project plan is presented well and appears realistic. Roles and dependencies are clearly				
stated and milestones are in place. Supply chain engagement at kick-off is welcomed. Overall,				
the plan inspires confidence in successful Project completion.				
The answers shows many of the elements to produce a very useful feasibility study underpinned				
by strong governance, buy-in and stakeholder engagement. However, the approach could have				
shown greater consideration of important technical and commercial risks as well as mitigating				
actions in this phase or in future phases.				
Regulatory barriers Yes/ No No				
There are no regulatory barriers to the Project delivery, however final outputs may require				
industry or regulatory governance of standards or technical specification for hydrogen metering.				
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND				
This is a well formulated feasibility study by a highly capable team for understanding the				
performance of metering hydrogen in a grid transition from gas. The Project shows promising				
prospects to achieve substantial positive impacts for the environment, consumers, to open up				
competition, together with informing the regulatory environment and contributing to standards.				
The proposal could have been improved by better articulation of the Intellectual Property				
Management approach, exploring the international market, and explaining in more detail the data				
management strategy. Overall this proposal has been assessed to be ambitious and well				
presented.				
Recommended Project specific conditions				
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we				
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project:				
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;				
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3				
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to				
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.				
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.				

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must clarify whether the development of a test facility, rather than trialling in a real-world environment where assets will continue to be used, provides greater value for money to consumers.

5.3.6 [REDACTED]

5.3.7 10025639, Digi-GIFT, Initial Net Funding Required £136,236

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
SP Transmission Plc	£51,201.60	£5,120.16	£46,081.44
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£16,000.00	£0.00	£16,000.00
University of Manchester	£63,538.46	£0.00	£63,538.46
SP Distribution Limited	£10,615.38	£0.00	£10,615.38
Submitted Project description			

To realise Net-Zero, we need to digitise our network; this forms a core parts of our on-going innovation and business as usual activities. However, there are key barriers in how current practice achieves this in the electricity networks. Specifically, the lack of standardised data formats that we use within our organisations, supply chains, and even internally spans many different formats, protocols, and standards. Asset monitors currently either utilise connection to the RTU or the use of bespoke software -- often HTTP (unencrypted, internet transferred) to deliver analytics back to system analyst. This does not unlock the full benefits of the IEC 61850 standards used in the digital substations for communication.

In addition, as we digitise, our networks are a highly attractive target for cyber-attackers aimed at disrupting operations. The secure and seamless data exchange across parties is essential for system planning, operation, protection and automation, this has been reflected with over £100m of cyber security investment across the UK Electricity Network Operators.

This Project proposes to enhance substation security and data access by replacing the RTU connection with a direct connection to analytic servers removing the connection between the RTU and asset monitors.

We will design a holistic data connector (Digi-GIFT) to communicate directly to asset monitors pulling back real-time asset data, alerts and analytics to analysts. In addition to the direct benefits of real-time information and improved security, this would also act as an enabler to wider benefits from concepts such as the Digital Twin and unlock the benefits in line with the GB energy network digitalisation strategy priorities.

Through the Digi-GIFT Project, we will advance standardised secure data sharing in the energy system, and over build on over £500m investment in digitalisation projects, realising:

*Reduction in frequency and impact of cyber security events

*Improved energy system planning, optimised operation and improved Interoperability of platforms and data sharing with other infrastructure sectors

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0

The problem of non-standardised data which is potentially insecure has been reasonably well described, with contextual information on why it is an important area to focus on as digitalisation of the energy system progresses. The opportunity for improved energy system planning and greater resilience from cyber security incidents is described in outline.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score7.6

The big idea is ambitious with a range of technical benefits succinctly articulated. There is good alignment with the scope of the Innovation Challenge, and the Project clearly addresses some of the specific areas mentioned in the Innovation Challenge brief.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.2

The applicants have made a good case for why the digitalisation of the energy networks and easy access to the data is real-time are key enablers for the transition to a low carbon and cost-effective energy system. This is widely accepted across the industry. Better scores would have been achieved with some explanation of why Digi-GIFT was the best approach to achieving those benefits, and why this additional project is necessary to realise the potential benefits identified through the FITNESS project referenced.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score7.4

The Project summary is clear and easy to follow. Project Partners are credible and well positioned with relevant historical project experience. The combination of organisations is strong

and all have relevant experience within the	e organisation, although more detail co	uld have been		
given on the capabilities of the delivery team, given the technical area of focus is not the core function of all organisations involved. Assessors were pleased to see the involvement of Distribution Network Operators and Transmission System Operators				
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not	undermine the development of com	petitive		
markets				
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.4		
The exploitation of the Project and future of	dissemination have been outlined. Wor	king with the		
Energy Networks Association and relevan	t working groups will be important, but	Assessors have		
also highlighted that the Project will also h	ave to ensure engagement with wider	industry and		
autoute Investment peeds have not been	identified although Assessors suspect	that third party		
investment needs are likely to exist to gen	erate a healthy digital supply chain. Th	e Project is not		
viewed as undermining the development	of competitive markets as long as an or	en and		
transparent procurement process is follow	red for the delivery of the agreed soluti	ons.		
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	innovative, novel and/or risky.			
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.4		
The innovative aspects of the Project have	e been clearly demonstrated with the A	pplicants		
showing a good understanding, although g	greater coverage of similar innovations	would have		
benefited the application. In particular the	Energy Data and Digitalisation Taskfor	ce as well as the		
National Digital Twin programme should b	e reviewed to ensure alignment of acti	vities. One		
Assessor had some concerns over whethe	er the prosed scope of Digi-GIFT was to	o establish a		
provide interoperability with such standard	The should be clarified during the I	le intention is to		
Eligibility Criterion 7 Projects must pro	by the value for money and be costed	competitively		
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors score	7.0		
The costs of this proposal, together with the	ne split of costs across Project Partners	s seems		
reasonable. If successful, a comparison of costs for procuring a third party solution, rather than				
bespoke development should be offered for Alpha Phase application, which will provide stronger				
justification of the value for money case. Many of the benefits will accrue to the networks,				
consumers and network users so it would seem appropriate that this is funded by consumers.				
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be	well thought through and have a rob	ust		
Project plan & milectopec	Moon Export Accessors coore	7.6		
The Project plan & milestones	have been detailed and clear milester	7.0		
The risk register covers most of the major	notential risks and provides mitigating	actions More		
detail could have been provided on some	of the key risks. Further definition of th	e interfaces of		
Project delivery with the advisory group a	nd wider external iniaitives would have	added value.		
Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No		
No regulatory barriers have been identified	d in relation to this Project.			
Recommendation to the Gas & Electrici	ty Markets Authority			
The fundamental idea focussed on within this proposal is seen as valuable and related outputs				
will certainly be necessary to realise full energy system benefits through the energy transition.				
Assessors have challenged whether this Project requires the SIF support or is a requisite activity				
Assessors have challenged whether this F	this proposal is seen as valuable and re nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity		
Assessors have challenged whether this F which will need to be delivered at some st	this proposal is seen as valuable and re nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a age under the networks business plans	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity s. However, at		
Assessors have challenged whether this F which will need to be delivered at some st this nascent stage of development it is con innovative aspects. The Project should en	this proposal is seen as valuable and re- nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a age under the networks business plans nsidered to be a valuable area to explo	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity s. However, at re with		
Assessors have challenged whether this F which will need to be delivered at some st this nascent stage of development it is con innovative aspects. The Project should en initiatives including the Data and Digitalisa	this proposal is seen as valuable and re- nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a age under the networks business plans nsidered to be a valuable area to explo gage with and explore partnership with tion Taskforces. Onen Energy, the One	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity s. However, at re with parallel		
Assessors have challenged whether this F which will need to be delivered at some st this nascent stage of development it is con innovative aspects. The Project should en- initiatives including the Data and Digitalisa Project, and relevant industry bodies such	this proposal is seen as valuable and re- nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a age under the networks business plans nsidered to be a valuable area to explo gage with and explore partnership with tion Taskforces, Open Energy, the Open as Electralink. This will help identify al	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity s. However, at re with parallel en Networks ignment		
Assessors have challenged whether this F which will need to be delivered at some st this nascent stage of development it is con innovative aspects. The Project should en initiatives including the Data and Digitalisa Project. and relevant industry bodies such opportunities and secure the widespread	this proposal is seen as valuable and re- nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a age under the networks business plans insidered to be a valuable area to explo gage with and explore partnership with tion Taskforces, Open Energy, the Open as Electralink. This will help identify al industry buy-in which will be necessary	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity s. However, at re with parallel en Networks ignment v to achieve		
Assessors have challenged whether this F which will need to be delivered at some st this nascent stage of development it is cor innovative aspects. The Project should en- initiatives including the Data and Digitalisa Project. and relevant industry bodies such opportunities and secure the widespread successful outcomes.	this proposal is seen as valuable and re- nergy system benefits through the ener Project requires the SIF support or is a age under the networks business plans nsidered to be a valuable area to explo gage with and explore partnership with tion Taskforces, Open Energy, the Open as Electralink. This will help identify al industry buy-in which will be necessary	elated outputs rgy transition. requisite activity s. However, at re with parallel en Networks ignment v to achieve		

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must clarify if the Project aims to establish a competing data model and connector to Common Information Model IEC 61970 standards, or if the Project aims to provide interoperability with such standards. As part of this, the Funding Party must provide, in the its of Project Phase report, justification for why a particular approach was taken.

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

5.3.8 10025651, EN-twin-e, Initial Net Funding Required £143,480

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
SP Transmission Plc	£39,149.00	£7,829.80	£31,319.20
University of Strathclyde	£51,135.00	£0.00	£51,135.00
Digital Catapult	£56,780.00	£0.00	£56,780.00
National Grid Electricity System	£8,672.00	£8,672.00	£0.00
Operator Limited			
SP Distribution Limited	£5,307.00	£1,061.40	£4,245.60
Submitted Project description			

As a Transmission Owner, we are in the critical path and interface between the ESO and Distribution networks. Our idea is to develop a digital twin of the distribution system and use it to provide a service to NGESO that will aid in decision making when choosing which distributed energy resources and transmission asset availability to use when balancing the NETS so as not to adversely impact the operation of the transmission and distribution network.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score

The increasing challenge of managing the electricity distribution networks as the measures to decarbonise radically and rapidly change both the supply and demand sides of the market is well described. This is a significant problem and one which the Applicants have articulated well. A range of credible opportunities have been identified ranging from financial cost efficiency, to carbon reductions, and improving consumer and customer asset participation.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score8.6

The ideas are bold and realistic in tackling the aims of the Innovation Challenge. A compelling vision has been given that describes a system collecting, organising and presenting information about the entire network to support better operational decision making. The Assessors found the approach described offers improvements in how networks manage an increasingly complex system more effectively.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score

8.0

7.0

The impacts and benefits are wide ranging and are described for consumers, both in terms of cost and participation through incentives. These were viewed positively by Assessors with clear potential for delivering net benefits to network consumers. Some of these benefits were described at a very high level, more specificity on where the carbon or cost benefitsmight come from might have achieved higher scores. Quantified benefits are not required at this stage,

however the Applicants could have provided indicative metrics to be captured and tracked for realising benefits.

realising benefits.				
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inv	olve network innovation &			
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inc	lude participation from a range of sta	akeholders.		
Project Summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.6		
This is a very clear summary which has be	een convincingly formulated. It succinc	tly explains the		
strategy for developing a digital twin span	ning transmission and distribution which	h will give		
greater transparency of network status the	an currently possible. The Partners rep	resented offer a		
strong range of suitable abilities. Represe	ntation includes a transmission and net	work operator.		
an end user, a university and RTO. The ar	nswer could only have been strengthen	ed by identifying		
the specific teams involved in delivery from	m each organisation, and their relevant	experience.		
Fligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not	undermine the development of com	netitive		
markets				
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	68		
The pathway to business as usual is realis	tic for driving wider adoption of the Dic	vital Twin		
platform with an appealing value propositi	ion to stakeholders. The collaboration w	with the		
Electricity System Operator and other and	on to stakenoluers. The collaboration v			
mericat Degulatory considerations have h	ergy networks is vital to realise a succe			
Assessment for the future service and	technical dependencies (particularly f			
Assessors leit that luture commercial and	technical dependencies (particularly id	prexpioitation		
With third parties) may be more complicat	ed than envisaged at this point.			
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	innovative, novel and/or risky.			
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0		
A good statement of the Project scope an	d how it builds upon the current state of	of the art has		
been provided. A very good understandin	g of other related projects and initiative	es has been		
shown. Assessors felt that the 'live simulat	tion of the distribution network in opera	ational time and		
information exchange between the physic	al system and the twin' would constitut	e a highly		
innovative achievement, if realised.				
,,	Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.			
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro	ovide value for money and be costed	competitively.		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money	ovide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score	competitively. 7.0		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyi	wide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour	wide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered or cost rates were viewed as being high	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extent		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within	wide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered or cost rates were viewed as being high the Project. The split of costs between	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11	Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered r cost rates were viewed as being high the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons	wide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered or cost rates were viewed as being high the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers.	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partners		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to const Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be	Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers.	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of	Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner.	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyi market rates. However, many of the labou and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors score Mean Expert Assessors score Ing within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners oust		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represen	Available Available Wean Expert Assessors score Ing within the range of being considered Ing within the range of being constrained In	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners oust 7.2 y in the		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig	Average of the second secon	competitively.7.0d competitive ata for the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clear		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well align with an appropriate work package owner.	Wide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered ng cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packat The phasing of work is sensible. The risk	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clearisk register is		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner.	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered ng cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packat The phasing of work is sensible. The rise	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial and		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represen Discovery Phase. The structure is well align with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more comprehered by the project plan and milestones that have been	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered ng cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercian	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well align with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more comprehe regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Project plan and milestones that have be Project plan and milestones that have be	Average	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation.		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner, good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers	Weile value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered ng cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercian ensive and also covered the commercian Yes/No	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation.No		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyi market rates. However, many of the labou and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represen Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered nr cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The ri ensive and also covered the commerci een mentioned elsewhere in the Applica Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of difference	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersoust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation.Norent energyf the disited twise		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well align with an appropriate work package owner, good but could have been more comprehe regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation a	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercian versive and also covered the commercian Yes/No y be consideration of the remit of different aspects on	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject PartnersProject Partnersy in theages are clearisk register isial andation.Norent energyf the digital twin.		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represen Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation a Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	Weide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered ng cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercion een mentioned elsewhere in the Application Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of different aspects on ity Markets Authority	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners oust 7.2 y in the ages are clear isk register is ial and ation. No rent energy f the digital twin. FUND		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represen Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation a Recommendation to the Gas & Electrici The Project has been well articulated with	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered nr cost rates were viewed as being high n the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercia een mentioned elsewhere in the Application Yes/No y be consideration of the remit of different aspects of at clear problem, solution and plan to get the state of the state o	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners vust 7.2 y in the ages are clear isk register is ial and ation. No rent energy f the digital twin. FUND get there.		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyi market rates. However, many of the labou and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represen Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation a Recommendation to the Gas & Electrici The Project has been well articulated with The consortium is appears to be very cap	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The ri ensive and also covered the commerci een mentioned elsewhere in the Applica Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of differ and development of different aspects o ity Markets Authority a clear problem, solution and plan to g able with suitable skills and expertise.	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners pust 7.2 y in the ages are clear isk register is ial and ation. No rent energy f the digital twin. FUND get there. The applicants		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alige with an appropriate work package owner, good but could have been more comprehe regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation at Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The Project has been well articulated with The consortium is appears to be very cap makes a compelling case for both the imp	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercia een mentioned elsewhere in the Applica Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of different aspects o at a lear problem, solution and plan to g able with suitable skills and expertise.	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject PartnersProject Partnersnust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation.Norent energyf the digital twin.FUNDget there.The applicantsof the benefits of		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation a Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The Project has been well articulated with The consortium is appears to be very cap makes a compelling case for both the imp digitising the energy networks to realise p	Weide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercion een mentioned elsewhere in the Application Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of different aspects of at clear problem, solution and plan to ge able with suitable skills and expertise. oright benefits for consumers, industry	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation.Norent energyf the digital twin.FUNDget there.The applicantsof the benefits ofy, and carbon		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to cons Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation a Recommendation to the Gas & Electrici The Project has been well articulated with The consortium is appears to be very cap makes a compelling case for both the imp digitising the energy networks to realise p reductions. Concerns have been raised all	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The risensive and also covered the commercia een mentioned elsewhere in the Application Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of different aspects of at clear problem, solution and plan to ge able with suitable skills and expertise. oright benefits for consumers, industry bout the cost rates quoted and the Proj	competitively. 7.0 d competitive at for the extent n partners is Project Partners vust 7.2 y in the ages are clear isk register is ial and ation. No rent energy f the digital twin. FUND get there. The applicants of the benefits of y, and carbon ject should		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro Cost & value for money Overall project costs were assessed at lyin market rates. However, many of the labour and scope of work to be carried out within viewed as proportionate. An additional 11 to offer improvements to the value to const Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be methodology so that they are capable of Project plan & milestones The Project plan and milestones represent Discovery Phase. The structure is well alig with an appropriate work package owner. good but could have been more compreh regulatory risks/opportunities that have be Regulatory barriers At a later phase of development there man networks for the development, operation and Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The Project has been well articulated with The consortium is appears to be very cap makes a compelling case for both the imp digitising the energy networks to realise p reductions. Concerns have been raised all reevaluate how they can maximise the value	Vide value for money and be costed Mean Expert Assessors score ng within the range of being considered in cost rates were viewed as being high in the Project. The split of costs between % funding contribution is provided by F sumers. well thought through and have a rob of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors score at a sound structure as a feasibility stud gned with the Project aims. Work packa The phasing of work is sensible. The ri ensive and also covered the commerci een mentioned elsewhere in the Applica Yes/ No y be consideration of the remit of differ and development of different aspects o ity Markets Authority a clear problem, solution and plan to g able with suitable skills and expertise. positive benefits for consumers, industry bout the cost rates quoted and the Proj ue to consumers through competitive of	competitively.7.0d competitive atfor the extentn partners isProject Partnersvust7.2y in theages are clearisk register isial andation.Norent energyf the digital twin.FUNDget there.The applicantsof the benefits ofy, and carbonject shouldcosts, both in		

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must engage with members of the teams behind other SIF Projects focussed on digital twins, including: "Digital Twins: Exploring the commercial, societal and operational benefits on green hydrogen projects", "Digital Twin - Exploring the societal, operational, and cross industry whole system benefits on the Gas Distribution Network", and "Gas Networks Interoperable Digital Twin" to identify common areas of scope and collaboration opportunities. Additionally, the Funding Party must share its end of Project Phase report with the three SIF Project teams listed above.

Condition 4

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that costs are at competitive rates for the Project activities in the Discovery Phase.

5.3.9 10025656, Predict4Resilience, Initial Net Funding Required £109,401

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding
SP Transmission Plc	£31 842 29	£3 184 23	£28 658 06
Arun Limited	£72 099 44	£7 209 94	£64 889 50
University of Glasgow	£6.504.00	£650.40	£5.853.60
Met Office	£10.000.00	£0.00	£10.000.00
SP Distribution Limited	£4.245.64	£4,245.64	£0.00
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£5,030.69	£5,030.69	£0.00
Submitted Project description			
As a result of climate change, the instances	of severe and ext	reme weather insta	nces are
increasing. By combining state-of-the-art en	semble weather fo	precasting products	with novel
statistical post-processing, Predict4Resilience	ce will produce ac	tionable forecasts o	of extreme
weather, specific network faults and risks up	to two weeks ahe	ead - doubling the c	current
forecasting range, effectively saving the ope	rational costs of n	etwork companies	and reducing
the potential outage time for customers.			
Problem and opportunity	Mean assessor	score	7.2
The problem of increasing vulnerability of ne	etwork assets to cl	limate change is cle	early articulated.
Weather related fault events are explained to be an existing problem on the energy networks,			
but also one which may threaten resilience (of the electricity he	elworks even more	so in luture,
threatening widespread network outages for significant periods of time. The opportunities of			
described in a rational manner			is all
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must addre	ess the Innovatio	n Challenge set by	v Ofaem.
The Big Idea	Mean assessor	score	6.8
Justification of the Application's relevance to	the Innovation C	hallenge scope is s	trong.
The Project scope is clearly described, aiming to achieve a more reliable and resilient network			
through providing actionable decision-making capabilities to control room staff for predicting and			
handling extreme climate events that contribute to network faults. The potential benefits for			
network operators using data in innovative ways to ensure continued quality of service to			
consumers are evident and aligned to the objectives of the SIF. Greater consideration should be			
given to the interventions that networks will be able to take based on the insights developed, in			
order to assure that the outputs developed will realise benefits.			

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit				
Impacts & henefits	Mean assessor score	6.8		
A range of benefits is considered addressing	a user needs and CO2 implications	User cost		
reductions are indicated, although not fully	substantiated. The benefits for consu	more for a more		
reliable service in all weather conditions sh	ould be quantifiable in more detail wit	h relevant		
motrice if the project progresses to later Dh	asos, as well as associated earbon ro	duction		
Additional wider banefits are referenced inc	ases, as well as associated calboline	ision of services		
to other utilities, though the degree of impo	at in these areas is less well underste	ad		
		00.		
Assessors have flagged that interventions w	will be required to realise some of the	referenced		
herefits, and that the costs of those interve	ntions should also be accounted for w	when		
considering benefits realisation		when a		
Eligibility Criterion 3 Projects must invol	we notwork innovation 8			
Eligibility Criterion 6 Projects must inclu	ide participation from a range of st	akeholders		
Project Summary	Mean assessor score	7.2		
The focus of the Project is summarised cler	arly and is well specified in terms of the	7.2		
the Discovery Phase. The Project shows go	any and is well specified in terms of the	ie objectives of		
supporting material has been developed to	a good standard. There is a strong of			
behind the proposal with a good mix of stak	a good standard. There is a strong co	T office The		
belance of skills across the partners should	deliver the required outcomes. The E	Project is		
assessed to be focussed on energy network	k innovation			
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not u	indermine the development of com	netitive		
markete		pennve		
Pouto to markot	Maan assassar saara	7.0		
There is a clear plan for any successful pro	duct roll out within the load applicant?	7.0		
and the investment needs for this have bee	n considered. Lear accentance testin	s organisation		
the services developed are valuable to use	in considered. User acceptance testin	prications will		
also be needed. Other Transmission Opera	tors and the Electricity System Opera	anisations will		
discussed but the route to for exploiting the	tool across those other networks an	d the value		
proposition to them have not been clearly d	le tool actoss these other hetworks an	to undermine		
the development of competitive markets	lenned. The application is not viewed			
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be in	novativo novol and/or risky			
Inpovation justification	Moon accossor sooro	64		
An extensive literature and Project review h	mean assessor score	0.4 on Thora is		
sufficient supporting information to suggest	that the approach is povel and provide	des commercial		
differentiation. The proposal could have ide	atified related initiatives used in other			
differentiation. The proposal could have identified related initiatives used in other sectors, or				
software) Eurthermore there may be simil	e weather products (such as nood pr	pally and the		
software). Furthermore, there may be similar relevant work carried out internationally and the				
Eligibility Criterion 7 Projects must prov	ide value for money and be costed	competitively		
Cost & value for money	Mean assessor score			
The Project costs are assessed as being wi	thin expected market rates and the ov	vorall Project		
cost is suitable for the planned scope of work. Project costs are beavily weighted towards APLIP				
and assessors have questioned whether some supporting resource from the energy network				
could be provided for some activities, rathe	r than relying on higher consultancy (costs There is a		
contribution in kind from Project Partners w	hich improves the value for money ca			
Eligibility Criterion 8 Projects must be well thought through and have a robust				
methodology so that they are canable of progressing in a timely manner				
Project plan & milestones	Mean assessor score	66		
The Project plan shows a considered appro	ach to aligning tasks, milestones and	associated		
resourcing with the overall objectives of the proposal Engagement with external stakeholders				
could be a more prominent part of the Project plan. The risk register has identified a good range				

of risks with some mitigating actions. Overall the Project methodology gives confidence of successful delivery, although assessors would like to see clearer explanation of how user needs will be captured.

Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No	
No regulatory barriers have been identified	No regulatory barriers have been identified in relation to this Project.		
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity	y Markets Authority	FUND	
Overall, this proposal has been viewed as well prepared and planned. A credible Problem has been identified and the scope of work has been assessed as having potential for realising significant benefits for network customers and consumers by ensuring a more resilient network. Assessors felt that there was some assumption that benefits would be realised by improvements to predictive forecasting, without appreciation of whether impactful interventions be possible within the time constraints. Aspects such as topography and ground permeability are not explicitly being considered in this Project, and one assessor flagged these as important when assessing the impact of beaux rainfall events on critical assets.			
Recommended Project specific condition	ns		
To mitigate issues and leverage opportuniti recommend these Project specific conditio Condition 3 As part of the end of Project Phase report, Project's tools and techniques are being de networks. As part of this, the Funding Party that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and	the Funding Party must provide evider esigned in a way which will enable use must participate in any meeting relate BEIS during the Discovery Phase.	ment, we ect; nce that the by other ed to the Project	
As part of its end of Project Phase report, the team behind the Project "NIMBUS - Network Sustainability" to identify common areas of the Funding Party must share its end of Pro- behind the Project "NIMBUS - Network Inne Condition 5 Prior to Project commencencement, the Fu	he Funding Party must engage with merk Innovation and Meteorology to BUild scope and collaboration opportunities oject Phase report with the members of ovation and Meteorology to BUild for S	embers of the d for . Additionally, f the team Sustainability". on whether	

5.3.10 10025731, Digital Twins: Exploring the commercial, societal and operational benefits on green hydrogen projects, Initial Net Funding Required £124,265

justification for why or why not these data sources are being examined.

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Southern Gas Networks Plc	£35,853.00	£10,625.00	£35,853.00
National Grid Gas Transmission Plc	£1,895.49	£0.00	£1,895.49
DNV Services UK Limited	£44,100.29	£10,898.00	£44,100.29
Amazon Web Services Limited	£42,417.00	£119,536.00	£42,417.00
Submitted Project description			

Digital Twins have long been heralded as the energy industry panacea. Millions of decisions concerning the design, construction and operation of real-world assets will be taken based on their digital twins. Some digital twins in the gas industry will represent a simple component. Others span entire facilities -- or systems.

Green (or clean, low carbon) hydrogen is one of the key technologies on the road to decarbonization. In the coming decade we will see increasing cost competitiveness for green hydrogen from electrolysis by improving efficiency and decreasing capex. In areas with abundant renewable resources and low-priced electricity, the costs of green hydrogen will drop even further.

The unification of these two exciting concepts forms the basis of our Project, which for the purpose of the SIF "Discovery Phase" will explore the commercial, societal and operational benefits that could be derived from the deployment of a digital twin on a green hydrogen use case. We propose to connect our digital twin discovery work to the H100-Fife Project and develop a concrete use case that will provide key learnings that will be pivotal to the development of similar projects in the future.

H100-Fife is a world-first green hydrogen-to-homes network that will demonstrate 100% green hydrogen heating in homes for the first time. This demonstration network will be built in Fife, Scotland and delivered by SGN. H100-Fife will bring carbon-free heating and cooking to around 300 homes from the end of 2022 and the Project will aim to provide compelling evidence of hydrogen's performance in a real-world domestic setting as a zero-carbon energy source. The clean gas will be produced locally, by a dedicated electrolysis plant powered by a nearby offshore wind turbine. An on-site storage unit will hold enough hydrogen to ensure supply won't be disrupted during even the coldest weather conditions.

The scope supports broader energy industry objectives to build knowledge and competence in data; modernise energy data access; and ignite innovation across industry through innovation initiatives such as digital twins. Whilst supporting the data and digitalisation theme, it very much aligns with the whole systems approach that is fundamental to the success of our energy transition and pathway towards Net-Zero.

Problem and opportunityMean Expert Assessors' score8.0A digital twin of a simple hydrogen use case has the potential to offer a number of benefits to
consumers and wider society at large. However, there were split opinions amongst assessors on
how well this had been articulated as a problem statement. It can however be inferred that
problems of decarbonsing heating and domestic gas usage are the fundamental problems. A
hydrogen gas network digital twin is presented as a way to facilitate opportunities for the rollout
of hydrogen as a low carbon fuel. The opportunities therefore, were more clear. The application
to a real world test bed provides a compelling route to test whether those opportunities can be
realised.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score7.2

The Big Idea has been reasonably well described but has been viewed as still being at the conceptual strages of development. National system level benefits of digital twins are communicated but less detail is provided for the necessity for supporting in flight H100-Fife. However, Assessors did view the Project in of itself as taking a constructive approach to good design practices, with the development of ideas being led by local business needs. More development will be needed to bring the Big Idea to a level of maturity where it is ready for demonstration, and more detail will be needed on the relevance and options for scalability beyond the H100 testbed in later phases.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score

6.8

The range of potential benefits are described and are in line with the objectives of the SIF. Many of the potential benefits in this question of the Application are derived from the use of hydrogen itself, rather than the digital twin. Assessors have viewed this as suitable, since the digital twin is likely to be used principally as an enabling technology.

It is highlighted that since the impacts and benefits on consumers may be indirect, they should also be considered in relation to the costs of hydrogen rollout, so as not to overstate the net potential benefits. Furthermore, consideration needs to be given to counterfactual approaches. Some metrics for tracking benefits have been touched upon but will need to be better defined during the Discovery Phase.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2

The Project is summarised well and the scope is clear on the whole. It is still a little unclear what the exact use cases that a digital twin will be used for within an operational hydrogen network. For instance, identyfing 'incidents of mechanical failure' has been mentioned but without explanation of what assets this refers to, or whether a problem currently exists. The organisations involved in delivery have appropriate roles and skills to achieve positive Project outcomes. The integration of hydrogen, electricity and water data in an interopable manner was viewed positively.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.2

Assessors felt that the route to market had been articulated only in outline terms. There is still ambiguity on how this specific piece of work will be applied within the Project's respective organisations. Sector wide benefits of digital twins are again referenced, but a clear commercialisation approach for either a product or service based proposition developed through this initiative is unclear. The coordination with the Centre for Digital Built Britain was viewed positively and could help to better define the value propositions.

There are still outstanding policy decisions on the use of hydrogen in the gas distribution networks, which presents a risk to the existence of a market for these solutions in future. However, given these uncertainties assessors felt that there was a credible case for better defining the route to market in the Discovery Phase, and that preparation of digital twin innovation in advance of widespread hydrogen use was prudent.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.

Innovation justification

Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 The applicant has demonstrated a good understanding of similar innovations. The application of

digital twin technologies to hydrogen gas networks is novel, and the identification of use cases and user needs in relation to hydrogen transportation offers good prospects for innovation. It is clear that digital twin technologies have been researched and adopting CDBB methodologies will enable the Project to focus on novel areas of idea development, as well as boosting the opportunities of interopability with other networks or infrastructure types.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors score 6.8

The overall Project costs are high compared to other Discovery Phase Projects, but on balance costs are considered appropriate considering the novel application and complexity of the project scope. It should certainly represent a sufficient sum to complete the Project, but the Project may find it challenging to ramp up delivery of the Project within the 2 month period. The balance of costs seems reasonable between the partners. Significant contributions are offered by Project Partners on top of the SIF funding, which provides a case for added value to the consumer.

The Project should clarify existing budgets provided to the H100-Fife project for data capture and digitalisation aspects, and how the budgets for this Project are clearly differentiated from the activities in that project.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones 7.2 Mean Expert Assessors score

The Project plan is resasonably granular, with appropriate milestones identified. The risk register was of reasonable quality but was fairly generic, and could have offered more detail on mitigating actions. The Project methodology does reference using Agile methodologies which was received positively by assessors. Some commented that the scope of work was focussed on technical development, and expected more stakeholder engagement to understand use cases and user needs since this is at Discovery Phase. There is confidence that the Project plan will support successful Project delivery.

Regulatory barriers

Yes/ No

No

No regulatory barriers are foreseen, however it is important that co-development of digital twin approaches is coordinated with other networks to ensure interopability of outputs. Furthmore, there may be future regulatory considerations over the roles and responsibilities of networks in relation to the operation and management of digital twin functions.

Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

FUND

The Application is predicated on a good foundation, with digital twins potentially offering valuable new capabilities for networks and generators to achieve system-level improvements in efficiency, and deliver additional customer value. The route to market and commercialisation plan still requires further development, with the value proposition mainly referencing benefits to hydrogen delivery, rather than those unlocked directly by the digital twin. Some assessors raised questions around whether the overall Project costs were high considering the outputs of the Discovery Phase, and stressed that the Project delivery methodology would need to be managed well to keep all activities on track.

The application of digital twin methodologies and technologies to a hydrogen gas network was viewed as novel, with the opportunity to test directly on a real world test environment compelling. On balance this was seen as an ambitious Project with the potential to deliver value to digital twin initiatives in the energy sector. Assessors would like to see the expansion of stakeholder engagement activities to refine use cases and ensure adherence to user needs.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI clarification of existing budgets provided to the H100-Fife⁸ project for data capture and digitalisation aspects, and how the budget for this Project is clearly differentiated from that H100-Fife's activities.

5.3.11 10026595, Virtual Energy System, Initial Net Funding Required £149,921

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	SIF Funding requested
National Grid Electricity Systems Operator Limited	£25,225.98	£25,225.98	£0.00
Arup Limited	£119,960.00	£119,960.00	£0.00
National Grid Gas Plc	£839.11	£0.00	£839.11
Western Power Distribution Plc	£5,456.00	£2,728.00	£2,728.00
Scottish Power Energy Networks Holdings Limited	£9,692.32	£0.00	£9,692.32
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£2,004.91	£2,004.91	£0.00
Scottish and Southern Energy Power Distribution Limited	£1.00	£1.00	£0.00
Scottish Hydro Electric Transmission Plc	£1.00	£1.00	£0.00

⁸ H100 Fife Phase 2 Village Pre-FEED | ENA Innovation Portal (energynetworks.org)

Northern Gas Networks Limited	£1.00	£1.00	£0.00
Submitted Project description			
The ESO proposes to lead an industry-wide initiative to develop a digital twin of the entire GB energy system the VirtualES. This will be an enduring programme over a number of years,			
consisting of three interacting workstream	S:		
*Workstream 1 Stakeholder Engagemer	t		
*Workstream 2 Common Framework			
*Workstream 3 Use Cases			
This Discovery Phase Project supports the understand what standards should be set compatibility. The common framework will wide range of digital twins which are interc	common framewo out with participant provide a 'blueprir operable and can ir	rk workstream and s to facilitate collabo t' so multiple parties teract using open d	will be used to oration and s can develop a lata.
This Project will explore with our partners data quality, metadata, data ownership/sto interoperability, technology, legal and regu to understand the most challenging and hi the 'alpha' phase, and then solutions refine cases.	key areas such as, rage, common attri llatory issues, risks gh-risk elements so d further in the 'be	but not limited to, c butes of digital twin and potential use c o that these can be ta' stage, including	yber security, s, ases. We seek explored first in testing use
We envisage that VirtualES users will inclu Distribution Network Owners/Distribution S generation asset owners and operators (w interconnectors); retail companies; traders VirtualES will provide these users with acc improve data-driven decision making for in prove useful to government departments, system strategies, policies and regulatory	de network compa System Operators, ind farms, solar par ; aggregators and ess to data and into investments and oper regulators, academ decisions for the N	nies (Transmission Gas Distribution Ne rks, thermal generat ultimately GB consu- egrated modelling c erations. The Virtual nics and think tanks et-Zero transition.	Owners, tworks); tors, batteries, imers. The apabilities, to ES should also to inform whole-
The ESO will lead the Project but since the VirtualES is whole-system, we have engaged Project partners who bring the perspectives of electricity network asset owners (NGET, SPEN, SSEN Transmission, SSEN Distribution, WPD) and gas network participants (NGGT, NGN). To deliver the Project we have also partnered with a technical consortium (Arup, Energy Systems Catapult, Icebreaker One) who bring considerable expertise in digital twins, systems-thinking and energy data.			
The proposed Project aligns strongly with 2021. The VirtualES, underpinned by a concerning system transition and explores the	the spirit of the SIF mmon framework, s	Innovation Challen supports an integrat	ges issued for ed whole
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert As		7 2
The proposed Project is ambitious and see	eks to address a sv	stem-wide challeng	e. The
anticipated Problem is that siloed approaches to data capture, metadata standards, data			
management and analysis approaches will act as a blocker to an interopable virtual energy			
system, and the novel services that this will unlock for network customers and consumers. The			
approach to taking coordinated and common approach to this challenge across networks			
presents a range of opportunities for energy system planning, operation, and participation by third parties. This has been articulated fairly clearly and presents a credible problem and			
opportunity.			
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must add	ress the Innovatio	on Challenge set by	v Ofgem.
The Big Idea	Mean Expert As	sessors' score	8.2

Mean Expert Assessors' score

The Big Idea is ambitious and well aligned with the requirements of the Innovation Challenge. The long term strategy of developing a full virtual energy system is likely to run in to a range of challenges and difficulties. Though the approach of commencing with a Discovery Phase Project and laying the foundations of the initiative through the Common Framework is seen as a sensible approach. Management of Intellectual Property will be important to ensure that licensing arrangements do not limit the scope of opportunity for third parties and smaller organisations in participating or interacting with a future virtual energy system.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2

A range of potential impacts have been considered within the proposal, including economic, environmental, and service provision to consumers. An outline estimation of the financial benefit that could be realised is given of £13M per annum, which assessors view as achievable. Although this is acknowledged to be indicative and will need to be tested through more detailed analysis in later phases. Furthermore, there is outstanding work to be developed on how the Project will track and evidence benefits to consumers when moving to implementation. It is noted that the digital twin itself may help to do this more effectively.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score7.2

The Project has been reasonably well described. The consortium is viewed as being well constructed with an appropriate range of capabilities and skills represented. Furthermore biographies of the delivery team have been provided which give confidence in their ability to deliver. Consumers are mentioned as potential users, but it is unclear how their participation in the project will happen. Some assessors struggled to understand how the common framework activities would ultimately lead to improved products and services to the consumer, and the Applicants should work on better communicating that value. There is a clear energy network focus of the project, however one assessor questioned why other energy vectors including road and aviation fuels, or demand side loads are not also within the scope of the common framework.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.6

The output of the Project is clearly outlined and future potential costs and commercial value have been considered. Assessors acknowledged the complexity around ownership of outputs, Intellectual Property, and the balance between commercialisation and public good for such a product/service. However, the response lacked any vision as to how this may potentially develop over time. The Project is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets at this stage, however further consideration of how the outputs will be licensed, curated or governed will be necessary in later phases. This should include demonstration that they maintain or improve the development of competitive markets.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.Innovation justificationMean Expert Assessors' score

re 7.8

The response demonstrates a comprehensive understanding of the landscape of existing and
planned innovation around the subject matter, and seeks to collaborate with and integrate the
outputs of these into the workstreams of the Virtual Eenergy System. There is good justification
of how this project is novel to energy networks, and the scale of ambition is certainly risky.
Overtime it is expected that some of these activities should transition in to business as usual
operations, whilst other aspects of the virtual energy system might continue to offer
opportunities for innovation. Further analysis of multi-party digital twin iniatives in other sectors
or internationally would have further strengthened the response.Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.
Cost & value for moneyMean Expert Assessors score6.6

70

The Project costs are appropriate and mostly used to cover the cost of the primary delivery				
Partner. All costs have been properly justif	ied although the application would hav	e benefitted by		
further breakdown of costs by subcontract	or and against their activities. Some co	osts are high		
and it is viewed that more junior resource	could be deployed to carry out some o	of the activities,		
which would also support the development	t of capabiltities.			
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be v	well thought through and have a rob	ust		
methodology so that they are capable or	f progressing in a timely manner.			
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors score	7.6		
The work plan and risk appendix attached	have been completed to a quality which	ch gives		
confidence in the delivery team's ability to	successfully execute within the Project	t period. The		
risk matrix covers commercial, technical a	nd regulatory aspects and have provid	ed suitable		
mitigations. A breakdown of deliverables h	has been given, though an indication o	f		
interdependencies between workstreams v	would have added value.			
Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No		
No regulatory barriers are foreseen, however	ver it is important that co-development	of digital twin		
approaches is coordinated with other netw	orks to ensure interopability of outputs	s. Furthmore,		
there may be future regulatory consideration	ons over the roles and responsibilities	of networks in		
relation to the operation and management	of digital twin functions.			
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	y Markets Authority	FUND		
The scope and ambition of the virtual ener	gy system initiative is ambitious with th	ne potential to		
provide the energy sector with a powerful	tool for operation, collaboration and in	novation. The		
Discovery Phase described in this applicat	ion has been well scoped, and the Pro	ject provides a		
suitable first stepping stone towards achieved	ving the wider strategic aspirations.			
	5 · · · · · 5 · · ·			
The range of organisations involved in deli	verv have verv relevant expertise and	skills to develop		
successful project outcomes. Additional in	volvement from future users of the virt	ual energy		
system, such as organisations involved in s	scale data processing, would add value	e to the group.		
Some concerns have been raised about the resource costs required to deliver some of the				
Project activities, and the Project should challenge itself to be fully transparent with costs of				
subcontractors and to deliver best value for	or consumers.			
Recommended Project specific condition	ins			
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit	ties identified during the project assess	sment. we		
recommend these Project specific condition	ons are attached to funding of this proje	ect:		
Condition 3				
As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must demonstrate how the Project's				
activities differentiate from other digital twin activities with cost-allocations under the price				
control mechanism, and how the SIF Fund	ing is being used for higher risk, innov	ative		
components of development	components of development			
Condition 4				
As part of its end of Project Phase report	the Funding Party must outline how int	ellectual		
property and licensing arrangements will h	e managed throughout the Project del	iverv to ensure		
participation opportunities are maintained	for all interested parties, and the risk o	f creating a		
technical monopoly over the virtual energy	v system is mitigated.			
	- ,			
5.3.12 10027059, Digital Twin - Exploring the societal, operational, and cross				
industry whole system benefits on the Gas Distribution Network. Initial Net Funding				
Required £119,127				

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	SIF Funding requested
Southern Gas Networks Limited	£45,443.00	£10,625.00	£34,818.00

National Grid Gas Plc	£1,895.49	£0.00	£1,895.49
IBM UK Limited	£91,031.00	£51,034.00	£39,997.00
Amazon Web Services Limited	£161,953.00	£119,536.00	£42,417.00

Submitted Project description

The energy industry is changing at pace, both business and customers are facing unprecedented challenges.

Customers are being incentivised to move from fossil fuels to carbon free alternatives, consequently the gas industry needs to rapidly prepare for decarbonising the network and managing a business with fewer customers but a large, fixed cost component.

The gas distributors must optimise their operations to safely drive down costs and accommodate carbon neutral fuels, all within ever tightening regulatory constraints.

This requires a step change in enabling our energy transition that are not incremental. There are big choices to be made. The problem for Gas distributors will be having to model complex multivector scenarios to determine optimal solutions. This will not be cost effective nor timely enough using traditional approaches.

Other industries facing similar challenges have used Digital Twins to tackle this complexity. A Digital Twin is a dynamic, virtual representation of an asset and / or process. It uses real-world data combined with engineering, simulation, or machine learning models to enhance operations and support human decision making. Digital Twins rely on access to vast amounts of data that are ideally provided by a data fabric that encompasses the complete Digital Thread (asset lifecycle data).

We are proposing this Digital Twin Innovation Project with National Grid Gas Transmission and our technology partners IBM and AWS as it will enable us to transform how we model scenarios and make decisions. It is critical to keeping everyone warm during our coldest winters', supporting the transition of our customers to carbon free energy, migrating many of them to alternative methods of heating and making the network green, whilst keeping prices affordable.

We envision the Digital Twin to become the cornerstone of all key decisions ranging from strategic choices between scenarios to optimised operations. This will transform the gas network to a variable, renewables-based system and bring the benefits of new technologies and energy advances to our customers.

It will enable an open data foundation and framework that can be exploited across the UK energy ecosystem by key participants.

For Gas Networks a Digital Twin will guide decisions by its employees, customers, the public, suppliers, other energy suppliers and legislators.

This SIF innovation Project opportunity for SGN and its partners is to test and demonstrate the use of a Digital Twin to enhance decision making across a range of challenges driven by energy transition to a sustainable future.

Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0	
The proposal has articulated the very significant challenges that the gas networks face as the			
energy tyransition continues to progress. The need to model complex multi-vector scenarios to			
determine optimal solutions is a significant problem that the proposed Project aims to address.			
With this framing there is a well justified case for how the use of digital twin methodologies will			
create opportunities to address these pro	blems.		
Flightlift Outback A. Desta A. Second and	due en the law evention. Obelle and entite	0	

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score6.0
prospects for addressing the aims of the Innovation Challenge. Better articulation of the use cases that could be served or energy system challenges that the digital twin would enable solutions for would have strengthened the response. Assessors felt that although the outline concept demonstrates promise, the Project proposal was let down by a lack of ambition or forward thinking on the applications of the digital twin.	d
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net ber to gas or electricity consumers	nefit
Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.0	
The applicants have described a concise set of valid benefits for a small range of stakeholde Overall the benefits are in line with the SIF objectives, but they lack detail particularly regardi the scale of potential benefits. Although benefits are not expected to be fully quantified at this stage, there is neither description of the type of metrics that might be used to track benefits a the Project develops. The stated consumer benefits of improving quality of service, delivering timely interventions, reducing cost of service, and reducing CO2 emissions do seem achieva at some scale.	rs. ng ง งร ง ปe
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &	
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.	
Project Summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4	
A good summary of the project has been provided. The applicant has demonstrated a clear objective and has focussed on network innovation aspects of the digital twin development. Potential users and user needs are described at a high level. However, the application could have been stronger by explaining how the identified user needs might be addressed through digital twin.	the
The partners are well selected with suitable competencies, assessors consider the delivery partners as likely to deliver the necessary skills and experience to make the Project successf	ul. It
is unclear if there is a formal role of not for the Centre for Digital Built Britain.	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets	
Is unclear if there is a formal role of not for the Centre for Digital Built Britain.Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive marketsRoute to marketMean Expert Assessors' score5.0	
Is unclear if there is a formal role of not for the Centre for Digital Built Britain. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.0 The route to business as usual deployment that is been outlined is fairly generic, with some explanation of how modelling techniques utilising the digital twin will be transitioned iterativel business as usual activities. The value proposition to networks and other key stakeholders comparties to deliver enhanced services to the benefit of consumers would have added value to the response. The Project will not undermine the development of competitive markets.	y to juld d this
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.0 The route to business as usual deployment that is been outlined is fairly generic, with some explanation of how modelling techniques utilising the digital twin will be transitioned iterativel business as usual activities. The value proposition to networks and other key stakeholders comparties to deliver enhanced services to the benefit of consumers would have added value to presente the development of competitive markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.	y to juld d this
Is unclear in there is a formatrole of not for the Centre for Digital Built Britan. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.0 The route to business as usual deployment that is been outlined is fairly generic, with some explanation of how modelling techniques utilising the digital twin will be transitioned iterativel business as usual activities. The value proposition to networks and other key stakeholders comparises to deliver enhanced services to the benefit of consumers would have added value to a response. The Project will not undermine the development of competitive markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.4 The applicant has provided good examples of similar solutions in other sectors from partnerss IBM and AWS. However there are other state of the art projects and initiatives from other part that are not referenced here. The applicant has made a valid argument that this will be a first kind project in relation to UK gas networks. As the applicants have not acknowleded the range leading initiatives in this area, assessors raised some concerns that the degree of innovation moderate in ambition. Assessors would also have provided better scores if the Project had articulated how the innovative components such as 'implementing sophisticed intelligent automated workflows' would ultimately impact consumers and other networks users. Overall proposal was viewed as being sufficiently novel and innovative to proceed, but assessors would like to see improvements.	y to ould d this ties of a le of is the uld
Is unclear in there is a formar role of not for the Centre for Digital Built Britan. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.0 The route to business as usual deployment that is been outlined is fairly generic, with some explanation of how modelling techniques utilising the digital twin will be transitioned iterativel business as usual activities. The value proposition to networks and other key stakeholders competities to deliver enhanced services to the benefit of consumers would have added value to response. The Project will not undermine the development of competitive markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.4 The applicant has provided good examples of similar solutions in other sectors from partners IBM and AWS. However there are other state of the art projects and initiatives from other part that are not referenced here. The applicant has made a valid argument that this will be a first kind project in relation to UK gas networks. As the applicants have not acknowleded the rang leading initiatives in this area, assessors raised some concerns that the degree of innovation moderate in ambition. Assessors would also have provided better scores if the Project had articulated how the innovative components such as 'implementing sophisticed intelligent automated workflows' would ultimately impact consumers and other networks users. Overall proposal was viewed as being sufficiently novel and innovative to proceed, but assessors woulke to see improvements. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provi	y to buld d this ties of a le of is the uld

contributions in kind this has effectively improved the value for money to an accertance and competitions appear appropriate appear appropriate and competitions appear appropriate appear appear appropriate appear appear appropriate appear appear appropriate appear	eptable level.
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a rob	ust
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.	
Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors score	6.2
The overall Project delivery methodology has been explained reasonably well. The overall Project delivery methodology has been explained reasonably well.	he Project plan
is logical but further information on milestones and dependencies would have im	proved the
response. The risk register identifies many valid risks but has been viewed as fai	rly generic and
optimistic in its ratings.	
The activities are viewed as being very internally focussed amongst the Project I	Partners, given
the wide range of external stakeholders that would look to use or gain value from	h the digital twin,
their peeds. Breadly the Breiset plan and delivery methodology give sufficient of	understanding
Partners to deliver to plan	
Regulatory barriers Yes/No	No
No regulatory barriers are foreseen, however it is important that co-development	t of digital twin
approaches is coordinated with other networks to ensure interopability of output	s. Furthermore.
there may be future regulatory considerations over the roles and responsibilities	of networks in
relation to the operation and management of digital twin functions.	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority	FUND
The proposed Project addresses the important problem of modelling complex m	ulti-vector
scenarios to determine optimal solutions. The proposal presents a credible case	for how digital
twin approaches could unlock opportunities. There has been a good outline case	e made for the
potential to deliver real benefits for stakeholders.	
	1 1 11 4
I he choice of partners is good with AWS and IBM bringing suitable experience a	and skill to
deliver innovation. The route to market needs to be given significant further cons	sideration within
explanation of how commercial delivery models could provide a route to realising	enoluers, anu
	g benenits.
Assessors felt that their was strong innovative potential in the project, although t	he Proiect
proposal only provided fairly generic explanations of digital twin technologies an	d
methodologies. This left some to question how ambitious the innovation targeted	l was, or if it is
simply looking to apply past research from IBM and AWS to the gas distribution	network, without
targeting spefic use cases.	
Recommended Project specific conditions	
I o mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project asses	sment, we
recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this proj	ect;
Condition 3	
As part of its end of Project Phase report the Funding Party must demonstrate h	ow the Project's
activities differentiate from other digital twin activities with cost-allocations under	the price
control mechanism, and how the SIF funding is being used for higher risk, innova	ative
components of development.	-
Condition 4	
As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide evider	nce detailing
how intellectual property and licensing arrangements associated with the Project	t will remain
open for all interested parties. The end of Project Phase report must also include	e documentation
of steps taken to avoid the creation of a technical monopoly in the energy system	n.

5.3.13 [REDACTED]

5.3.14 [REDACTED]

5.3.15 10027183, Intelligent Gas Grid, Initial Net Funding Required £116,401

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding
			Required
Southern Gas Networks Plc	£22,101.64	£0.00	£22,101.64
Utonomy Limited	£94,299.36	£0.00	£94,299.36
Submitted Project description			
Following the successful collaboration on Project over the last three years, SGN an towards a vision of the Intelligent Gas Gri	the NIA-funded Pres d Utonomy now prop d.	ssure Control and N bose to continue to	lanagement innovate
Using Utonomy's remote control pressure to collect and use network data alongside learning and AI Applications that optimise performance.	e system as the enab e external data such a e network pressures	ling technology, the as weather to devel and provide insight	e Project idea is op machine- s on network
The Applications developed under this P feed-in capacity of renewable gases inclu	roject will reduce me uding biomethane and	thane leakage and i d hydrogen.	increase the
Components will be developed to provide faults and dashboards will allow network real time.	e autonomous early v operators to monitor	varning and diagno KPIs and predictive	sis of network e alarms in near
The Project vision is to autonomously and intelligently monitor and control networks, both in terms of pressure management and operational planning & maintenance, using data-driven algorithms and decision-making, and to support network digitalisation.			
This will lower costs to consumers, and ir supporting the progress to Net-Zero.	ncrease the resilience	e of the network, wh	nilst also
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	8.0
The problem has been clearly and succinctly described. Alongside this a clearly presented opportunity has been provided, to use data-driven alogorithms and automated decision making for improved pressure management, operational planning, and maintenance.			
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must ad	dress the Innovatio	n Challenge set by	/ Ofgem.
The Big Idea	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	6.6
The Project is proposing to leverage data	to monitor, control a	and optimise netwo	rks which aligns
with one of the challenges set by Ofgem	and confirms this Pro	bject meets the SIF	eligibility
criteria. The Project explains the pressure control technology to be utilised well, but there is far			
referenced. The idea described is technically innovative but the main innovations mentioned			
seem to have already developed under other projects. Assessors view the scope of work as			
meeting the Innovation Challenge well.			
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit			
to gas or electricity consumers			
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	7.4

The potential for emission reduction benefits have been described and are credible. There are implicit benefits to providing more efficient operations of networks, though the nature of these benefits have not been explicitly described. To realise the full benefits referenced the Project will need to help inform potential government and regulatory policy decisions regarding the transportation of hydrogen and biomethane. Further development and quanitification of how these benefits will be realised by the consumer is expected in future Phases.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2

The Project will look at the conditions of the network and forecasting of energy demands with the use of the remote pressure control technology for current and future hybrid gases. This is viewed as a novel application of the previously developed work and has been assessed as innovative. It was more difficult for assessors to ascertain how innovative the data processing or artificial intelligence aspects of the Project were, since they have not been described in any technical detail. The Project has a clear focus on network innovation and the Partners have suitable skills for delivery of the Project. Assessors would like to see more engagement with a wider set of skateholders, including from relevant business areas with the gas network.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score

7.4

7.2

The value of the innovation to the networks and customers is demonstrated. The route to market described is commercially relevant for Utonomy who will offer a new solution to its customers. Users of the products have been identified and an outline of how solution development will proceed through testing, trialling and deployment. The Project is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets and the solution will be made available for use by other gas networks on a commercial basis.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.

Innovation justification

Mean Expert Assessors' score

The whole Project is concentrated on a patented pressure technology and the application of it to gas networks and the transportation of hydrogen and biomethane. There is extensive research of similar innovations with good justifaction of how both the technology and the approach are novel in the gas network environment. Related Projects have been described in extensive detail, both domestically and internationally.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors score 7.0

The Project team have a good split of work load with one Partner taking the lead on most activities. The costs appear to be reasonable for the scope of work and are costed competitively. The Project management resource allocation appears to be greater than the 2 month Project delivery period will allow, this will need clarification ahead of Project commencement. One assessor felt that stronger justification could be given for why further SIF funding was required given the Project Partners have received funding for the product development under alternative funding routes.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. **Project plan & milestones** Mean Expert Assessors score 6.4

The Project Plan is sufficient to give confidence in successful delivery of the Discovery Phase, although it lacks detail in some areas. The risks are very limited and miss some key risks such as the availability of the requisite data The work packages appear focussed upon the development of the pressure control technology and assessors have queried the extent of meaningful work put towards the data analysis and algorithm development. The breadth of work appears ambitious, but potentially achievable within the given timeframes. **Regulatory barriers** No Yes/No

To realise the full benefits referenced the Project will need to help inform potential government
and regulatory policy decisions regarding the transportation of hydrogen and biomethane.
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND
The Project team have demonstrated a good case for their innovation and they have undertaken
extensive research across the UK and internationally to make a strong case for this being a novel
and innovative proposal. Some assessors felt that the remote pressure control technology was
the focal point of the Project and have raised concerns that there is not enough meaningful work
on the data capture and artificial intelligence processing referenced. These areas should be
expanded on within the Project ahead of Alpha Phase. The Project Plan and delivery
methodology could be strengthened in some areas but overall the Project has been considered
ambitious with the potential for considerable benefits. These areas should be expanded on within
the Project.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide an estimate with justification for when the technologies under development within this Project will be sufficiently developed for incorporation into business-as-usual activities.

5.3.16 [REDACTED]

5.3.17 10027191, Predictive Safety Interventions, Initial Net Funding Required £58,729

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Southern Gas Networks Plc	£5,588.88	£0.00	£5,588.88
FYLD Limited	£53,140.00	£0.00	£53,140.00

Submitted Project description

A fundamental reshaping of the operation of gas distribution networks is underway. Several factors are driving the transformation, including the shift to a low carbon economy, regulatory license changes requiring improved operational efficiencies and customer satisfaction, and the need to enhance work practices for better safety and productivity. SGN is responding to these challenges by accelerating its journey towards a data-led operating model.

SGN needs high-quality data about operations as they actually take place in the field to facilitate this transformation. However, sourcing this data from a field workforce of 2,500 is a long-standing challenge for companies such as SGN. They have a wealth of anecdotal and tick box form data about field operations -- but, historically, very little of this data is truly insightful.

After a global scan of potential solutions identified no compelling options, SGN partnered with its shareholder Ontario Teachers' Pension Plan and consultancy BCG to build a new product, FYLD. FYLD captures unstructured data (voice, video, imagery, text) about fieldwork activities in real-time, enabling it to develop a digital twin of SGN's field operations. SGN first deployed FYLD to their repairs, replacements, and connections workstreams in April 2021 (750 operatives), where it rapidly delivered substantial productivity and safety gains. The FYLD product is now commercialised with expanded functionality and is owned by a separate company (FYLD Ltd).

This Project aims to expand the capability of FYLD into predictive analytics, primarily in respect of safety interventions. The two organisations wish to Partner on predicting worksites that may

pose a high risk to employee safety as the day's operations unfold and enable remote, proactive safety interventions.

The ability to intervene proactively (i.e., before risks eventuate and then cause harm) has two significant benefits:

- It ensures that SGN employees return home safely each and every day after working in hazardous environments: and
- Reducing incidents and injuries enables a much more predictable flow of work, delivering higher customer satisfaction and contributing to a lower cost structure.

The ability to achieve rapid and meaningful wins is a key enabler for building the organisational confidence of SGN in its transition to data-led operations. The same principle applies to other organisations too. With field workforce solutions being primarily paper based (or digital forms of paper) and reactive, there is substantial opportunity for this Project to be commercialised by FYLD, driving safer and more productive field force operations across the utilities sector.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4 A credible business opportunity is outlined, delivering potential for new products and services across the utilities sector. The challenges have been defined and the proposed approach is outlined with a discussion of the expected benefits it could realise. The case would have been strengthened by more detail on its alignment to SIF targets and an outline of other savings to the consumer as results of safer operations such as additional business benefits (cost, time efficiency). Focus should be given to the engagement (awareness and training) of field teams. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The Big Idea 7.2

Mean Expert Assessors' score

The core idea is explained in good detail and shows strong potential for meeting the aims of the competition in developing new products and services for the utilities industry and addressing the needs of digitalisation of the sector. There are many fieldwork operations and HSE apps available in the market and it might be more cost-effective to use and potentially adapt an existing, proven, and commercialised app. The applicant noted that they did not find a suitable app in the market in 2019, however it would have been useful to outline the current SOA and the reasons the service orientated architecture (SOA) is unsuitable for the required purposes. Expanding this to provide quantitative expectations for the development would be useful. There is an established platform which provides the basis for the Project and which will de-risk the exploitation opportunity. User requirements are understood and at the core of the proposal. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score

7.8

The applicant has provided a very good summary of the expected benefits to customers of improved operational and safety performance in the field. The impact projections are based on the deployment of the current version of the app, prior to the currently proposed improvements/progression, which provides an evidence base and indicators for future benefit tracking. Some quantitative metrics are presented, considering these further and providing more detail of the potential customer impact would be useful. The injuries data and recent improvements are great and reassuring. For full impact it would be useful to see evidence of helping other networks learn too.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Mean Expert Assessors' score **Project Summary** 8.0 The applicant has clearly summarised the Project, outlining the further development of the

fieldwork management AI Application they developed and spun off to harness data for predictive analytics about safety and operational events, facilitating predictive action. The SGN skills summary is generalised and does not speak to skills and experience related to the Project. For

other Partners, their skills are appropriate to achieving the Project outcomes. The response would have been strengthened with a specific explanation of how gains in productivity and safety would translate to reduced customer costs. Consideration should be given to where the line in the sand is between business-as-usual expenditure and innovation. Clearer acknowledgement of sharing progress with other networks would be beneficial. The video and postcard are informative and of good standard.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2

There is a viable route to market via an established platform and market presence in the sector, and there is a clear value proposition for the target market. The value proposition to other key stakeholders is clearly described and shows how the consortium can get the solution to a business as usual. There has been consideration on how the operations will create efficiencies and reduce accidents and down time and ultimately how they will increase productivity and offer a path to better customer satisfaction. Future funding has been considered and appears to be already secured at a sufficient level to deliver the full product. The response would be been strengthened with some commentary on how the SIF grant would be used in coordination with secured private investment. It would have been beneficial to have seen more indication of how the MVP deliverable will be moved to commercial readiness and how it will be used to develop the market opportunity. The topic of IP should form part of the Discovery research. No assessors raised concerns regarding the Project undermining competitive markets.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.Innovation justificationMean Expert Assessors' score

Innovation justificationMean Expert Assessors' score6.4The applicant noted that they did not find a suitable app in the market in 2019, however it would
have been useful to outline the current service orientated architecture (SOA) and the reasons
the SOA is unsuitable. The response would have benefited from more detailed supporting
evidence to substantiate this position and identify nearest competition to explain why this project
is innovative.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.Cost & value for moneyMean Expert Assessors score6.4

The Project costs demonstrate good value to the proposed scope of work, although the proposal provides no breakdown or justification for the spend areas. There is an appropriate balance of costs between the partners. The value proposition of the Project for the applicants has been demonstrated. The balance of costs between the partners is explained. There is a sense that one of the partners is already working in this space and there is limited justification for why this SIF funding is required with one assessor did note that the value for money for consumers is guestionable based on the evidence of benefits.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.Project plan & milestonesMean Expert Assessors score5.0

The applicant has provided a very brief outline of the Discovery phase work packages. The appended Gantt chart illustrates timeframes but provides little further detail on the activities planned and roles. It is not at all clear how Milestone 2 could be completed as part of the Discovery Phase and the applicant has not defined goals and/or an outline plan for the Alpha phase to demonstrate how the Discovery phase work would fit in to the wider context.

A risk analysis has been carried out although the risk coverage is not extensive and some of this relates to operational risk rather than Project life cycle. Consideration has, however, been given to major constraints on the outcome and deployment of the product. Most Assessors expressed concern on the proposed team offering limited user research capabilities which would be more suitable for implementation rather than Discovery. The Project should consider best practice for digital delivery methods.

Regulatory barriers

Yes/ No

No

No regulatory barriers have been identified in relation to this Project.	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority	FUND

The It was generally a well-presented Application and Project. The lack of consideration and detail in the response to the Project plan could be addressed to benefit the Project delivery particularly around the ways of working. The major areas of weakness in the proposal are the lack of supporting evidence for the innovation and the lack on detail regarding expected commercial exploitation outcomes. Despite most Assessors recommending this project for funding comments are divided as to the suitability with concern raised as it seems that FYLD is well funded and could develop the solution without SIF funding, and concerns IP rights will be exploited from Australia, not from a UK base. The proposal should be more transport in this regard. The Project does offer an opportunity to reduce downtime and injury at the whole system level and if successful is looking to deliver a predictive model which will be valuable. The consortium of partners is strong and capable to deliver this Project. Although there is insufficient detail as to the role that NGGT are to provide in the Project.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must include justification as to why the Project should continue with SIF funding rather than under business-as-usual activities.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide evidence detailing how intellectual property and licensing arrangements associated with the Project will remain open and transparent for all interested parties.

5.3.18 10027276, Thermal imagery analysis - Condition assessment fluid and pressure, Initial Net Funding Required £78,182

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00
National Grid Gas Plc	£3,700.00	£0.00	£3,700.00
Synovate Limited	£79,558.33	£7,955.83	£71,602.50

Submitted Project description

Our Vision is to support hydrogen transition at the lowest possible risk and cost to UK gas consumers as fast as possible to protect our climate. This Project will undertake discovery as a primary step to support our vision to provide a network tool and a UK assessment capability. The aim of this is to support a safe, environmental, and cost-effective transition by maximizing existing assets informing how much and where legacy PE assets need to be replaced and/or maintained.

We do this in a minimally invasive way, scheduled ahead of conversion programs minimising unplanned workloads and time off gas for consumers. The solution uses live access sensing to analyse the internal characteristics of a pipeline transporting natural gas, and simulate changes, typically in the form of deterioration or leakage that may occur through changing factors such as gas type or pressure. This captured data predominantly will give assurance and provide essential evidence to enable a greater understanding of risks associated with legacy assets. This Project would gather underpinning condition sensing data for conversion strategies and build confidence in a common approach between UK networks. The Project will aim to test and understand the viability of leakage sensing for conversion assessment to minimise uncertainty around pressure elevation to maximise the retention of current assets. The Project supports the evaluation the costs, risks and opportunities of repurposing or decommissioning existing gas network infrastructure for use with hydrogen. This supports future energy provision for heating, power and transport, safely, at a low consumer cost and in a minimally carbon intensive way. We meet the scope by implementing novel sensor and digital assessment infrastructure to improve network planning, modelling and forecasting capabilities around conversion and replacement risk for legacy assets with field gathered datasets.

NGN developed and deployed robotics within the UK having operational expertise in solution deployment. Synovate has developed the sensor technology having research capability in thermography, vision, utilities and inspection. National Grid Transmission has developed and deployed robotics within the UKs Above Ground Infrastructure (AGIs) for non-destructive testing and inspection.

These Project partners are the best to continue this journey as the team holds knowledge and capabilities in sensing, pipeline inspection and hydrogen conversion where NGN have led many packages of work with the H21 and HyDeploy projects for Hydrogen. National Grid UKs Gas transmission operator with unparalleled access to and knowledge on the UKs AGIs.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score

The Problem has been adequately defined in terms of the potential limitations of the existing infrastructure in handling hydrogen. The opportunities for providing assurance in advance of the transition has also been sufficiently expressed and they are also realistic to achieve several SIF benefits. The response commences by setting out clearly that this Project will deliver reduction in carbon footprint. A further added value is that techniques could also improve understanding of the current PE assets for methane leaks.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score7.6

The Project has been assessed and complies with the Data and Digitalisation challenge requirements. The proposal sets out clearly what it intends to do and why this would be of use in the energy transition, from methane in the NG network to hydrogen. The novel leakage detection sensor is well described and has good prospects for addressing the aims of the competition scope. Higher scores may have been achieved if a brief description of alternatives was provided to strengthen the case.

One Expert Assessor noted that it will is also apparent that the same technology could be used for the assessment of the PE assets for transporting NG/methane. As such it is not clear whether the Project is primarily aimed at the latter (NG asset assessment) rather than the former (energy transition).

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score

re 7.6

8.2

The Application identified a comprehensive range of benefits that are far reaching with significant potential for positive impact, spanning customers, network users, environmental and commercial, as well as for wider groups of stakeholders. Many of these benefits and impacts are measurable and quantifiable with the methods that the Consortium is putting in place. It would have been useful to give an indication of more quantification of the benefits.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &

Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.Project SummaryMean Expert Assessors' score7.8

The Project summary is clear, and the postcard, video and skills appendix have been completed to a good standard. The Applicant has added valuable supplementary information to the Project summary. The proposed Project addresses network innovation, as described in the competition scope, and includes participation from an appropriate range of stakeholders. The three partners comprising a gas grid operator and regional distributor, and industry experts in robotics and

sensing technologies applied to gas transmission represent an ideal consortium. It is unclear to what extent modelling is to be developed, mention is made but insufficient detail provided, and it is also not clear to what extent AGI experience has relevance to below ground PE assets.

Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2

The routes to market are clearly defined and realistic based on high engagement planned. The value proposition of novel sensing for low pressure gas leakage detection has promising prospects to appeal to the identified target groups across the supply chain. The value proposition could have been clearer for other networks. The planned investment case and procurement strategy is appropriate to gaining market confidence in moving to hydrogen supply. The applicant also states that they will look at forming partnerships and licensing IP. It would be useful to include detail on end user requirements and key performance metrics, impact on efficiencies and productivity. No assessors raised concerns regarding the Project undermining competitive markets.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.

Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.4

The response provides a good justification for Project funding under SIF although it could have provided more detail on other innovations which exist. If no other innovations exist, a clearer explanation of the steps taken to rule out other innovations would have strengthened the response. The innovation and its novelty are well described. Explicit justification for why the Project should be funded by SIF is not provided.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors score 6.8

The Project costs are appropriate and should be sufficient to complete the Project successfully. Synovate labour costs seem to be on the higher side of industry norms. The applicant has provided a convincing justification for the Project and why it is good value for customers. There has been an additional contribution to the Project outside of the core SIF costs.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors score 7.8

The Project plan comprising six work packages with well-defined objectives and deliverables is entirely appropriate for a feasibility study in the Discovery Phase. The addressing of the problem statements, defining the value proposition, estimating the costs, benefits and risks represent a well-defined programme of work. Stakeholder engagement and key meetings are extensive. This is a tight but achievable plan to assess viability and define subsequent steps

The risk assessment is rigorous and detailed both for the Discovery Phase and the whole Project with solid mitigation and ownership. An area of concern is to what extent and how much of the Project can be undertaken in the two-month window, which is identified by the Project as a major risk. Any deviation from the plan or slow start will likely affect the Project negatively.

Regulatory barriers

Yes/No

No No immediate regulatory barriers have been identified which are going to block the progress of

the Discovery Phase of this Project. **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority**

FUND

All Assessors recommended this project for funding. This proposal provides high confidence. This is based on the quality of the partners, the rigorous Project and risk-based approach. The Discovery Phase is appropriately formulated to establish the justification, strategy, and roadmap. The answer could have been strengthened with more information about external projects exploring similar strategies and better integration between the milestones in the budget and the Project plan. Despite the gaps, the proposal is timely and positioned to inform important conversations around hydrogen.

This Project solves an important problem by enabling potential gas networks with hydrogen. The Application was strong and has provided a compelling justification for funding, as reflected by scores to individual responses. Project has relevance to the assessment of the current network of PE for suitability for repurposing for hydrogen. It is also applicable to the assessment of current methane leaks in the network. It is thus in scope but could also be considered as applicable to business as usual.

Recommended Project specific conditions None.

5.3.20 10027307, CEV: Critical factors for the adoption of smart homes for energy efficiency and implications for consumers and providers, Initial Net Funding Required £55,395

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Northern Gas Networks Limited	£2,880.00	£0.00	£2,880.00
Newcastle University	£29,842.18	£0.00	£29,842.18
National Energy Action	£22,672.65	£0.00	£22,672.65
Northern Powergrid Limited	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Submitted Project description			

During the Discovery Project we will provide a state-of-the art review of the factors related to the use of data and ICTs/digital technologies, when it comes to energy management and reduction at the household level. The systematic review on smart-homes is expected to cover both academic and industry literature, synthesizing the findings. Our review will comprehensively cover the factors that affect user/consumer behaviour, paving the way for interventions that can result in the adoption and diffusion of data and digital technologies.

The review will be a stream-based systematic one producing robust and reliable results that synthesise and map the knowledge related to the data and digital technologies for smart-home energy management. The preliminary stage of the review will involve a discussion among the members of the review team to set the research protocol. We will then formulate the exact review question and facilitate the decision of the inclusion criteria. We expect to cover the relevant sources (in business, marketing, information systems and energy) published in academic and industry journals and Project reports over the past decade. We will review the abstract of each retrieved source to assess its relevance. Only documents that find agreement from most of the review members will be included for analysis.

Once the documents are selected, a cluster-based feature selection and document classification will be used to analyse them. Document classification is a text mining technique to group similar documents together according to a set of pre-defined categories. We will apply a quantitative content analysis to deduce statistically significant concepts and themes in the text corpus, thus increasing the replicability, objectivity, and generalisability of the research findings. The themes identified will guide the qualitative review that will be undertaken by the team. Each theme will be presented in turn. The analysis will aim to compare/contrast the two academic and industry perspectives, prioritising factors of importance and discussing the relationships among them. This will make it possible to create a framework for understanding the technology adoption and diffusion when it comes to smart-home technologies applied to energy management. The framework and its potential Application will aim to address four limitations of technology acceptance research when it comes to energy management (Technology Use Predictors, Task-Technology Impact, Implementation & Knowledge Gap, System Lifetime & Long-term Implications). In doing so, the framework will pave the way for the alpha (empirical validation) and beta (deployment and implementation) stages.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.8 There is a recognised Problem described relating to the consumer decarbonisation journey and influencing uptake of measures. The applicant has a clear understanding of the nature and scale of the challenge. The application could have achieved higher scores by clearly articulating the link to benefits for the consumers and the energy networks and how this Project will add to the body of knowledge that already exists around barriers to adoption. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. Mean Expert Assessors' score The Big Idea 6.6 The Big Idea has been clearly articulated and addresses the requirements of the Data and Digitalisation challenge. The idea is ambitious, and the applicant has a clear understanding of how it can potentially deliver real benefit within this project and follow-on projects. For an optimised energy system, non-digital solutions like building fabric changes may also have to be considered and so this Project would have benefitted from a wider focus than just digital and date driven solutions. However, it is welcoming to see an explicit intention to integrate work with an NIA Project, this coordination is valued. Whilst there is a lot of clarity about the proposed literature review for the Discovery phase. More detail on how these findings will be used, and by whom, to drive benefits to consumers and energy network companies would be valuable. The proposal needs to show how the research will be disseminated e.g., through NEA. One Assessor did express concern by the proposal having limited research on exactly who and the exact needs of the people who will use the service. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.6 The high-level benefits case is clear. The future use of the outputs has been thought though and described. The support of the Customer Engagement Village Project adds weight that this Project might integrate in a practical commercial environment. Higher scores could have been achieved by providing an indication of some quantitative benefits. Some Assessors would have liked more insights to the benefit benefits for customers, which would be expected to cover at least one of the following: energy affordability, wellbeing, security or guality of life. It also would have been useful to include a clear link between the Project described and the benefits claimed. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 The Project summary is clear and well thought out. The postcard does a good job of capturing what the main summary points. This answer would have benefitted from an explanation of the degree and nature of relative importance of energy networks to the questions being addressed in this Project. In addition, there is limited detail about follow-on phases and how they can translate the academic research into actionable insights and then into market interventions. One Assessor noted a gap in the summary around the intention to spend time identifying and understanding the actual intended users of the possible solution will be. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.2 The Project has the potential to facilitate greater levels of competition. Some thought has been given on the value proposition, but it would be useful to give more information on the value proposition to energy networks. An appropriate level of thought for dissemination has been given for this stage of the Project. The Project should consider how they will engage with other stakeholders such as representative organisations such as Energy Systems Catapult as well as Market Players. 84

Consideration should be given to who do the applicants think will pay for this service to exist? Who will have the incentive to invest and keep information up to date? Some insight on the size of the potential benefits also could be given. No Assessors viewed this as a risk to undermining competitive markets at this stage.			
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.			
Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 60			
A very clear everyone of the challenges for take up of energy reduction are outlined. The			
premise of introducing greater scientific rigour to investments is welcomed. The applicant has researched the area well and demonstrated their insight into the challenges. They have outlined why their approach is different. The overall solution is innovative. Although academically very strong the innovation driven through NEA should be clearer.			
Thought should be given to related projects and how they may address similar issue and there for can be integrated with this innovation to develop a coordinate approach, for example, Energy Systems Catapult Smart Homes.			
Fligibility Criterion 7, Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively			
Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors score 7.0			
Most Assessors agreed that the Project costs were appropriate. Clearer explanation of how benefits will be achieved and how they will justify the costs incurred would be useful. Assessors noted that some day rates are at the higher end of industry norms and have challenged the Project to ensure that resource costs for some Project Partners are proportionate to the activities to be carried out.			
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust			
methodology so that they can progress in a timely manner.			
Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors score 6.4			
The Project plan is clear, the work packages are logical and clear milestones have been			
provided. Some information on how the teams will work together should be provided and some provision for engaging with other organisations such as Energy Systems Catapult, BRE, Green Homes Alliance etc.The risk high level but appropriate for this stage. Appropriate mitigation has been provided.			
Risks associated with establishing and researching end users' requirements should be managed			
to ensure effective learnings.			
Regulatory barriers Yes/ No No			
No regulatory barriers have been identified in relation to this Project.			
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND			
This Application is split Assessor's feedback. Whilst most of them thought this was a good project, there was strong and experienced team, and they welcomed the smart home approach. There were areas where the application could be improved. The route to market was the main concern with Assessors noting that the applicants appear to be ready to build 'their solution', not one that has been tested is needed by other players. As			
noted above the limited references to other up to date and evolving body of work by other organisations was also an issue. Additional explanation of how the findings will be used in future phases and how the Project overall can achieve the goals and benefits sought for energy network companies and their			
consumers would be advantageous.			
Recommended Project specific conditions			
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;			

Condition 3

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

Condition 4

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI clarification of the role of the network operators in this Project.

Condition 5

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must outline its initial views for a route to market for the Project. This outline should consider identifying potential users of the framework and knowledge base, a dissemination strategy for Project findings, and opportunities to influence customer action via National Energy Action.

5.3.21 [REDACTED]

5.3.22 10027572, Digital Platform for Leakage Analytics, Initial Net Funding Required £114,576

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
Cadent Gas Ltd	£7,639.23	£7,639.23	£0.00
Southern Gas Networks Plc	£3,112.88	£3,112.88	£0.00
National Grid Gas Plc	£4,415.69	£0.00	£4,415.69
Guidehouse Inc.	£110,160.00	£0.00	£110,160.00

Submitted Project description

Cadent Gas Ltd, partnered with Guidehouse, National Grid PLC and SGN to determine how advancements in data and digital technology can be utilised to reduce gas network leakage. Reporting and capturing lost gas volumes in the UK is typically undertaken by the use of a static modelling tool based on scientifically ratified leakage rates that are applied to known asset bases. The volume of losses over an annual cycle are easy to calculate, however, limitations mean real-time intelligence and reactive decision making isn't possible using the current approach. The Project partners aim discover the cost and value of new data, technology, and digital platforms in reducing gas network leakage. This Project will unlock possibilities to further reduce our Business Carbon Footprint of which Shrinkage forms the majority. The reduction also reduces the socialised costs on customer bills.

Reducing emissions from leakage is paramount to achieving Net-Zero targets, and technological advances since the inception of our modelling tools opens opportunity for reform in the methodology. The improvements will also improve operational decision making, maintenance and asset replacement strategies and improve customer safety.

The current reporting mechanism (Distribution Network applicable) means all network operators report using the same universal method, so the expectation for this Project would be that any identified improvements to calculating emissions would be implemented throughout the UK, furthering widening the benefits of this Project.

The discovery phase of the Project will look to review available data and technology and will consider the following areas:

*Existing asset data from GIS systems and historic leak notifications

*Operational monitoring data, with the potential inclusion of smart metering data

*Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) technology using pulsed light waves emitted into the area of interest to form emissions pictures

*Satellite data giving locational information for leakage in real time

*Mobile emissions detection, either using handheld devices or drone mounted detection systems

A successful discovery phase could lead to the development of a digital platform for leakage analytics providing multiple data feeds together for the first time. The platform will provide insights and confidence that facilitate targeted proactive investment and a step change improvement in leakage reduction processes. Our emissions reduction pathways have historically been very good, for example, each Distribution Network outperformed regulators targets during the 2014 to 2021 price control period. This Project will build on our good track record in reducing emissions and expediate this into the future. **Problem and opportunity** Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.2 Assessors acknowledged that the Problem has been well described. The limitations of the current method are identified well in the answer and the use of data sets, new technologies, some identified to give meaning to this are succinctly expressed. The opportunities could be better referenced through network resilience benefits to improve the transition to a low carbon gas network. The application could justify the statement of 'the value of the lost gas will increase as gas networks move from natural gas to hydrogen.' There is potential for the Project to deliver real benefits. the use of supplementary use of visualising technologies such as LiDAR could further concrete the argument. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. Mean Expert Assessors' score The Big Idea 7.2 The Project has been assessed and complies with the Data and Digitalisation challenge requirements. The idea has been viewed as ambitious, but detail is lacking about the datasets and how useful they are, and the requirements to scale the analytics to support the model described. The Project has the potential to develop a replicable innovative solution and deliver benefits. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.4 The Application gives reasonable justification of achieving considerable cost savings with the potential impacts to users are well described. Quantifying these will be a valuable outcome of this Project but it would have been useful to have some degree of quantification in this application. An Assessor has noted there is limited consideration of the investment and maintenance costs required to implement this modelling capability. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project Summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 The Project aim is clear, and the Project Partners are strong and experienced. The role of Project partners is well described. Several Assessors commented on the helpfulness and high

standard of the postcard, video and skills appendix.

Three Assessors expressed that the project summary was very high level to really understand if the innovation is achievable over and above current methods. Although the application noted that there is an existing process which is positive for implementing this innovation. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets

Route to market

6.4

The route to market of this project has been well thought through the challenges and rightly understood the global potential of a successful solution. It was positively noted that the international market has been considered by several assessors. The dissemination of information and learning, and work required with Ofgem to continue insights is useful.

Higher scores could have been achieved by giving more detail on the potential financial benefit to both the consortium and the user of innovation and the benefits for the consumer in the summary. Some further information is needed on the national modelling capability, the set-up costs, resourcing and maintenance requirements and changes to current investment models.

No assessors raised concerns regarding the Project undermining competitive markets.

Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.Innovation justificationMean Expert Assessors' score

Innovation justificationMean Expert Assessors' score7.4The application demonstrates a good understanding of existing leak detection technologies and
justifies why the proposed technology is innovative. The innovation and its novelty are well
described but could have been outlined more clearly. Good justification is provided for why the
Project should be funded under SIF. There is the potential for good R&D to come out of this
Project. Thought should be given to how this project interacts with digital twins and AI.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.Cost & value for moneyMean Expert Assessors score5.2

Most Assessors acknowledged that the Project costs are appropriate and should be sufficient to complete the Project successfully. More information could be provided to justify the personnel costs such as higher subcontractors by showing costs against a detailed task view. The balance of costs among the partners seems reasonable. The team are strong and complimentary. There has been an additional contribution to the Project outside of the core SIF costs.

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they can progress in a timely manner.

Project plan & milestones Mean Expert A

Mean Expert Assessors score

The Project is likely to deliver based on this planning. The Project plan and milestones are well thought through and presented and have clear ownership. A robust methodology to ensure effective Project delivery is well described. It would be useful to include costs against work packages (either number of person hours or money), to help understand the relationship between resource and activity.

It was noted by assessors that the risk register was limited and would benefit from including a risk on the availability of datasets and should include achievable post-mitigation RAG ratings.

Regulatory barriersYes/ NoNoNo immediate regulatory barriers have been identified which are going to block the progress of
the Discovery Phase of this Project.No

Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

FUND

6.4

Overall Assessors have recommended this project for funding. The proposed Project addresses the important problem of leakage analytics in gas distribution pipework. The potential benefits to end users are well described. The Project has a high chance of delivering at the discovery stage. Consideration must be given to how this platform will integrated with digital twins to make a cohesive system. There is a very good understanding of the previous work and the potential to build upon this. The team is strong, and the supporting material is well thought through.

The main concerns highlighted for not recommending this project for funding is higher costs for third party contractor and more justification is needed, and inadequately addressing the barriers to developing and deploying a modelling capability.

The reduction of methane leakage is a high priority for Government and industry, and the project should interact with the appropriate workstreams to identify if this innovation could realise benefits sooner in the current system.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide clarification for how the innovation a part of this Project aims to reduce methane leakage, and how this Project differs from existing digital leakage analytics platforms used for calculating methane leakage.

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

5.3.23 10027585, Eye in the Sky - Utilising satellite data to improve grid resilience in emergency, Initial Net Funding Required £119,105

Project Partner name	Eligible costs	Project contribution	Initial Net Funding Required
National Grid Electricity Transmission Plc	£66,358.66	£0.00	£66,358.66
National Grid Gas Plc	£4,664.00	£0.00	£4,664.00
Spottitt Ltd	£48,082.22	£0.00	£48,082.22
European Space Agency - ESRIN	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Submitted Project description			

Energy infrastructure is vulnerable to natural hazards and extreme weather events that are becoming more frequent due to the impacts of climate change. In recent years Great Britain's (GB) electrical network companies reported the declining resiliency margins available to maintain the grid stability, hence the chances of prolonged power outages are continuously increasing. When extreme events like flash flooding, heavy rain, snowstorm, wildfire etc. occurs it is difficult to quickly assess the severity of damage using the existing manual inspection/surveying methods. Deploying specialised teams across large, affected areas, sometimes in remote locations, incurs significant costs and safety risks for the technical personnel and equipment. Due to these reasons electrical power networks are less resilient to disruptions, faults or damage caused by climate change events, that have not existed when most of the network infrastructure was designed and built.

The advantages of using remote sensing satellite data for transmission networks can be significant. Firstly, during normal operational time satellite data can be used to aid regular maintenance such as detection of vegetation or bird nests on/around the transmission towers, unauthorised construction, damaged power assets etc. Secondly, during a natural disaster, access to data about the location and effects can allow better and quick resource allocation to increase the resiliency of the power network. Thirdly, satellite data can be used to make predictive models for events that are not considered in the existing models that are based on historic data from pre-climate change era.

This Project is aimed to investigate new satellite data analytics solutions via remote sensing that
can help GB networks to improve understanding of the asset conditions, better allocate
resources, prepare, and respond to extreme weather events. The final solution should
significantly reduce the requirements for manual ground and aerial based monitoring. This would
allow the GB transmission network to be better informed about the network conditions and more
reliable while lowering emissions and costs associated with Operation & Maintenance activities.
This is closely aligned with the Data and Digitalisation challenge's aim to improve data
monitoring, increase efficiency, reliability, security, and resiliency of networks.Problem and opportunityMean Expert Assessors' score8.8

Assessors acknowledged that the Problem has been well articulated. Some assessors noted the solution would have benefitted from a clearer description of the features and uses of the satellite sensing data and opportunities for other networks to adopt this solution. However, overall, this project presents a good description of the potential costs and new opportunities.			
The Dig Idee	Moor Export Accessory' coord		
	Mean Expert Assessors' score	9.0	
requirements. The idea has been broadly yet the approach and benefits are clearly o identify quickly the area of focus where mo latency of least 2 hours may still be insuffic	defined, whilst the solution may not be documented. Through Discovery Partr ost value can be added. An assessor of cient to adequately respond to emergen	lienge clearly defined lers must lid note that the ncies.	
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have to gas or electricity consumers	e clearly identified potential to delive	er a net benefit	
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.4	
The Application benefits are clearly descril scores may have been achieved by preser benefits.	bed for Economic and Regional impact nting a quantitative assessment of the p	s. Higher potential	
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must invo	olve network innovation &		
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must incl	ude participation from a range of sta	ikeholders.	
Project Summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2	
The application involves reasonable network innovation, which is clearly articulated, the extent of the innovation will depend on how innovative the project is in use of data science and analytics to derive insight and forecasts from the satellite imagery. The representation of Project Partners and stakeholders is credible for the delivery of the Discovery Phase. With specific credit given from one Assessor for including the water sector mentioned It would be useful to understand the deliverables each partner is delivering.			
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not	undermine the development of com	petitive	
markets			
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.6	
The value to the lead network is well define for the lead network BAU implementation h this innovation would transfer and impact of processes and systems transition to new a the workforce changes being more comple awareness, training and skills, along with lo activities to a digital service.	ed. For the discovery phase, it is overa nowever, it would have been useful to o operational efficiencies. For example, h opproach. Particularly concern has been ex than presented in plan. For example ogistical implications of evolving one of	II a good plan consider how low will en noted around a, staff the field work	
The Project could also consider assessment the procurement processes of other potential customers for the service, and the business model in which it would be offered (SaaS or bespoke service etc) with consideration being given to the value proposition to distributed generators.			
It is noted that partners involved not don't appear to depend on External Funding to complete this Project, but it would be useful to highlight any investment need of Spottitt.			
No assessors raised concerns regarding th	ne Project undermining competitive ma	arkets.	
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be i	nnovative, novel and/or risky.		
Innovation justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0	
The level of the innovation, in terms of App evidence. There are alternative approache explored for example GIS models, created	blication, is clearly explained and support es not involving satellites that could have by airplanes using LIDAR produce hig	orted with some ve been h resolution	

maps, or ground and asset located sensor	s. It would have been useful to justify v	why satellites	
are the right choice or complement these other solutions. There are various other projects /			
initiatives supporting networks to use sate	inte imagery and the project should con	Illinue to work	
Closely with related initiatives to support be	eller learnings.		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro	vide value for money and be costed (
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors score	<i>1</i> .4	
The Project team is reasonable for task an	d available timescale. The balance of c	osts between	
the partners is generally justified. Expandin	ng upon the cost justification for the Cr	antield input	
would be useful. Some justification is need	tion The project should also continue	articularly	
the ESA is the best value for money for on	ruse. The project should also continue	ously consider ii	
the ESA is the Dest value for money for co	nsumers in future privase. If there was	an additional	
Eligibility Criterion & Project outside of the C	ore SIF costs for ESA this should have		
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be a	in a timely menner	JST	
Decided along So that they can progress	m a timely manner.	7.6	
The Droject plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors score	1.0	
The Project plan is well structured with cle	ar work packages. The Gantt chart wol	uid benefit from	
offering a breakdown of the tasks involved	In delivering the work packages. An A	Assessor has	
expressed concern relating to the lack of s	ather prejects or related technologies	in the exect	
	other projects of related technologies	in the asset	
resilience space.			
A good according to f the ricks has been r	provided Appropriate mitigation is prov	contod and the	
risks are re-scored post mitigation	browded. Appropriate mitigation is pres	senteu, anu the	
Regulatory barriers	Ves/No	No	
No immediate regulatory barriers have bee	n identified which are going to block t	no no progress of	
the Discovery Phase of this Project	en identified which are going to block th	le progress of	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	w Markets Authority	FUND	
All assessors have viewed this application	positively. The problem is well describ	hed and there	
are considerable benefits associated with	positively. The problem is well describes a series of the problem is well describes and therefore savings the series of the seri	the end user	
	asset resilience and therefore savings i		
The main gap identified in previous innova	tions is using satellite imagery to supp	ort the response	
to extreme events. This can should be con	sidered in the discovery phase. To fin	esse the	
Project the Project Partners should ensure	that technology always remains in se	rvice of the	
business goals it is there to support that g	reater attention is paid to stakeholders	(raising their	
awareness and learning their needs, partic	ularly field workers) and that the route	to market	
develops a better plan for inclusive scalabi	lity.		
Recommended Project specific condition	ins		
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we			
recommend these Project specific condition	ons are attached to funding of this proje	ect:	
		· · · · ,	
Condition 3			
As part of its end of Project Phase report.	he Funding Party must include justification	ation as to why	

6. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Heat

Consumers need improved accessibility to low-carbon heating options which remains reliable and affordable in comparison to existing solutions. For many domestic, commercial, and industrial end consumers, heat represents a significant proportion of their energy bills.

Heating accounts for almost over a third of the UK's overall greenhouse gas emissions and to date has proved challenging to decarbonise. Presently most heating requirements are served by natural gas, or oil.

Heat networks, electric and hybrid heat pumps, hydrogen, biofuels, and other technologies have potential to contribute to the heat transformation necessary to meet national 2030 and 2050 emissions targets.

It is likely that the best low-carbon heat choices will be dependent on local characteristics such as local heat sources, or infrastructure capacity, and consumer preferences.

In all scenarios, the energy networks will play a crucial role in delivering the infrastructure required to support the decarbonisation of heat.

This section covers the requirements and assessment of Applications received to the <u>Heat</u> Innovation Challenge.

6.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Heat – Scope

Project scope was described in the Innovation Challenge brief for the Heat Challenge as;

"To lead a Project applicant must:

- be a licenced: gas distribution network, transmission network operator, or electricity system operator
- work with a heat technology or infrastructure provider such as: heat network providers, heat pump manufacturers or waste to energy site developers as your subcontractors

Applicants should consider all the points listed here, but as a minimum must directly address at least one as the primary focus of the proposed Project:

- using smart approaches to manage large-scale electrified heat deployment in a local area, reducing the need for network reinforcement
- using smart meters with heat pumps to optimise usage and energy system flexibility
- the commercial and investment case for financing heating technologies alongside energy network innovation
- working with Project Partners on how deployment of low carbon heating solutions can be better coordinated to minimise gas and electricity network constraints at lowest economic cost"

6.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Heat – Proposals

6 proposals were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 11am 17th November 2021, all were deemed eligible for the Heat Innovation Challenge as per the scope outlined in section 6.1. All projects submitted by an eligible licensed distribution or transmission network have been assessed by the Expert Assessors and are listed below.

Project ref.	Project name	Funding licensee	Total eligible costs (£)	Total Project contribution (£)	Total SIF Funding requested (£)
10020609	Ch4rge - Emissions Capture	NGGT	144,782	0	144,782
10022648	Hydrogen Barrier Coatings for Gas Network Assets	NGGT	74,706	0	74,706
10025661	Flexible Heat	SPT	153,175	15,317	137,858
10025662	HEAT BALANCE	SPT	139,661	13,966	125,695
10027185	Velocity Design with Hydrogen	SGN	55,542	0	55,542
[REDACTED]					

6.3 Evaluation of Heat submissions

6.3.1 10020609, Ch4rge - Emissions Capture, Initial Net Funding Required £144,782

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
National Grid Gas Plc	£16,767.00	£0.00	£16,767.00
Project Environmental Solutions Ltd	£51,660.00	£0.00	£51,660.00
Mott Macdonald Limited	£76,355.00	£0.00	£76,355.00
Submitted Project description			

Across the National Transmission System (NTS), gas losses occur for various reasons, including planned and emergency venting, or through unforeseen leaks. These losses are classified as fugitive or venting emissions. Reducing these emissions and paving the way for a carbon-free network is an important aspect of the work we're doing to help meet the UK's Net-Zero target.

Innovative technological solutions are becoming available for the first time which will allow this methane or hydrogen-based process gas releases to be captured, dramatically reducing overall emissions and facilitating the first key step in a network transition that will take the NTS towards Net-Zero.

Previous NIA projects have demonstrated that several of these technologies could provide viable and deployable solutions to process gas losses, specifically from rotating machinery seals and planned compressor venting.

These solutions are potentially suitable for installation as new build kit, or to be retrofitted to existing equipment. However, while in some cases these technologies are undergoing pilot trials, none are being conducted on the NTS or its associated assets.

SIF funding would support in the discovery of viable solutions for compressor machinery train (CMT) emissions reduction on the NTS and would help to demonstrate the real-life utilisation and success of such a solution.

Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Assessors' score	9.0
A clear and compelling problem to reduce	e losses of particularly harmful gas emis	sions in the gas
transmission system. A credible opportun	ity has been presented to apply technic	al solutions to
this problem, with the opportunity to signi	ficantly reduce the environmental impac	t of gas
networks and reduce network costs by m	inimising wasteful losses. The comment	ary sets out the
issue being addressed and describes why	/ this specific problem is a current and f	uture barrier to
achieving full Net-Zero gas transportation		

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.	
The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6	
The Big Idea is well articulated, together with a clear indication of the current state of technolog development and IP arrangements. There could be a stronger case of prioritisation of solutions and given the previous work already carried out it is unclear what the success criteria for the Discovery Phase is and how they differentiate from previous studies. On balance the proposal is assessed as meeting the Heat challenge requirements, although closer linkage of how this Project relates to the consumer heat end use would have strengthened the Application. As this focussed upon the elimination of emissions involved in the transportation of fuels used primarily for heat then it does offer potential for delivering benefits associated with heat.	אַץ s is y
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benef	fit
to gas or electricity consumers	
The potential benefits are clearly defined, and appropriate metrics identified for tracking these henefits through Brainest development. These are primarily henefitting in emission reductions	
benefits through Project development. These are primarily benefitting in emission reductions, although there is potential for some smaller financial benefits to consumers also. The assessment of potential benefits is comprehensive. The qualitative descriptions are appropriate for a Discovery Phase Application, although more quantified analysis will be necessary for progression in later phases. This question has clearly been fully considered by the applicant an the case made here is a good one.	; Id
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &	
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.	
Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.6	
The commentary offers an excellent summary of the objectives in the Project and how they will overcome specific needs in the gas network(s) at a critical time nearing Net-Zero deadlines. Th detail is concise and very clear. The organisations, personnel and skills have been clearly identified in the appendices. These provide confidence that the range of required competences are available with suitable stakeholders represented.	ie S
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive	
markets	
Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.6	
The route to market plan is described very clearly and there is sound logic underpinning the structure of the planned roll out of this innovation when proven. The value proposition is clear and makes solid sense and the applicant has a clear appreciation of the participation of all othe stakeholders in the roll out phase. The commentary also provides insight to the plans for dissemination and sharing of lessons learnt to peer organisations. This meets the requirements to ensure maintenance of fair competitive markets.	er ;
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.	
Innovation justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.8	
The commentary provides a strong case for the need for this innovation and references the positive impacts it can bring. It also presents a good case for the raised risk levels involved in this proposal. This is a strong response with the main concerns noting that the concepts considered here are well developed. These raise the questions of whether the innovations under assessment could be rolled out as business as usual at an earlier stage. This should be assessed and considered at the Alpha Phase Application.	er ed
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively	7.
Cost & Value for MoneyMean Expert Assessors' score8.0	
The overall cost base for the Project seems fair and balanced. Individual cost items are reasonable and look to be costed competitively. The labour rates quoted are in line with expectations for industry standards. Given the potential reduction in the business carbon footprint, this would seem to deliver value for money. Better justification of why these costs is most appropriately provided via the SIF could have been given.	

Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be v	vell thought through and have a rob	ust	
methodology so that they are capable of	progressing in a timely manner.		
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.8	
The Project plan and the risk management	plan are aligned very well and both g	ive confidence	
that the Project delivery will progress in a t	imely manner. There is good detail pr	ovided on the	
description of work packages and respons	ibilities are appropriate between the P	roject Partners.	
The attached appendix is simple with no til	neline for deliverables.		
Regulatory barriers	Yes/ No	No	
Cost-effectiveness of leakage reduction ne	eds to be demonstrated with evidence	e provided to	
Ofgem for implementation under the regulation	atory price control.		
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	y Markets Authority	FUND	
Methane release reduction has been ident	ified as a key outcome targeted follow	ing COP26. The	
means to achieve this on the National Tran	smission System are described thoro	ughly here and	
the Project presents an opportunity to move forward government objectives. The proposal has			
been very well prepared, with emissions re	been very well prepared, with emissions reduction benefits well understood and a very robust		
Project plan presented. Assessors unanime	ously agreed that this Project was wor	thy of funding,	
although raised questions about how much	continued innovation support was ne	cessary ahead	
of transitioning solutions into business as u	isual.	5	
Recommended Project specific conditio	ns		
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit	ies identified during the project asses	sment, we	
recommend these Project specific condition	ons are attached to funding of this proi	ect:	
)	
Condition 3			
Prior to the commencement of the Project	t, the Funding Party must provide to (Ofgem and UKRI	
evidence that the Project does not duplica	ite any work included in the existing (CH4RGE projects	
(NIA NGGT0164 ⁹ and NIA NGGT0174 ¹⁰)			
Condition 4			

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

6.3.2 10022648, Hydrogen Barrier Coatings for Gas Network Assets, £74,706

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
National Grid Gas Plc	£11,869.00	£0.00	£11,869.00
Ultima Forma Ltd	£35,843.74	£0.00	£35,843.74
University of Warwick (Warwick	£26,993.00	£0.00	£26,993.00
Manufacturing Group)			
Submitted Project description			

Submitted Project description

The National Transmission System (NTS) is a network of high pressure natural gas pipelines, that supply gas to about forty power stations, large industrial users and gas distribution companies that supply commercial and domestic users. The natural gas is transported from the terminals situated on the coast to the end user. Around 23 million homes are heated by natural gas today, supplied through the NTS.

In order to achieve the UK's Net-Zero targets by 2050, the gas networks will play an important part through the delivery of Net-Zero gases such as hydrogen. These gases have different properties to natural gas and therefore have different effects on the pipeline assets and systems. In 2026, BEIS are looking to define the heat strategy and conclude the role of hydrogen in heat

⁹ https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nia_nggt0164/

¹⁰ https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nia_nggt0174/

in the UK, and work is underway as part of the hydrogen grid research and development working group, to define the asset capability. Understanding the effects of hydrogen embrittlement and its impact on the NTS assets is a focus area. This Project looks at active prevention of hydrogen embrittlement through the use of coatings and novel materials, to increase the lifetime of the assets in a hydrogen environment and reduce the cost to the consumer in maintenance and replacement.

This Project will assess a variety of methods to line the interior of the pipes with thin barrier coatings, to enable hydrogen to be safely transported along the existing pipes, whilst also considering the potential impact on future pipeline materials such as composites. The Project will consider the opportunity to apply these coatings in situ via pipeline inspection gauges, robotics or gas dispersed systems, while also reviewing the opportunities and associated costs with undertaking the Application offline. The partners in the discovery Project are experts in coating techniques and composite materials. In later phases of work, further partners will be onboarded to cover topics such as the Application method and specific coating techniques.

The Project is being led by National Grid with Project partners Ultima Forma, an electroforming and materials science specialist, with proven technology for hydrogen permeability barriers, and Warwick Manufacturing Group, experts in composite materials and manufacturing processes.

The output of the discovery phase will be; detailed research into the feasibility of the technologies, an understanding of the opportunity for demonstration through the SIF process, and commercial viability for deployment on the NTS, post the Beta Phase.

Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2		
Hydrogen embrittlement is a known Problem of high pressure steel pipes as in the NTS and if a				
cheap and effective solution could be fou	cheap and effective solution could be found to remove that Problem, it would avoid the costly			
alternative of replicating parts of the netw	ork with hydrogen-compliant piping, if a	decision is		
made by BEIS and Ofgem to proceed wit	h widespread deployment of hydrogen t	ransportation.		
I his Problem has been well articulated, a	ind the Project is exploring if technical so	olutions to		
overcoming nydrogen embrittlement can	unlock opportunities associated with na	tional adoption		
of low carbon fuels in the NTS.	dress the Innerration Challenge act h			
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must ad	Moon Export Accessors' score			
Circo this Draigat is likely to develop avis		7.4		
Since this Project is likely to develop evic	tence which will facilitate decision makin	ig on the use of		
hydrogen for neating, it has been assessed	ed as meeting addressing some of the c	ore criteria of		
the Heat innovation Challenge. It is seen	as a valuable Project. The idea is clearly	and success		
		() ()		
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must na	ve clearly identified potential to delive	er a net benefit		
to gas or electricity consumers				
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.8		
This proposal would start to fill a knowledge gap that is essential to inform the decision making				
for a hydrogen strategy due in 2026. Although the full benefits of transitioning to a low carbon				
gas system could not be fully attributed to	o this Project, successful demonstration	of feasibility		
would enable significant carbon emission savings for consumers. Further an economic				
assessment of the costs of this approach compared to alternative methodologies would be				
expected. This would provide evidence of whether cost saving and resilience benefits could also				
be realised.				
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &				
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inc	clude participation from a range of sta	ikeholders.		
Project summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	9.0		
I his is a technically challenging piece of	work to deliver and this early stage stud	y will be critical		
to the pathway to that delivery. The prop	osal is clearly focussed upon gas networ	K orientated		
I state at the Atlanta. The all Disates and Atlanta is a large at the second second second second second second	at aradaptiala and agama highly likely ta	dolwor thio		

study very well. Project Partners should ensure adequate engagement and participation from

policy makers and regulators throughout the Project. The response provided is considered, thoughtful and concise.

		petitive
markets		
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	9.0
The approach to the route to market plan	for a developed solution is set out well	here and the
commentary describes how a network of s	stakeholders will become engaged in its	s delivery. The
value proposition is clear and the potentia	I positive impact from the Project could	l be significant.
Greater detail on the investment needs of	third parties to bring ideas to maturity,	and to develop
a supply chain of market ready products w	vould be a valuable addition. There is a	pplicability of
solutions to gas distribution networks, for	which the route to market could also be	e considered.
There also appear to be potential internati	onal export opportunities by being early	y developers of
these technologies.		, ,
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	innovative, novel and/or risky.	
Innovation Justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.6
Past and related work in this area has bee	n described comprehensively and give	s confidence
that this Project is novel and innovative in	its scope. The utilisation and applicabil	ity of technical
solutions proven in other sectors, and app	lied in novel environments or configura	ations is
welcomed. Assessors would like to also se	e awareness and consideration of the	challenges
faced with scaling the deployment of the s	solution considered within the Project.	enanongoo
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro	vide value for money and be costed	competitively.
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	9.4
Overall Project costs are entirely appropria	ate to the Project's objectives and the i	ndividual cost
entries are highly competitive. The core be	enefits of a successful solution are likel	v to be applied
principally to the operation of future gas n	etworks with the benefits delivering va	lue directly to
network consumers. Additional contribution	ons are made outside of the funding rec	nuest
presenting further value for money to cons	sumers.	10001,
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be	well thought through and have a rob	ust
weath a delease and the state of a new ship a		
methodology so that they are capable o	of progressing in a timely manner.	
Project plan & milestones	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag	8.2 e assigned to
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ea	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag ich work package are identified in the a	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project
Project plan & milestonesThe Project plan is clear, with accountabilityProject Partners. The deliverables from each plan and provide confidence that the Project	Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountabilit Project Partners. The deliverables from ea plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is suffic	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l cient for Discovery Phase but will need	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent pha	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l cient for Discovery Phase but will need ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent phat offered by the Project team give confidence	Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l sient for Discovery Phase but will need ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable.	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountabilit Project Partners. The deliverables from ea plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent phat offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers	Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work packag ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l cient for Discovery Phase but will need ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from each plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde	An expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ity for the delivery Phase but will need itent for Discovery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is sufficed in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde provide evidence for consideration of those	Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l sient for Discovery Phase but will need ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project are decisions and should involve direct e	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent phar offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hydrophyde provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams.	Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ach work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l cient for Discovery Phase but will need ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project and the other than the NTS. However, the project and the other than the NTS. However, the project and the NTS. However, the project	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Projecty provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyder provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity	Antiperiod Antiperiod Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ity for the delivery Phase but will need ity for Discovery Phase but will need ity for the timetable is ambitious, but the ity that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project ity Markets Authority	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is sufficed in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ich work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The l cient for Discovery Phase but will need to a ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Projective decisions and should involve direct e ty Markets Authority that has defined a clear Problem, with p	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Projecty provided deliverables and outputs is sufficed in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emisting Project plan & milestones Project Project Project & milestones Project Project Project & milestones Project Project Project & milestones Project Project Project Project & milestones Project Project Project Project & milestones Project Project Project	A progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ith for the delivery of each work package ith work package are identified in the a eact will be managed competently. The less eact for Discovery Phase but will need to ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project the decisions and should involve direct eact ty Markets Authority that has defined a clear Problem, with passion reductions and potentially cost sa	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Projecty provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emistic consumer. On the whole assessors considered	Antiperiod Antiperiod Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ity for the delivery Phase but will need itent for Discovery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project itent delivery and should involve direct expected that has defined a clear Problem, with passion reductions and potentially cost satisfiered this proposal to be extremely suit	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is sufficed in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emistic consumer. On the whole assessors consider funding due to the inherent uncertainty and	of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ich work package are identified in the area ect will be managed competently. The levent for Discovery Phase but will need to a ses. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Projective decisions and should involve direct expension reductions and potentially cost satisfiered this proposal to be extremely suited risk associated with investment. The	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No it looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Projecty provided deliverables and outputs is sufficed in more granular detail for subsequent phate offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyde provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emise consumer. On the whole assessors consider funding due to the inherent uncertainty and challenges to achieving the objectives of the Recommendation to the Case of the Constitution of the Case of	A progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ith for the delivery of each work package ith work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The less ith the managed competently is achievable. I ves/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project ith the managed should involve direct est ith the managed competent is a solution of the management of	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical rtise of the
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Projecty provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent plan offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hydrony provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emistic consumer. On the whole assessors consider funding due to the inherent uncertainty and challenges to achieving the objectives of to delivery team.	A progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ach work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The level tient for Discovery Phase but will need to ases. The timetable is ambitious, but the ce that delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project the decisions and should involve direct en- ty Markets Authority that has defined a clear Problem, with particular to be extremely suit dered this proposal to be extremely suit d risk associated with investment. The he study seem feasible given the exper- tion of the study seem feasible given th	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical rtise of the
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Projecy provided deliverables and outputs is suffice in more granular detail for subsequent plan offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hydrony provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emistic consumer. On the whole assessors consider funding due to the inherent uncertainty and challenges to achieving the objectives of the delivery team.	A section of the study seem feasible given the expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ach work package are identified in the action of the section of the sec	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No it looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical rtise of the
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is sufficed in more granular detail for subsequent plan offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hydrony provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emistic consumer. On the whole assessors consider funding due to the inherent uncertainty and challenges to achieving the objectives of to delivery team. It is important that consideration is also give	A subscription of progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ith for the delivery of each work package ith work package are identified in the a ect will be managed competently. The less ith the managed competently will need the ith the managed competently. The less ith the managed competently is achievable. I ves/No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project ith the managed should involve direct est ith the man	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical rtise of the be deployed at
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is sufficient in more granular detail for subsequent plan offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hydroxide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emistic consumer. On the whole assessors consideration funding due to the inherent uncertainty and challenges to achieving the objectives of the delivery team. It is important that consideration is also give scale, and the costs associated with doing does to the costs associate	A section of the study seem feasible given to how a successful solution could so. Furthermore, close working with p	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical rtise of the be deployed at olicy makers
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clear, with accountability Project Partners. The deliverables from ear plan and provide confidence that the Project provided deliverables and outputs is sufficient in more granular detail for subsequent plan offered by the Project team give confidence Regulatory Barriers Regulatory barriers exist to the use of hyd provide evidence for consideration of those with the relevant BEIS and Ofgem teams. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricitient The applicants have delivered a proposal solutions that could deliver significant emits consumer. On the whole assessors consideration of the inherent uncertainty and challenges to achieving the objectives of the delivery team. It is important that consideration is also give scale, and the costs associated with doing and regulators considering the future use	All progressing in a timely manner. Mean Expert Assessors' score ity for the delivery of each work package ity for the delivery is achievable. Yes/ No rogen is the NTS. However, this Project ity decisions and should involve direct each ty Markets Authority that has defined a clear Problem, with p sion reductions and potentially cost sate dered this proposal to be extremely suit ity risk associated with investment. The he study seem feasible given the exper	8.2 ge assigned to attached Project evel of detail to be developed e capabilities No t looks to engagement FUND potential avings to the table for SIF technical rtise of the be deployed at olicy makers ssential. This

output of the Project.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

Prior to the commencement of the Project, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that the Project does not duplicate any work included in the existing Network Innovation Competition HyNTS FutureGrid Phase 1 – Transmission Test Facility (NGGTGN04)¹¹.

6.3.3 10025661, Flexible Heat, Initial Net Funding Required £137,858

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project	SIF	
		Contribution	Requested	
SP Transmission Plc	£10,614.00	£1,061.40	£9,552.60	
Swansea University (Active Building	£32,027.12	£3,202.71	£28,824.41	
Centre Research Programme)				
Connected Response Limited	£23,460.00	£2,346.00	£21,114.00	
Delta Energy & Environment Ltd	£34,440.00	£3,444.00	£30,996.00	
E.On Energy Solutions Limited	£12,675.00	£1,267.50	£11,407.50	
University Of Glasgow	£27,284.16	£2,728.42	£24,555.74	
Sunamp Limited	£12,675.00	£1,267.50	£11,407.50	
Submitted Project description				
Flexible Heat will maximise the amount of	f flexibility available tl	hrough domestic he	eat to reduce	
and smooth peak demand. It will demons	trate the technologie	s which may be de	ployed to	
achieve this including domestic thermal s	torage technologies	and smart control s	olutions.	
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	9.2	
The applicants reference a known Proble	m associated with m	anaging peak elect	ricity demand	
as energy use becomes increasingly elec	ctrified. The opportun	ity described will in	volve exploring	
the use of flexibility of low carbon heating	g assets to minimise t	he costs of network	k upgrades and	
deliver value to consumers. The response	e is well written and r	eferences previous	s studies which	
have examined the scale of the Problem	and opportunity.			
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must ad	dress the Innovatio	n Challenge set by	/ Ofgem.	
The Big Idea	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	8.0	
The Project scope has been clearly desc	ribed and addresses	the Heat Innovation	n Challenge	
criteria well. The Project will investigate the	he potential value of	thermal energy stor	rage in	
providing flexibility to the electricity netwo	orks when deployed	alongside electrifie	d heating.	
Assessors would like to see inclusion of how benefits of avoided reinforcement can benefit all bill				
payers, and not just those with novel hea	ting systems. Further	more, barriers to c	onsumer	
adoption of these assets, and counterfact	tual options should b	e considered.		
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must ha	ve clearly identified	I potential to delive	er a net benefit	
to gas or electricity consumers			0.0	
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	8.0	
The response has provided in great detail	ii, the qualitative bene	ents expected, and	now they aligh	
with competition aims. Positive impacts o	on consumers have b	een outlined and th	e applicant nas	
Indicated that quantitative measures will a	arise from the Discov	ery Phase of the Pr	oject. Benefits	
include emissions reductions, cost savings, and improved system resilience. In later phases				
evidence must show that there is a positive and attractive business proposition for				
commercialisation of these approaches.				
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include perticipation from a range of stakeholders				
Projects must no	Moon Expert Acces	rom a range of sta		
Project summary	Mean Expert Asse	ssors score	0.4	

¹¹ <u>https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nggtgn04/</u>

Credible Project Partners are represented	with complementary skills and knowle	dae suitable for	
the scope of activities proposed. It is clear that this proposal has good focus on network			
innovation. Inclusion of a vulnerable consumer advocate would strengthen outcomes and			
improve representation. Assessors commented that comparison of phase change materials in			
comparison to alternative technologies wo	uld improve the reliability of Project ou	itouts	
Eligibility Criterion 4 Projects must not	undermine the development of com	netitive	
markete	undernine the development of com	pennve	
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	70	
The route to market has been described to	a reasonable level. An estimation of th	he market size	
has been offered, however the cost compo	atitivity with other approaches such as	large scale long	
duration storage has not been explored in	detail The route to market focuses on	the heat	
equipment manufacturers, a more develor	actain. The reduce to market reduced on	ntrol and market	
aspects would be rolled out as business as	s usual would be expected for Alpha/ P	Reta Phase	
Applications The Project is not viewed to a	create any risks to the development of	competitive	
markets.		oompouuro	
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be i	nnovative, novel and/or risky.		
Innovation Justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	82	
A fairly extensive review of previous resea	rch and innovation projects has been r	provided This	
focuses on studies conducted on the LIK e	nergy market and valuable learnings of	ould be	
integrated from international work particul	larly in countries with a high prevalence	e of heat nump	
usage. The applicant has justified how use	of thermal energy storage for flexibility	v is novel and	
innovative as well highlighting what disting	uishes this proposal from the other inn	ovations. From	
the response, this Project appears innovat	ive and may deliver services and produ	ucts which are	
novel, hence it is deemed to meet the SIF	Eligibility Criteria of being novel, innov	ative or risky.	
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must proj	vide value for money and be costed	competitively.	
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.4	
The Project costs presented seem approp	riate to cover the Project activities with	a reasonable	
balance of costs across the Project Partne	rs. The response indicates that this Pro	piect's outputs	
would be complementary to business as us	sual activity. Some assessors challeng	ed the value of	
contractor costs, which should be reviewed	d whilst conducting financial checks. H	lowever, Project	
Partners are providing a voluntary contribution	ution in kind which improves the value	for money case.	
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be v	well thought through and have a rob	ust	
methodology so that they are capable o	f progressing in a timely manner.		
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8	
The response has communicated the work	c breakdown very concisely with milest	ones and	
deliverables clearly stated. Greater detail of	could have been provided on work acti	vities, timelines,	
and success criteria of deliverables. The ri	sks identified appear appropriate with	reasonable	
mitigation planned, although there is no qu	antification of risks in the assessment.	The Project	
plan and methodology have been reasonal	bly well thought through and give conf	idence that the	
Project team are capable of progressing the	ne plan in a timely manner.		
Regulatory Barriers	Yes/ No	No	
Commercial and regulatory agreement will	I need to be established for the involve	ment of thermal	
energy storage in wider flexibility markets.	There may also be outstanding questi	ons about how	
consumer protections are ensured when s	mart controls are operated at a region	al level.	
Applicants are encouraged to proactively e	engaged with regulatory teams to iden	tify regulatory	
constraints and agree a robust evidence b	ase to be developed through Project d	elivery.	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	ty Markets Authority	FUND	
The Application is very well written and ha	s good representation in the Project pa	artnership from	
a range of organisations with suitable capa	abilities for delivering the Project scope	e. Responses	
were strong throughout the Application, wi	ith some improvements noted for the F	Project plan and	
delivery methodology.			

Greater focus must be given to the implementation of business as usual opportunities associated with domestic heat flexibility, and how these assets will be valued through network operation. This is viewed as paramount to the Project, which otherwise risks becoming another interesting study exploring the opportunities of novel heating systems but failing to establish a a credible route to market. To mitigate that risk there ought to be economic cost assessments at later phases to assess the alternative approaches to managing electricity demand alongside the heat needs of consumers.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

6.3.4 10025662, HEAT BALANCE, Initial Net Funding Required £125,695

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project	SIF
		Contribution	Requested
SP Transmission Plc	£10,614.00	£1,061.40	£9,552.60
University of Edinburgh	£30,670.00	£3,067.00	£27,603.00
University of Glasgow	£25,995.00	£2,599.50	£23,395.50
Vattenfall UK	£7,115.38	£711.54	£6,403.84
Erda Energy Limited	£4,182.69	£418.27	£3,764.42
Ramboll UK Limited	£25,450.38	£2,545.04	£22,905.34
Delta Energy & Environment Ltd	£32,800.00	£3,280.00	£29,520.00
Wales & West Utilities Limited	£2,834.18	£283.42	£2,550.76
Submitted Project description			

Submitted Project description

HEAT BALANCE will look at the international best practice and develop large-scale thermal storage as part of the overall UK energy systems landscape. It will investigate the deployment of large-scale thermal storage technologies (both short and long term) to understand how these will perform in the context of both physical considerations (such as the geology in the UK) and network technical characteristics, together with the regulatory and commercial framework. Due to being one of the lowest cost forms of energy storage, this will drive the overall cost of heat down to benefit the consumer

Problem and opportunity

Mean Expert Assessors' score

7.6

A clear Problem summary has been provided, the need to decarbonise heating at best cost, whilst managing impact on the energy network. The opportunity of using large scale thermal energy storage to reduce heat costs to the consumer is outlined. The response would have been helped by reference to impact of large-scale thermal storage in other countries to justify intervention in the UK, alongside some reference of what 'scale' is being investigated.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score7.4

The big idea proposed has been reasonably well articulated. The applicant has identified that large thermal energy storage schemes show promise in areas with suitable geologically characteristics. Investigating the potential of the technical potential of this approach to heat storage, alongside the commercial and regulatory requirements has significant potential at this stage. The proposal clearly addresses the Heat Innovation Challenge.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits

Mean Expert Assessors' score

ore 8.0

The applicants have clearly described and given justification for potential carbon and cost savings, providing some preliminary quantification. Deferral of network upgrades for heat

demand has also been explained, but further articulation of how these benefits will be realised by consumers as business as usual could have been provided. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.8 The Project summary is very clear and there are a good balance of Project Partners, including representations from academic, utility and commercial organisations. This brings diversity of expertise and varied perspectives to the Project. However, it is not absolutely clear what some of the Project Partners experience or role is in the deployment of large scale thermal energy storage is. Additionally, assessors noted that community or consumer representation would have further benefited the Project. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.2 The proposal offers explanation of the value proposition to energy networks, investors and UK energy consumers. Although reasonable explanation has been given for how large scale thermal storage opportunities have the potential to deliver value to the parties referenced, better appreciation of the challenge of the multi-party investment and supply chain coordination to successfully bring these ideas to market in the UK would have strengthened the Application. The Project is not viewed as undermining the competitivity of markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. **Innovation Justification** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.8 The case for developing this technology in support of mitigating electricity network management and resilience challenges is well made. There is a good understanding of similar innovations and with explanation of how this Project will build on past research. Thermal energy storage solutions currently do not exist as a flexibility tool for electricity transmission networks in the UK. Investigation of this and forming bettering understanding of how these solutions could be configured within the UK energy system is viewed as innovative and novel. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.6 The Project costs are justified and appear proportionate to the prosed delivery package in the Discovery Phase. The allocation of costs appears reasonable and contributions in kind have been offered by several of the Project Partners, strengthening the value for money case of funding this Project. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.4 The Project plan is very clear and the team is well divided between technical and commercial outcomes. The risk register could have been improved by an assessment of expected severity and likelihood to support the justification of mitigation actions. The scope of work appears ambitious for the timelines attributed to them, but the quality of the Project plan and methodology have given assessors sufficient confidence that the team can deliver. **Regulatory Barriers** Yes/No No New commercial and regulatory frameworks work inclusion of thermal energy storage participation in wider flexibility markets should be investigated. This includes a regulatory mechanism for payments from these different sources be delivered to network consumers. This proposal may inform aspects of the future system operator functions. **FUND Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority** The evidence base for integrating large scale thermal storage in to flexibility markets is lacking for the UK. It is not expected that this will be supported under energy networks business as usual functions. Good arguments have been provided for the potential value of these approaches to consumers, network operation, and to create commercial opportunities for network consumers with suitable assets. The Project proposal was assessed as being robust with many of the

suitable stakeholders directly involved. Further detail, particularly on justifying expected benefits will be required, but this was viewed as a strong Application for delivery of a Discovery Phase. Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must evidence consideration and note details on adaption or changes to relevant regulatory and landuse planning legislation required for successful deployment of large scale thermal.

Condition 4

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

6.3.5 10027185, Velocity Design with Hydrogen, Initial Net Funding Required £55,542

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
Southern Gas Network Plc	£8,294.10	£0.00	£8,294.10
DNV Services UK Limited	£47,247.96	£0.00	£47,247.96
Submitted Project description			

Hydrogen networks have the potential to contribute to the Challenge 4: Heat, through the transformation to meet national 2030 and 2050 emissions targets.

To demonstrate how the current gas networks can be intelligently and efficiently transitioned to provide low carbon heating, the gas velocity constraint(s) applied at the design stage need to be identified. The constraint determined will impact directly onto the levels of capital investment required in the transition of the system to accommodate blended and 100% hydrogen.

Hydrogen gas does not contain the same level of energy as in natural gas, so to deliver the same level of energy the volume of hydrogen flowing to consumers would have to increase a little over 3 times for an 100% hydrogen network, compared to natural gas. Without network reinforcement, this increase in flow could require a significant increase to the pressure and/or velocity of gas.

Currently, IGEM standards specify a nominal maximum velocity of 20 m/s is assumed in system design, mainly to avoid the risk of debris within the pipes being picked up by the gas stream and causing wear to pipe components resulting in early failure.

Debris may be present in the system, particularly in the lower pressure tiers, in the form of dust, mainly, as a product of the historic manufacture of towns gas. Whilst many metallic mains, particularly in the LP pressure tier, have been replaced in PE, it is anticipated that debris will still be present in the pipes that have not been replaced under the metallic mains replacement scheme, and may have already been transported into the plastic pipes. Other factors such a noise or vibration may also constrain the design velocity of gas in the system.

Hydrogen has different properties to natural gas, so it is not known if debris may be picked up to the same degree or if any other factor will limit velocity.

This Discovery Project phase will:

• Conduct a literature research into likely constraints to velocity (e.g. debris, noise, vibration)

 Document current GDN experience of debris. Investigate the requirements for testing to determine an updated gas velocity design limit(s) to be applied for 20% hydrogen blends and 100% hydrogen. Includes the definition of the "debris" to be used. Determine the work required to investigate the impact any limit would impose on design outcomes and the basis for any further stage required to investigate the presence of 			
debris within systems.			
Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 62			
Both a Problem and an opportunity have been identified by the team in this Application. The			
issue of debris within gas pipes and velocities has been the subject of numerous previous			
studies. However, the outcomes of the proposed study will better understand existing system			
constraints and limiting factors for hydrogen blends, and defining new design and operating			
standards			
Eligibility Criterion 1 Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem			
The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' score 68			
The Project aims to generate new knowledge to be openly disseminated to network operators			
through the development of new standards. These standards would be key enablers for the use			
of hydrogen in the gas networks for heating (nending policy decisions), therefore this proposal is			
seen as addressing the Heat Inpovation Challenge with the potential for valuable outputs			
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified notential to deliver a net benefit			
to gas or electricity consumers			
Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 68			
The benefits to the network operators from this Project will arise from better planning and cost			
management. There is justification of benefits to the final consumers, who ultimately hear the			
costs of network upgrades. Mostly qualitative assessment of notential benefits has been given			
bowever the metrics of success are clearly identified and the quantitative cost-benefit framework			
will be developed as part of the Project			
Eligibility Criterion 3 Projects must involve network innovation &			
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.			
Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0			
A clear Project summary has been provided and the key Project Partner, DNV, have the required			
capabilities to provide the Project with a good chance of success. The Project is directly			
focussed on gas network innovation and the development of standards for gas network			
operation. The Project summary materials have been completed to a good standard. The			
applicants should incorporate active participation from wider relevant stakeholders including			
other gas networks, and future supply chain participants.			
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive			
markets			
Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2			
The route to market has been explained based on the revision of existing industry standards.			
However, recognition of the support needed from all gas networks, as well as the Health and			
Safety Executive to drive industry adoption could be clearer. IGEM will be an important			
stakeholder. The Project is not viewed to undermine the development of competitive markets.			
though industry acceptance and demonstration that all parties views on standards have been			
accounted for will be required ahead of further implementation.			
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.			
Innovation Justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 5.8			
The value of conducting this work is well justified. However, no evidence is provided about			
investigations into whether similar work has been, or is planned to be, carried out nationally and			
internationally. Due to this it is difficult to assess the extent of innovation within the Project.			
Based on existing knowledge of this area, assessors have deemed this to be a sufficiently novel			
proposal to meet this Eligibility Criteria. However, a more extensive literature review will be			
required for the Alpha Phase assessment. This should support justification of the innovative			

aspects of this Project and that the planned activities should not be carried out as business as usual by networks.

Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must prov	vide value for money and be costed	competitively.		
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2		
The Project costs are appropriate and should be sufficient to deliver the Project outcomes stated				
in the Project plan. There is a good balance of the costs between the Project Partners and clear				
evidence of skills and existing facilities to d	eliver the Project.			
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be v	vell thought through and have a rot	ust		
methodology so that they are capable of	f progressing in a timely manner.			
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.6		
The team have identified four work packag	es to be delivered in the two month d	uration of the		
Project. There is a good Project plan prese	nted with good milestones explained	and breakdown		
of the activities. The risk register is basic, r	nore detail and mitigating actions cou	ld be provided.		
There do seem to be genuine risks associa	ated with the collection of data, and th	e acceptance of		
Project finding by IGEM and other key stak	eholders. The Project delivery metho	dology is simple		
but gives sufficient confidence that the Dis	covery Phase Project can be delivere	d to plan.		
Regulatory Barriers	Yes/ No	No		
Key decisions on the transportation of hydrogeneration and hydrogeneration of hydrogeneration and hydrogeneration of hydrogeneration and hydrogene	rogen by gas networks are to be made	e by BEIS and		
Ofgem in coming years. This Project could	develop valuable evidence to suppor	t those details		
and should make a concerted effort to diss	eminate findings to the relevant polic	y and regulatory		
teams in BEIS and Ofgem.				
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	y Markets Authority	FUND		
The need to probe the upper acceptable line	mits for flow velocity for hydrogen and	ל blends is		
critical to determining the capacity available on the existing network and the extent to which				
upgrades will be needed for the delivery of	low carbon gases. Policy decisions w	vill be taken on		
the use and transportation of hydrogen in several years. This is likely to provide evidence for				
consideration of those decisions but it it could be challenged that the delivery of this Project is				
ahead of need. Whilst the Project would develop valuable insights and outputs, some assessors				
have questioned the degree of innovation i	nvolved in the Project and challenged	d whether this		
should be delivered through the SIF.				
Recommended Project specific conditio	ns			
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit	ies identified during the project asses	sment, we		
recommend these Project specific condition	ons are attached to funding of this pro	ject;		
Condition 3				
The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to				
by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Disco	overy Phase.			
Condition 4				
As part of its end of Project Phase report, t	he Funding Party must fully evaluate	the most		
appropriate funding options to take forward	the project. This must include consid	deration of		
continuation of the Project via the SIF Alph	a and Beta phases, other re-openers	within the RIIO-2		
price control, and other possible funding si	reams such as BEIS innovation fundli	ng or private		
capital.				
Canditian F				
Condition 5	the Foundary Device (11 11			
As part of its end of Project Phase report,	the Funding Party must provide evide	ence of its review		

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must provide evidence of its review of the Network Innovation Competition HyNTS FutureGrid Phase 1 – Transmission Test Facility's (NGGTGN04)¹² areas of focus and efforts to avoid duplication with that project.

¹² <u>https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nggtgn04/</u>

6.3.6 [REDACTED]

7. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Zero Emission Transport

Consumers need reliable, cost-effective transportation that is readily available when demanded. Personal transportation preferences are shifting as new trends emerge in transport. These include e-mobility, new public transportation links, as well as national and international changes in supply chains for goods.

Strategic targets for deep decarbonisation of the transport sector also exist, which have significant implications for electricity networks and potential implications for gas.

The networks will need to be prepared to enable large scale deployment of battery electric vehicles (EVs) while keeping costs to consumers affordable and equitable.

The introduction of hydrogen-fuelled heavy goods vehicles is likely to create novel technical challenges across roads, rail, and ports, such as effectively managing integration of electrolysis across the electricity networks and hydrogen transportation infrastructure.

This section covers the requirements and assessment of Applications received to the <u>Zero</u> <u>Emission Transport</u> Innovation Challenge.

7.1 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Zero Emission Transport – Scope

Project scope was described in the <u>Innovation Challenge brief</u> for the Zero Emission Transport Challenge as;

"To lead a Project applicants must:

- be a licenced: gas distribution network, transmission network operator, or electricity system operator
- work with a mobility technology or infrastructure provider, for example electric vehicle charge point providers, port authorities or network rail
- work with at least one other organisation as your subcontractor

Applicants should consider all the points listed here, but as a minimum must directly address at least one as the primary focus of the proposed Project:

- how the Project will directly support the growth of zero emission transport options
- how to maximise the opportunities that electric vehicles create to deliver a smarter energy system, whilst ensuring that energy networks are prepared for accelerating uptake
- how energy networks can support integrated multi-model transport services in local areas
- energy supply requirements for long haul aviation, shipping, or rail"

7.2 SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase – Zero Emission Transport – Proposals

11 proposals were submitted to Innovate UK through the Innovation Funding Service (IFS) portal by the closing deadline of 11am 17th November 2021, all were deemed eligible for the Zero Emission Transport Innovation Challenge as per the scope outlined in section 7.1. All projects submitted by an eligible licensed distribution or transmission network have been assessed by the Expert Assessors and are listed below.

Project ref.	Project name	Funding licensee	Total eligible costs (£)	Total Project contribution (£)	Total SIF Funding requested (£)
10020605	HyNTS Deblending	NGGT	148,141	0.00	148,141
10025479	Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green Mobility	SPT	151,938	33,158	118,780
10025738	A Holistic Hydrogen Approach to Heavy Duty Transport (H2H)	SPT	139,340	31,102	108,238
10026963	HyPark	WWU	150,000	0.00	150,000
[REDACTED]					
10027293	Multimodal Hydrogen Transport Refuelling Study	NGN	89,445	0.00	89,445
[REDACTED]					
[REDACTED]					
10027315	Rail Decarbonisation Planning	NGN	124,994	11,400	113,594
[REDACTED]					
10027575	NAVIGATION	SGN	149,724	0.00	149,724

7.3 Evaluation of Zero Emission Transport Submissions

7.3.1 10020605, HyNTS Deblending, Initial Net Funding Required £148,141

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
National Grid Gas Plc	£21,908.00	£0.00	£21,908.00
Element Energy Ltd	£66,725.00	£0.00	£66,725.00
HyET Hydrogen BV	£34,320.18	£0.00	£34,320.18
Element 2 Limited	£13,000.00	£0.00	£13,000.00
Jaguar Land Rover	£12,187.84	£0.00	£12,187.84
Culousities of Ducto of allocation from			

Submitted Project description

The UK has committed to Net-Zero Emissions by 2050 which will require a range of new energy and technical developments. National Grid Gas have been considering the role of the Gas Networks in this transition, and the associated potential use cases. Hydrogen is one of the solutions to achieving this target and in the transitional period, is likely to be blended with natural gas to provide energy to industry, heat and transport use cases. Each use case requires different gas quality and blends which will be managed through deblending and purification technologies.

In the transition period up to 2050 it is likely that there will be varying requirements from our customers ranging from 100% hydrogen to 100% methane, which is likely to change as our customers migrate to Net-Zero solutions. If this cannot be controlled with the blend coming into the network, then a system will be required at the end customer to ensure delivery of the correct gas mixture. This Project develops low cost skid mounted solutions for deblending and purification that can be migrated around the UK networks as we transition to 100% Hydrogen.

Without this technology, refuelling of transportation assets will be limited to the use of locally produced hydrogen, until the gas networks can transport 100% hydrogen. This will limit the speed of transition for the transport industry and limit the reliability and continuity of the

refuelling systems in the UK. The Project works with refuelling partners to explore the opportunity to utilise this technology to enable transport Applications, through refuelling stations directly connected to the NTS network. The discovery Project will determine the optimum technical options for taking the gases from the NTS assets through to delivering this to vehicle users. This will enable us to progress to detailed design in Alpha Phase and demonstration through Beta Phase of the planned approach.
Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.6
The applicants have provided a strong response which communicates the Problem clearly, and furthermore the scale of the potential Problem in achieving Net-Zero. It has been clearly articulated and linked to the government ambition. There appears to be a credible opportunity to facilitate an accelerated transition to low emission vehicles through use of deblending. More understanding of the opportunities of the full technical challenges that will need to be overcome will be explored as part of the Discovery Phase.
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.
The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score7.2
The proposal is viewed as being extremely ambitious and well suited to addressing the Zero Emission Transport SIF challenge. Some assessors have flagged that assumptions against the outline design (for instance the use of skid mounted units) should be tested at the feasibility study stage, rather than presuming the optimal approach from the outset. Furthermore, the Project scope operates on the presumption that a policy decision will be made to use significant amounts of hydrogen in the gas grid. The Project should engage closely with Ofgem and government to track and provide evidence to inform these policy decisions.
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit
to gas or electricity consumers
Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4
The use of the gas network to accommodate the distribution of hydrogen made from surplus renewables power and from carbon captured steam-methane reformation, coupled with the provision of separation facilities, offers a route to early adoption of hydrogen as a transport fuel. A qualitative justification of achievable benefits is provided. Realisation of benefits by consumers is requisite on the growth in demand of hydrogen fuelling from the high pressure gas network for transportation purposes.
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.
Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.0
The Project summary has been assessed to be clear and informative. The applicants have outlined the network innovation aspects of the Project well. The Project team is composed of organisations from across the value chain. The participation from the partners is welcome and necessary to meet the challenges of the Project delivery. Additional value could be added by the participation of non-road transport end users.
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive
markets 7
Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 The Dreject is not viewed on directly undermining the development of community of the development of community. The development of community of the development of community of the development of community. The development of community of the development of community.
The Project is not viewed as directly undermining the development of competitive markets. The Project does have potential to bring a transport fuelling approach using hydrogen to market. This is likely to be in competition with other approaches to transportation fuelling, but does not undermine the development of alternative approaches. A route to market has been articulated but the Project will have to demonstrate commercial value and competitivity in comparison to alternatives.
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.
Innovation Justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.8
The commentary and appendix provide good insight in to relevant prior works that support the technical basis for the solution both nationally and internationally. The proposal offers novel approaches by using smaller, transportable units that can be deployed to end user sites rather
than at gas network sites. Some elements of the innovation have not been made clear, such as

demonstration would also be regarded as an innovative addition to past work.
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively.
Cost & value for money Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.0
Most assessors viewed the costs as being fair and reasonable in comparison to market rates, for
the type and scope of work to be delivered. One Expert Assessor raised concerns that labour
costs appeared high for some Project Partners. Additional contributions from commercially
benefitting Project Partners would be expected in later phases.
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust
methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner.
Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6
The Project has been planned through Discovery, Alpha and Beta Phases. Assessors have
reasonable confidence that the Project plan is robust enough for Discovery Phase delivery.
However, in an attempt to crowd long term planning in to the response, the Project plan for the
Discovery Phase has become challenging to review. Furthermore, the Project is expected to take
a responsive and flexible approach to Project planning, as learning and developments are made
through each Phase. The risk register meets the minimum standards but could be more
comprehensive.
Regulatory BarriersYes/ NoNo
The key regulatory barrier lies within a policy decision on the use significant amounts of
hydrogen in the gas grid. The Project should engage closely with Ofgem and Government to
track and provide evidence to inform these policy decisions.
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND
The proposal has been assessed as ambitious and able to provide a good evidence base on the
widespread use of hydrogen as an energy vector to meet transportation needs. A single
and any unit and the second state for a state for a state of the state
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative
approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide
approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a
approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and
approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply.
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. <u>Recommended Project specific conditions</u> To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. <u>Recommended Project specific conditions</u> To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 Prior to the commencement of the Project, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 Prior to the commencement of the Project, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that the Project does not duplicate any work included in the existing Network
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 Prior to the commencement of the Project, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that the Project does not duplicate any work included in the existing Network Innovation Allowance (NIA) and Network Innovation Competition (NIC) projects Hydrogen
assessor raised concerns around the costs for delivery, and highlighted that alternative approaches should be considered in the early phases. If successful, the Project could provide good demonstration of the technical and commercial feasibility of using hydrogen as a transportation fuel. It has potential to provide benefits back to network consumers and consumers through the emissions reductions and continued reliability of their energy supply. Recommended Project specific conditions To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project; Condition 3 Prior to the commencement of the Project, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI evidence that the Project does not duplicate any work included in the existing Network Innovation Allowance (NIA) and Network Innovation Competition (NIC) projects Hydrogen Deblending Feasibility Phase 2 (NIA_NGGT0177) ¹³ and included under phase 2 of HyNTS

¹³ <u>https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nia_nggt0177/</u> ¹⁴ <u>https://smarter.energynetworks.org/projects/nggtgn04/</u>

7.3.2 10025479, Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green Mobility, Initial Net Funding Required £118,780

SP Transmission Plc £5,307.00 £5,307.00 £0,00 University of Leeds £100,638.56 £10,663.86 £90,0574.70 Network Rail Limited £12,000,00 £12,000,00 £0,000 Signort, Carlon, Ca	Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
Initial control Display and the set of the set o	SP Transmission Plc	£5 307 00	£5 307 00	f0 00
Introductory of Castley Introductory Introductory Network Rail Limited £12,000.00 £12,000.00 £0.00 Ricardo-AEA Ltd £31,338.79 £3,133.88 £28,204.91 SP Distribution Plc £2,653.50 £2,653.50 £0.00 miles of UK rail to improve energy efficiency with greater flexibility to support power grid operation, networking these hubs to enhance the overall traction power supply security and resilience, and the integration of renewable generation. Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.0 The Problem identified is the decarbonisation of rail, and how coordination of approaches with other transport modes can provide opportunity of successful delivery is acknowledged to have the potential to provide carbon, cost, and resilience benefits to consumer whilst also introducing new services to the market. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.2 The proposal is viewed to address the Zero Emission Transport challenge. The ambition is to develop a solution to be deployed at scale nationally to support the decarbonisation of rail. System benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 A plausible range of benefits for rail operators and wider potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers 7.2 I	University of Leeds	£100 638 56	£10.063.86	£90 574 70
Inclusion Display and the set of the	Network Rail Limited	£12,000,000.00	£12,000.00	£0.00
Industry of the construction Distribution <	Ricardo-AFA Ltd	£31 338 79	£3 133 88	£28 204 91
Charles and the service of the serv	SP Distribution Plo	£2 653 50	£2,155.00	£20,204.01
This Project will develop multi-energy hubs around 2500 railway stations across over 10,000 miles of UK rail to improve energy efficiency with greater flexibility to support power grid operation, networking these hubs to enhance the overall traction power supply security and resilience, and the integration of renewable generation. Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.0 The Problem identified is the decarbonisation of rail, and how coordination of approaches with other transport modes can provide opportunities to more efficiently decarbonise transportation and maintain network resilience. The opportunity of successful delivery is acknowledged to have the potential to provide carbon, cost, and resilience benefits to consumer whilst also introducing new services to the market. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The proposal is viewed to address the Zero Emission Transport challenge. The ambition is to develop a solution to be deployed at scale nationally to support the decarbonisation of rail. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 A plausible range of benefits for rail operators and wider potential conomic impacts are outlined. These are described at a qualitative level but the justification for potential benefits in carbon reductions and costs. These benefits will need to be qualitatively evidenced in more detail in future phases. In	Submitted Project description	22,000.00	22,000.00	20.00
to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 A plausible range of benefits for rail operators and wider potential economic impacts are outlined. These are described at a qualitative level but the justification for potential benefits in carbon reductions and costs. These benefits will need to be qualitatively evidenced in more detail in future phases. In particular one Expert Assessor questioned the achievable extent of improved resilience or levelling up benefits referenced. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must nudermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commerc	This Project will develop multi-energy humiles of UK rail to improve energy efficient operation, networking these hubs to enhance resilience, and the integration of renewals Problem and opportunity The Problem identified is the decarbonisation of the ransport modes can provide opport and maintain network resilience. The opport the potential to provide carbon, cost, and new services to the market. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must and The Big Idea The proposal is viewed to address the Zet develop a solution to be deployed at scall System benefits and multi-modal interact areas of the challenge. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must had the services must had the services must had the services must had be address.	bs around 2500 railw ncy with greater flexil ance the overall tract ole generation. Mean Expert Asse ation of rail, and how rtunities to more effic ortunity of successful resilience benefits to dress the Innovatio Mean Expert Asse ero Emission Transpo e nationally to suppo ions are also in scop	ay stations across of bility to support power ion power supply so ssors' score coordination of app iently decarbonise al delivery is acknow consumer whilst a n Challenge set by ssors' score ort challenge. The a rt the decarbonisat e and addresses no	over 10,000 wer grid ecurity and 8.0 proaches with transportation wledged to have also introducing y Ofgem. 8.2 mbition is to tion of rail. ptable focal
Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.2 A plausible range of benefits for rail operators and wider potential economic impacts are outlined. These are described at a qualitative level but the justification for potential benefits in carbon reductions and costs. These benefits will need to be qualitatively evidenced in more detail in future phases. In particular one Expert Assessor questioned the achievable extent of improved resilience or levelling up benefits referenced. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. T	to gas or electricity consumers		-	
A plausible range of benefits for rail operators and wider potential economic impacts are outlined. These are described at a qualitative level but the justification for potential benefits in carbon reductions and costs. These benefits will need to be qualitatively evidenced in more detail in future phases. In particular one Expert Assessor questioned the achievable extent of improved resilience or levelling up benefits referenced. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.				
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.	Impacts & benefitsMean Expert Assessors' score7.2A plausible range of benefits for rail operators and wider potential economic impacts are outlined. These are described at a qualitative level but the justification for potential benefits in carbon reductions and costs. These benefits will need to be qualitatively evidenced in more detail in future phases. In particular one Expert Assessor questioned the achievable extent of improved regiliance or levelling up benefits referenced.			
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one B improved resilience or levelling up benefit	A referenced.	ssors' score ntial economic impa tification for potenti ualitatively evidenc stioned the achieva	7.2 acts are al benefits in ed in more ble extent of
Project summaryMean Expert Assessors' score7.0The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition.The Project and users for other transport types would be a valuable addition.Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets6.8Route to marketMean Expert Assessors' score6.8A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one B improved resilience or levelling up benefit Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inv	Mean Expert Asse ators and wider poten ative level but the just efits will need to be q Expert Assessor ques its referenced. volve network innov	ssors' score ntial economic impa- tification for potenti ualitatively evidenc stioned the achieva ration &	7.2 acts are al benefits in ed in more ble extent of
The Project summary is clear, describing the assessment of feasibility for of a scheme to transform large train stations in urban areas into a multi-energy transportation hubs. The Project involves participation from some key stakeholders, notably Network Rail as the rail infrastructure owner. Direct Project participation from other technology providers and end users for other transport types would be a valuable addition. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one E improved resilience or levelling up benefi Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inter Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inter	Mean Expert Asse ators and wider poten ative level but the just efits will need to be q Expert Assessor ques its referenced. volve network innov clude participation f	issors' score ntial economic impa- tification for potenti ualitatively evidence stioned the achieva vation & from a range of sta	7.2 acts are al benefits in ed in more ble extent of akeholders.
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be inprovative, povel and/or risky	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one B improved resilience or levelling up benefic Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must into Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must into Project summary	A mean Expert Asse ators and wider poten ative level but the just efits will need to be q Expert Assessor quest its referenced. volve network innov clude participation f Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score ntial economic impa- tification for potenti ualitatively evidenc stioned the achieva vation & from a range of sta ssors' score	7.2 acts are al benefits in eed in more ble extent of akeholders. 7.0
Route to marketMean Expert Assessors' score6.8A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, povel and/or risky	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one B improved resilience or levelling up benefind Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inter Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inter Project summary The Project summary is clear, describing transform large train stations in urban are involves participation from some key stak owner. Direct Project participation from of transport types would be a valuable additional stations in the project summary is clear and the stations in the project participation from the project participatication from the project participation from the project pa	ators and wider potentiative level but the just efits will need to be q Expert Assessor quest its referenced. Volve network innov clude participation for the assessment of fe eas into a multi-energy when technology provision.	issors' score ntial economic impa- tification for potenti ualitatively evidence stioned the achieva ration & from a range of sta ssors' score easibility for of a scl by transportation hu etwork Rail as the ra- viders and end user	 7.2 acts are al benefits in bed in more ble extent of akeholders. 7.0 heme to bls. The Project ail infrastructure rs for other
A broadly plausible progression from Project outcomes towards widespread implementation is outlined. The value proposition was described for direct Project participants but the buy-in and delivery of value to other stakeholders seems likely to be pivotal for a successful rollout and scaling commercially. A fuller analysis of the economic and commercial case for this approach would improve the case to progress the proposal in later stages. The proposal is not viewed as undermining the development of competitive markets.	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one B improved resilience or levelling up benefit Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inter Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inter Project summary is clear, describing transform large train stations in urban are involves participation from some key stak owner. Direct Project participation from of transport types would be a valuable addit Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must no markets	A mean Expert Asse ators and wider poter ative level but the just efits will need to be q Expert Assessor ques its referenced. Volve network innov clude participation f Mean Expert Asse the assessment of fe eas into a multi-energy whether technology provision. It undermine the de	issors' score ntial economic impa- tification for potenti ualitatively evidence stioned the achieva vation & from a range of sta ssors' score easibility for of a scl by transportation hu etwork Rail as the ra- viders and end user	7.2 acts are al benefits in sed in more ble extent of akeholders. 7.0 heme to ibs. The Project ail infrastructure rs for other petitive
	A plausible range of benefits for rail oper outlined. These are described at a qualita carbon reductions and costs. These bene detail in future phases. In particular one B improved resilience or levelling up benefit Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inter Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inter Project summary The Project summary is clear, describing transform large train stations in urban are involves participation from some key stak owner. Direct Project participation from of transport types would be a valuable addit Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must no markets Route to market	A lean Expert Asse ators and wider poter ative level but the just efits will need to be q Expert Assessor quest its referenced. volve network innov clude participation f Mean Expert Asse the assessment of fe eas into a multi-energ scholders, notably Ne other technology prov- tion. It undermine the dev Mean Expert Asse	issors' score ntial economic impa- tification for potenti ualitatively evidence stioned the achieva vation & from a range of sta ssors' score easibility for of a scl by transportation hu etwork Rail as the ra- viders and end user velopment of com	 7.2 acts are al benefits in bed in more ble extent of akeholders. 7.0 heme to bls. The Project ail infrastructure rs for other 6.8

Innovation Justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.2
The applicants have provided a good over	view of their relevant past experience.	A reasonable
range of related past projects has been give	ven with justification that this proposal	is an innovative
iteration of previous work. The commercia	I aspect of innovation has been highlig	hted as
particularly valuable. More depth of resear	ch including covering similar projects	overseas would
be a good addition during the Discovery P	hase.	
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must pro	vide value for money and be costed	competitively.
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2
The Project costs have been assessed to b	be reasonable and constitute value for	money against
the planned activities of the Project. An ad	ditional contribution of costs towards the	he Project has
been given on top of the SIF cost allowand	ce which enhances the value for money	/ case.
The Project costs are breadly appropriate	and the balance of costs between Proj	oct Portnors
seems reasonable given the distribution of	the work. More confidence in the value	e for money
represented would be provided by a more	detailed breakdown of the commercia	l consultant's
budget showing the day rates used	detailed breakdown of the commercia	i consultant s
Eligibility Criterion 8 Projects must be	well thought through and have a rob	ust
methodology so that they are canable of	f progressing in a timely manner	ust
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	78
The Project plan includes milestones, resp	oonsibilities and timings. It has been de	emed suitable
to give confidence of the ability to deliver i	n a timely manner, although greater gr	anularity of
activities and a more detailed risk register	would have improved the Application.	
Regulatory Barriers	Yes/No	No
There are no obvious regulatory barriers to	o the deliver of this Project, beyond po	licy decisions
relating to the use of hydrogen in the gas r	networks.	, ,
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	ty Markets Authority	FUND
This is a competent proposal for an initial s	study of the possibility of developing ar	nd networking
multi-energy hubs based around railway st	tations to improve energy efficiency an	d provide more
flexibility to support power grid operation.	It is viewed as an innovative idea with	sufficient
prospects to deliver benefits to energy net	work consumer/user. The majority of a	assessors have
recommended that this proposal is funded	I. Some assessors felt that the Project	plan could have
been more robust, providing more granula	r detail on the planned activities and o	utputs of
Discovery Phase. Further work to define the	ne expected outputs in more detail, and	d quantify the
potential benefits should be carried out to	be presented during assessment in lat	er phases.
Recommended Project specific condition	ons	
To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit	ties identified during the project assess	sment, we
recommend these Project specific condition	ons are attached to funding of this proje	ect;
Condition 3		
Prior to commencement of the Project, the	Funding Party must provide to Ofger	and UKRI
details of expected outputs and specific ac	ctivities under each work package.	
Condition 4		
Condition 4 Prior to commencement of the Preject, the	Eunding Party must appear with man	abors of the
Condition 4 Prior to commencement of the Project, the	Funding Party must engage with men	nbers of the t (אראט) אין אין
Condition 4 Prior to commencement of the Project, the team behind the Project "A Holistic Hydrog Project to identify common areas of access	e Funding Party must engage with men gen Approach to Heavy Duty Transpor	nbers of the t (H2H)" SIF
Condition 4 Prior to commencement of the Project, the team behind the Project "A Holistic Hydrog Project to identify common areas of scope Funding Party must share its end of Project	e Funding Party must engage with men gen Approach to Heavy Duty Transpor and collaboration opportunities. Addit	nbers of the t (H2H)" SIF ionally, the e team behind
details of expected outputs and specific ac	ctivities under each work package.	

7.3.3 10025738, A Holistic Hydrogen Approach to Heavy Duty Transport (H2H), Initial Net Funding Required £108,238

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project	SIF
SP Transmission Plo	62 652 50	Contribution	Requested
SF ITAIISIIISSIULFIC Bioordo AEA Ltd	£2,000.00	£2,000.00	£0.00
Notwork Doil Limited	C15 000 00	£0,141.00	£13,214.43
Network Rail Limited	£15,000.00	£15,000.00	£0.00
	£34,964.29	£0.00	£34,964.29
SP Distribution Plc	£2,653.50	£2,653.50	£0.00
Scottish Power Ltd	£2,653.50	£2,653.50	£0.00
Submitted Project description		· · · ·	
Submitted Project description The UK and Scottish Government both have targets of 5GW for hydrogen production capacity by 2030, and the UK government aims for 1GW by 2025. Hydrogen production assets have a potential role to play in balancing supply and demand for electricity by turning down or off in peak periods and turning up when supply of renewable energy is high, either in response to price signals or direction from the system operator. Furthermore, they could ease network constraints by responding to signals from TOs or DSOs in the future. Transportation is a major polluter at 27% of UK greenhouse gases in 2019, with passenger and freight trains causing 1.6 MtCO2e pa. The rail industry has a target of removing all diesel passenger trains by 2040 (2035 in Scotland). With only 40% of the LIK rail network electrified			
This Project explores low carbon solution decarbonisation potentially using differen demonstrate at a specific site how electri energy storage and direct renewable ger for electricity and rail consumers.	is by identifying the n it low carbon solution fication of rail using h neration connections	nost efficient transit is. The overall aim i nydrogen, power ele can save costs, car	ion for the rail s to ectronics, bon and time
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	8.2
The Problem statement is considered a v decarbonisation of rail in an optimal way. opportunities across electricity transmiss transporter perspective. The outcomes c and accelerated emission reductions.	alid challenge for end There is an opportur ion, distribution, rail i ould include lower co	ergy networks, how nity to investigate th nfrastructure owner ost pathways to rail	to facilitated he full range of r, and hydrogen decarbonisation
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must ad	dress the Innovatio	n Challenge set by	/ Ofgem.
The Big Idea	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	7.8
The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score7.8All assessors consider this proposal to meet the Eligibility Criterion. To expand the holistic approach to rail decarbonisation, the applicants are encouraged to consider the full range of options and technologies that might be deployed during the Discovery Phase. Including the trackside battery or direct connection to local renewables options that are briefly mentioned.Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers.			
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	8.0
to gas or electricity consumersImpacts & benefitsMean Expert Assessors' score8.0The removal of diesel from rail operation provides an opportunity to reduce carbon emissions associated with transportation. Furthermore, there are benefits to the energy networks and network consumers, particularly rail network infrastructure owners and train operating companies. There is potential for cost saving benefits, that could be better quantified in subsequent phases. Demonstration of how these benefits will be realised with consumers should			
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inv	volve n <u>etwork innov</u>	ation &	
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inc	clude participation f	rom a range of sta	keholders.
Project summary	Mean Expert Asse	ssors' score	7.6
The Project is looking at the integration o therefore will be addressing energy netw	f networks, electricity ork innovation. It brin	y, railways, and hyd	rogen and e of

stakeholders across energy networks and rail. The Project summary is well articulated and provides a clear statement of approach. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets **Route to market** Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 The Project is not seen to undermine the development of competitive markets. The route to market has been described to a sufficient level of justification, primarily stating that demonstration of preferred solutions following analysis will provide a route to market. This could have been improved upon with better understanding of third party investment needs, and better understanding of methods to exploitation in international markets. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. **Innovation Justification** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4 The collaborative approach between a range of network partners and the rail sector is viewed as innovation. The Project has considerable potential for consideration of technological and commercial innovation that supports network innovation. This would be supported by the introduction of innovative technology providers as preferred solutions emerge and analysis of options matures. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Mean Expert Assessors' score Cost & value for money 7.8 The Project costs are reasonable for the Discovery Phase activities and are costed at competitive rates. A contribution in kind of 26.2% of Project costs is being provided by Project Partners which represents additional value to the consumer. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The applicant has provided a Project plan that is appropriate to the size of the Project. However, it does not split the resource requirements into the work packages, and the ambition of analysing the full extent of technological options and producing a business case within the period of the Discovery Phase will be challenging. Assessors have viewed the Project plan and methodology as good enough to give confidence of Project delivery. Ratings of risks in the risk register appear optimistic given the nascent stage of many of the innovations under consideration. **Regulatory Barriers** Yes/No Yes There are no prominent regulatory barriers. However there are two regulatory considerations that the Project outcomes may inform or support: Transmission Phase Imbalance: The Grid Code sets limits for imbalance which are lower for EHV circuits and higher for 11kV and 33kV circuits. The Project's solution assessment may result in fewer imbalanced rail traction loads connected to remote EHV circuits - but more balanced loads on rural 33kV and 11kV circuits. Railway Licence & ER P24/2 "AC supplies to railway systems". This recent update assumes that there is no significant export of electricity from the railway to licenced electricity networks. The use of energy storage in the solutions proposed will ensure this is the case. **Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority FUND** The Project scope and objectives are viewed positively with all assessors recommending this proposal for funding. The holistic involvement of stakeholders and the analysis of a range of technological solutions. However it was noted that the fact that the hydrogen solution is referenced in the Project title suggests a bias toward Project outcomes. Assessors felt strongly that the analysis should be approached objectively with all potential solutions being considered on their respective merits. There is a clear driver and benefit opportunity to the decarbonisation of rail which this Project could make a valuable contribution towards. **Recommended Project specific conditions**

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3: Prior to commencement of the Project, the Funding Party Project must engage with members of the team behind the Project "Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green Mobility" SIF Project to identify common areas of scope and collaboration opportunities. Additionally, the Funding Party must share its end of Project Phase report with with members of the team behind the Project "Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green Mobility".

7.3.4 10026963, HyPark, Initial Net Funding Required £150,000

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project	SIF
		Contribution	Requested
Wales & West Utilties Limited	£38,582.62	£0.00	£38,582.62
PassivUK Limited	£86,729.28	£0.00	£86,729.28
Easee Ltd	£20,000.00	£0.00	£20,000.00
Scottish Power Energy Networks	£1,592.10	£0.00	£1,592.10
Holdings Limited			
Scottish & Southern Electric Plc	£1,020.00	£0.00	£1,020.00
Western Power Distribution Plc	£2,076.00	£0.00	£2,076.00
Submitted Project description			

Our vision for Hy_Park is to develop a truly innovative, intelligently controlled, stationary fuel-cell and storage module to accelerate at-scale growth of zero-emission transport.

The Government's decision to decarbonise cars and vans by 2030 will radically disrupt the way in which energy for transport will be generated and distributed to consumers. Hy_Park will explore how to leverage benefits from both the gas and electricity networks and help to deploy rapid multiple EV charging stations in constrained urban locations.

There is significant uncertainty around the scale and pace of EV growth, consumer needs and charging preferences. Research suggests that there could be a requirement for over 100,000 rapid charging points in Britain, many of which will have to be located in urban areas. The costs associated with enabling and installing this infrastructure will be substantial. The availability of sites allowing multiple vehicles to charge quickly will increasingly become an important limiting factor for EV market development.

The need for rapid EV charging hubs will create near term commercial opportunities for the Hy_Park concept. This Project will investigate the most cost-effective modular technology combinations, smart control strategies and explore different business models. During the Project we will aim to discover:

- Which technology configurations for fuel-cell EV charging modules (battery storage, electrolysis, hydrogen refuelling, heat to buildings) will best enable at-scale growth of net-zero transport
- How intelligent controls can be used to evaluate and utilise the lowest carbon, lowestcost source of power based on network generation mix, local storage and on-site generation
- How orchestrating energy flows between traditionally siloed sectors such as transport, power and heat can support the deployment of rapid EV charging infrastructure
- Hy_Park will offer a highly scalable, "plug and play" modular solution that can be rapidly deployed across the UK. It will be suitable for a range of locations such as urban areas where network reinforcement would be costly, and where the excess heat generated by the fuel-cell has a direct Application.

Hy_Park will use advanced machine-learning to evaluate and quantify the trade-or network power, storage and on-site generation using gas-grid connected fuel cere grid-aware fuel-cell and battery storage modules will not only help support the darapid EV charging infrastructure by reducing the need for costly reinforcement be valuable flexibility services that support a smarter, more integrated energy systeProblem and opportunityMean Expert Assessors' scoreThe proposal addresses issues with the recharging requirements of electric vehicles in a user-friendly way whilst minimisi associated impacts on the local energy networks. Assessors viewed the opportunity described in only board terms and more detailed explanation of the degree of care	offs between ells. The use of eployment of out will offer m. 7.4 cles by e addressed is ng costs and the nity as being arbon, cost, or
consumer benefits could have been given. This should be developed if successf	ul.
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set b	y Ofgem.
The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0
The 'big idea' of producing electricity at the point of need for high density, rapid combined with storage, heat use and analytics/smart connections, is well-describ addresses the problems and opportunities described earlier. It is considered am addresses the aims of the Zero Emission Challenge. Better articulation could hav provided on how the proposal would achieve the target benefits and objectives of widely.	EV charging, bed and bitious and ve been of the SIF more
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to delive to gas or electricity consumers	ver a net benefit
Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8
The applicant has provided a good description of credible benefits arising from t Further quantification of benefits will be required in later stages to give confidence benefits can be realised by the consumer. Potential negative unintended conseq also be considered, to mitigate the risk of scaling the innovations.	he innovation. ce that these juences should
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation &	
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of st	akeholders.
Project summary Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.0
The Project summary is brief but gives a reasonable understanding of the scope Project. The sector-coupling approach to providing transportation fuelling solution innovative. Greater consideration could be given to the commercial innovation re- implement the technical solutions. There is good stakeholder representation in the good skillsets to deliver the Project. There is a clear network innovation focus of	and aims of the ons is viewed as equired to he projects with this Project.
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of com	petitive
markets	
Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.6 T
The route to market is outlined to an acceptable level but remains vague in areas concerns that the Project might undermine the development of competitive mark energy network leads should endeavour to make available equal access to third technology if the projects progresses to later stages. Economic assessments of approaches will be needed to assess the credibility of the solution reaching a co readiness level to enable market penetration.	s. There are no kets but the party counter factual mmercial
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky.	
Innovation Justification Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.8
The Project is viewed as providing innovative components and is sufficiently risk SIF Eligibility Criteria. However, several assessors highlighted that there was little related innovations in the market, or previous projects. More information should provided on how this differentiates from similar projects. However, the Project has to be a relatively novel area for networks to investigate.	y to meet this e description of have been as been judged
Eligibility Criterion 7 Projects must provide value for money and be costed	competitively

Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.0
The costs have been assessed as reasona	ble for the scope of work in the Discov	ery Phase.
However, some concerns are raised arour	nd the resource costs for some of the P	roject Partners.
Justification of these costs against the exp	ected deliverables should be given and	ead of Project
kick-off and improved value for money der	monstrated if funded in later phases.	-
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be	well thought through and have a rob	ust
methodology so that they are capable o	f progressing in a timely manner.	
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.2
The Project plan is reasonable to give con	fidence of successful deliver of the Pro	ject. Work
packages and deliverables could have bee	en described in better detail, including	outlining the
measurables that will demonstrate success	sful delivery. The risk analysis is good	quality. It
includes and quantifies expected major ris	ks	
Regulatory Barriers	Yes/ No	No
No regulatory barriers have been identified	d in relation to this Project.	
Recommendation to the Gas & Electricit	ty Markets Authority	FUND
This is a strong proposal for a Discovery P	hase Project which is suitable for fundi	ng. It appears
to take an innovative approach to managir	ng EV charging demand, although the li	terature review
of alternative approaches should be expan		
	nded during the Discovery Phase. There	e is good
representation from a range of relevant sta	nded during the Discovery Phase. There a characterization of the benefic a characteristic at the benefic at the	e is good ts, particularly
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford	Ided during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the benefi cement or alternative approaches will h	e is good ts, particularly nave to be
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed.	nded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the benefi cement or alternative approaches will h	e is good ts, particularly nave to be
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. Recommended Project specific condition	nded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the benefi cement or alternative approaches will h	e is good ts, particularly nave to be
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. Recommended Project specific condition To mitigate issues and leverage opportunity	nded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the benefi cement or alternative approaches will h ons ties identified during the project assess	e is good ts, particularly nave to be sment, we
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. <u>Recommended Project specific condition</u> To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit recommend these Project specific condition	nded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the beneficement or alternative approaches will hons ties identified during the project assess ons are attached to funding of this project	e is good ts, particularly nave to be sment, we ect;
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. Recommended Project specific condition To mitigate issues and leverage opportunity recommend these Project specific condition	nded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the beneficement or alternative approaches will hons ties identified during the project assess ons are attached to funding of this project	e is good ts, particularly nave to be sment, we ect;
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. Recommended Project specific condition To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit recommend these Project specific condition Condition 3	nded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the beneficement or alternative approaches will hons ties identified during the project assess ons are attached to funding of this project	e is good ts, particularly nave to be sment, we ect;
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. Recommended Project specific condition To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit recommend these Project specific condition Condition 3 Prior to commencement of the Project, the	aded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the benefi cement or alternative approaches will h ons ties identified during the project assess ons are attached to funding of this project e Funding Party Project must engage w	e is good ts, particularly have to be sment, we ect; with members of
representation from a range of relevant sta against the counter factuals of grid reinford developed. Recommended Project specific condition To mitigate issues and leverage opportunit recommend these Project specific condition Condition 3 Prior to commencement of the Project, the the team behind the Project "Resilient and	aded during the Discovery Phase. There akeholders. Quantification of the beneficement or alternative approaches will hons ties identified during the project assess ons are attached to funding of this project Funding Party Project must engage w Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Net	e is good ts, particularly have to be sment, we ect; with members of tworks for

Integrated Green Mobility" SIF Project to identify common areas of scope and collaboration opportunities. Additionally, the Funding Party must share its end of Project Phase report with members of the team behind the Project "Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green Mobility".

7.3.5 [REDACTED]

7.3.6 10027293, Multimodal Hydrogen Transport Refuelling Study, Initial Net Funding Required £89,445

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project	SIF
		Contribution	Requested
Northern Gas Networks Plc	£2,520.00	£0.00	£2,520.00
Element Energy Limited	£34,125.00	£0.00	£34,125.00
Transport for the North	£7,920.00	£0.00	£7,920.00
Durham University	£13,005.00	£0.00	£13,005.00
Herriot Watt University	£31,875.00	£0.00	£31,875.00
Eversholt Rail Limited	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00

Submitted Project description

The Multimodal Hydrogen Transport Refuelling Network Study will evaluate the potential for hydrogen's use in heavy duty transport across the North of England. It will create a joined-up, regional strategy to cost-effectively, kick-start the hydrogen economy in the North and will directly support the growth of zero-emission transport, the decarbonisation of the electricity grid and the repurposing of the gas grid for a Net-Zero world.

The Project contains three development work packages that focus on bringing together the major infrastructure pieces needed for a successful roll-out:

1.Hydrogen vehicles -- identifying which vehicles and use cases are the most suited to hydrogen, understanding their current costs and timelines for UK availability and production volumes in the short and medium term.

2.Hydrogen stations -- modelling optimal locations for large multi-modal hydrogen stations accounting for the specific traffic flows of vehicle types identified in WP 1 and understanding the potential expected hydrogen demand from each station.

3.Hydrogen supply -- a techno-economic analysis of hydrogen production technologies and analyse the ability of hydrogen production projects currently being developed in the north to meet the demands of the stations identified in WP 2. This will evaluate the potential of the gas grid to transport hydrogen from production sites to large-scale hydrogen station locations identified in WP2 and compare it to incumbent technologies to evaluate the potential of the gas grid to support the ongoing integration of the electricity and transport networks.

A fourth work package will focus on the Project's communication with a wide group of key stakeholders. Initially, it will coordinate input from a group of experts and key stakeholders in the hydrogen vehicle, station, supply and governance sectors.

The Project will integrate industry experience with pre-existing maps and models on transport, vehicle ownership and energy networks to create a publicly issued strategy document and accompanying models that provide clarity to fleet operators, local councils and other engaged third-parties on the immediate next steps and longer-term vision to develop a multimodal hydrogen mobility network in the UK.

The long-term aim of this Project is to evidence the cost and system benefits of hydrogen by building out several, high-capacity, multi-modal hydrogen stations which serve promising heavyduty vehicle fleets with low-cost, low-carbon hydrogen and communicate this to other regions to accelerate the decarbonisation of the UK's transport system and creation of a hydrogen economy.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score

7.8

7.8

The applicants have identified the Problem of a lack of strategy for heavy transportation decarbonisation in the North of England. An opportunity has been identified to achieve benefits for networks users by developing an integrated, multimodal hydrogen vehicle and infrastructure deployment strategy. This will be based on detailed analysis of the preferred deployment options.

Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem.The Big IdeaMean Expert Assessors' score8.0

The idea is explained with clarity and gives confidence of comprehensive evaluation of how hydrogen fuelled heavy road and rail vehicles can be serviced. The proposal is ambitious and aligns well with the requirements of the Zero Emission Transport challenge.

Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers

Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score

A clear set of benefits are identified for existing energy networks, as well as other stakeholders. The metrics to be used to quantitatively evaluate and demonstrate the benefits to the end consumer should be developed further within the Discovery Phase. The Discovery Phase Project should also consider dis-benefits and key risks and dependencies such as the availability of low cost renewable electricity which enables economic competitivity of solutions.

Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders.

Project summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.8
The scope of the Project is well presented	d and explains the aims and methods to	gether with
details of the personnel involved. There is	a clear network innovation component	t and good
representation from a range of stakehold	ers covering the North of England. Give	n the Project
scope the additional inclusion of an election	icity distribution network would be valu	able. The
Project should ensure engagement with r	najor strategic projects in the area and	offer access to
third parties, given the wide ranging scop	e and potential impact of a strategy.	
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must no	t undermine the development of com	petitive
markets		
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	6.4
Assessors have not raised concerns that	the Project would undermine the develo	opment of
competitive markets. However, they have	commented that further information on	the value
proposition for both gas and electricity ne	etworks, the consumers and route to BA	U could have
been provided. The route to market addit	ionally needs to consider wider require	ments around
supply chains, regulation and investment	and could have provided more justifica	tion on the
rationale for innovation funding.		
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be	innovative, novel and/or risky.	
Innovation Justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.6
The proposal follows a series of funded c	ollaborations exploring the various aspe	ects of the drive
to hydrogen as a transport fuel. A fairly co	omprehensive review of related projects	s and
innovations in this area has been provide	d The whole system approach and am	pition to develop
a coordinated strategy is viewed as a nov	el and potentially impactful to address	market failures
that have impeded progress in this area r	oreviously	
Eligibility Criterion 7 Projects must pro	ovide value for money and be costed.	competitively
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	
Project costs are broadly viewed as provi	ding value for money and costed comp	atitively for the
scope of work. The scope of work is view	ed to be ambitious within the costs of th	
Phase and the applicants should demons	trate that consultancy costs deliver out	oute which
represent value for money to the consum	or	
Eligibility Criterion 8 Projects must be	well thought through and have a rob	ust
methodology so that they are canable	of progressing in a timely manner	ust
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	68
The overall Project plan is logical with a c	lear methodology and outputs defined	The Discovery
Phase activities are viewed as being amb	itious and will need to be managed close	aly The risk
register lacks some detail and should be	revisited abead of Project incention	Sely. The lisk
Populatory Barriors		No
There are likely to be regulatory barriers	which pood consideration as part of a w	ido ranging
strategy development. These should be c	which here consideration as part of a w	l at the Alpha
Phase Application stage		at the Alpha
Procemmondation to the Cas & Electric	ity Markata Authority	
The applicante have presented an ambiti	ity markets Authority	FUND
Papefite realization for consumers and the	bus proposal which could have consider	rable impacts.
benefits realisation for consumers and the	e investment requirements to execute a	a strategy could
Application There was strong support for	ull upon in future phases and for the A	ipna Phase
Project management and a feeue on value	Turing the Project, but it was noted to	nat stringent
toom has been presented which gives as	able outputs would be required. A flight	periorning
the proposed scope		essiul delivery of
Decomposed scope.		
To mitigate issues and lowerses are store	ution identified during the preject essent	amont una
roommond these Dreiset are sifter a with	inues identified during the project assess	sment, we
recommend these Project specific condit	ions are attached to funding of this proj	ect;
Condition 2		

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must evidence its consideration of potential regulatory barriers as part of its overall deployment strategy.

Condition 4

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must document specific details on how the Project plans to realise benefits for consumers, and the investment requirements needed for the execution of its strategy.

Condition 5

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

7.3.7 [REDACTED]

7.3.8 [REDACTED]

7.3.9 10027315, Rail Decarbonisation Planning, Initial Net Funding Required £113,594

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
Northern Gas Networks Plc	£4,320.00	£0.00	£4,320.00
EA Technology Limited	£57,808.75	£0.00	£57,808.75
Frazer-Nash Consultancy Limted	£44,855.47	£0.00	£44,855.47
UK Power Networks (Operations)	£6,610.04	£0.00	£6,610.04
Limited			
Network Rail Limited	£6,650.00	£6,650.00	£0.00
Eversholt Rail Limited	£4,750.00	£4,750.00	£0.00
Submitted Project description			

This Project will develop an overarching implementation strategy and a methodology to enable the ongoing deployment of the most effective, efficient, and appropriate technological solutions to decarbonise rail transport.

Our approach will lead to a shared implementation plan that will enable electricity and gas networks to factor in rail decarbonisation when they are planning their own infrastructure investments.

TDNS: Through the production of the TDNS, Network Rail already has a strong starting point. However, a key weakness of the current TDNS is that it does not consider the capacity or role of existing and emerging electricity and gas network infrastructure to deliver rail decarbonisation.

Our Project will address this. Our analysis will lead to a TDNS implementation strategy and methodology to support decision making on rail decarbonisation options. Our methodology would consider current constraints in the hydrogen and electricity systems and identify the steps needed to overcome these.

Rail Operator Use: Ultimately, our methodology will allow rail operators to identify the parameters, criteria, and recommendations for decarbonisation of specific parts of the rail network. This would identify whether electrification, hydrogen or a combination of the two, is the most effective way to deliver decarbonisation of different parts of the rail infrastructure.

Energy System Use: Our implementation plan will identify how the rail decarbonisation can better integrate as part of a wider energy systems approach. For instance, informing the location

of future hydrogen filling facilities in locations that intersect between rail transportation and road haulage or enabling energy balancing services through hybrid systems

Project Partners and Supporters: Our Project consists of partners from a range of sectors, along with expert consulting organisations. This creates a strong consortium which will help operationalise the plan through using it within their decision-making processes.

Impact: This innovation is essential for the successful decarbonisation of the rail sector. The Project will support the decision making of rail infrastructure owners and operators and electricity and gas networks. Ultimately it will benefit both consumers of rail services and energy services by enabling reliable, low carbon transport for all.

Beta Phase: If progressed to the Beta Phase, our intention is to pool investments from within the rail sector to demonstrate the technology along a selected line -- potentially building a hybrid electric/hydrogen grid supply demonstration facility/Project.

electric/hydrogen grid supply demonstra	tion facility/Project.	
Problem and opportunity	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.8
The applicants make a strong and convin	cing case that the complete decarbonis	ation of rail
represents a significant Problem with ass	ociated implications for energy network	s. A compelling
case has been made that there will be sig	inificant opportunities for both the rail ar	nd energy
networks by taking a collboarative approa	ach to developing rail decarbonisation st	trategies.
Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must ad	dress the Innovation Challenge set by	y Ofgem.
The Big Idea	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.8
The idea shows ambition, seeking to prov	vide a toolkit to tackle the harder to reac	h areas of the
rail network to ensure that the whole syst	em can be decarbonised. The Project a	ddresses the
scope of the Zero Emission Transport ch	allenge well. The outputs could be used	to develop
more detailed plans for location specific of	decarbonisation and inform the optimal u	use of
tehcnologies.		
Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must ha	ve clearly identified potential to deliv	er a net benefit
to gas or electricity consumers		
Impacts & benefits	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.0
A comprehensive list of qualitative benefit	ts was submitted. The Discovery Phase	will derive
comparative metrics to guide further dec	ision making. Benefits include a cost-eff	icient transition
to low carbon rail, with benefits realised f	or both energy network customers and	rail users.
Emission reduction benefits are expected	 as well as optimal use of energy netwo 	ork
infrastructure including the potential of as	ssets being used to manage network cap	pacity or
provide grid support functions.		
Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must inv	volve network innovation &	
Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must inc	clude participation from a range of sta	keholders.
Project summary	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2
The Project summary is very clear and se	ets out the parameters for the toolkit to be	be developed.
The consortium covers the key skills and	players across the industry needed to d	leliver this
enhanced methodology for the rail indust	ry. There is good representation from a	range of
organisations within the Project, with furth	ner engagement with wider stakeholder	planned both
across the rail and energy sectors. There	is clearly a strong energy network com	ponent. As the
Project progresses the innovation require	ments of the energy sector will need to	be described in
more detail, with mature activities being a	ouilt in to business a usual operations at	the earliest
opportunity.		
Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must no	t undermine the development of com	petitive
markets		
Route to market	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.2
The value proposition of this Discovery P	hase to the consortium members is reas	sonably well
described. Some assessors felt that the f	ocus of the solution is primarily rail indu	stry planning.
Whilst it is reasonable to assume that the	outputs will also help to inform energy r	network

decisions more focus on now the e	and a many standard back a balance of the back and the standard for the standard stand	al and a set of the set of the
the Project outputs are needed. The	energy stakenoiders will be benefiting from an	d engaging with
competitive markets	The proposal is not viewed as under mining the t	
Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects m	nust be innovative, novel and/or risky.	
Innovation Justification	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.2
As might be expected the applicar	nts show a good understanding of current app	roaches to rail
electrification and has given a goo	d explanation of how their idea is innovative in	o comparison.
The approach of viewing rail deca	rbonisation plans on a more localised basis in	conjunction with
the challenges of the energy netw	orks is novel and innovative. More information	of how other
countries' rail systems are tackling	this challenge would however strengthen this	aspect of the
proposal.		
Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects m	nust provide value for money and be costed	competitively.
Cost & value for money	Mean Expert Assessors' score	8.4
The Project budget and its distribution	ition between the Project Partners are appropriate	riate. It is
convincingly explained why public	funding and support is required for a process	that creates
collective investigation of the chall	lenges and joint ownership of solutions betwee	en the rail,
electricity and gas networks. A mo	ore detailed breakdown of Project Partner cost	s would
strengthen future Applications. Co	ontributions in kind are made by Project Partne	ers which further
Elisibility Oritorian 8. Drainate	ered.	
Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects m	nust be well thought through and have a rob	oust
the second state to the test state and a labeled at a little of a little state stat		
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	74
Project plan & milestones	Mean Expert Assessors' score	7.4
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh	Mean Expert Assessors' score with work packages and milestones establish	7.4 led. More
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res	Mean Expert Assessors' score with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the to sponse. The response demonstrates competer	7.4 ed. More ool kit and ncy to
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pro-	Mean Expert Assessors' score with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the to sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret	7.4 led. More ool kit and hocy to turned outlining
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pr most key risks with mitigations	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret	7.4 led. More ool kit and hcy to turned outlining
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pr most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the tog sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No	7.4 led. More bol kit and hocy to turned outlining No
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pr most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project.	7.4 red. More ool kit and ncy to turned outlining No
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pro- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority	7.4 ed. More ool kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pri- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal involu-	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority ving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a	7.4 red. More pol kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND Project to
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pro- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal involu- investigate alternate technologies	Mean Expert Assessors' score I with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority ving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a to mainstream electrification to enable an opti	7.4 led. More bol kit and hcy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pri- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal invol investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail tr	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together opsoed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority Ving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a to mainstream electrification to enable an optiman of the ransport. There would be positive benefits for	7.4 red. More pol kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pri- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal involu- investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail tr networks in devising a toolkit for fin-	Yes/ No Identified in relation to this Project. Identified in relation to the relation to enable an option of the relation to enable an option of the relation to the relation tothe relation to the relation tothe relation tothe relation	7.4 red. More pol kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three us agreement
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pro- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal involu- investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail the networks in devising a toolkit for fin- that this Project warranted funding	Yes/ No Identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority Iving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a to mainstream electrification to enable an option optimum solutions. There was unanimou g and is in line with the objectoves of the SIF and th	7.4 red. More ool kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three us agreement nd the Zero
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pri- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal invol investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail tr networks in devising a toolkit for fit that this Project warranted funding Emission Transport challenge.	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together opsoed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority ving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a to mainstream electrification to enable an option of the ransport. There would be positive benefits for noting optimum solutions. There was unanimous g and is in line with the objectoves of the SIF and the sector of the sector o	7.4 red. More ool kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three us agreement nd the Zero
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pri- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal involu- investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail tr networks in devising a toolkit for fir that this Project warranted funding Emission Transport challenge. Recommended Project specific	Mean Expert Assessors' score d with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority lving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a to mainstream electrification to enable an option of the source of the SIF are source of the SI	7.4 led. More bol kit and hcy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three us agreement nd the Zero
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pro- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal invol investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail tr networks in devising a toolkit for fit that this Project warranted funding Emission Transport challenge. Recommended Project specific To mitigate issues and leverage	Mean Expert Assessors' score I with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together into the together ops outputs will be brought together tig and is in line with the objectoves of the SIF and the opportunities identified during the project opportunities identified during the project	7.4 red. More ool kit and hcy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three us agreement nd the Zero
Project plan & milestones The Project plan is clearly outlined information about how the worksh roadmap would strengthen the res successfully deliver against the pri- most key risks with mitigations Regulatory Barriers No regulatory barriers have been in Recommendation to the Gas & E This is a competent proposal involu- investigate alternate technologies complete decarbonisation of rail tra- networks in devising a toolkit for fir that this Project warranted funding Emission Transport challenge. Recommended Project specific To mitigate issues and leverage recommend these Project specific	Mean Expert Assessors' score 4 with work packages and milestones establish ops outputs will be brought together into the together into the together. sponse. The response demonstrates competer oposed plan. A good risk register has been ret Yes/ No identified in relation to this Project. Electricity Markets Authority ving the rail, gas and electricity networks for a to mainstream electrification to enable an option and is in line with the objectoves of the SIF and and is in line with the objectoves of the SIF and the opportunities identified during the project econditions are attached to funding of this project	7.4 red. More pool kit and ncy to turned outlining No FUND Project to mal plan for the all three us agreement nd the Zero assessment, we ject;

The Funding Party must participate in all meetings related to the Project that they are invited to by Ofgem, UKRI and BEIS during the Discovery Phase.

7.3.10 [REDACTED]

7.3.11 10027575, NAVIGATION, Initial Net Funding Required £149,724

Project Partner Name	Eligible Costs	Project Contribution	SIF Requested
Southern Gas Network Plc	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
Intelligent Power Generation Ltd	£49,997.35	£0.00	£49,997.35
Smart Power Networks Ltd	£49,896.55	£0.00	£49,896.55

Farad.ai (ALIAN Ltd)	£49,830.51	£0.00	£49,830.51
Cub mitted Ducie of decomination			

Submitted Project description

A key part of the UK's Zero Emission Transport strategy is the deployment of ultra-rapid (100-350 kW) EV charging network in high-utilisation locations to alleviate consumer range anxiety and accelerate the uptake of Electric Vehicles (EVs). As it stands, delivering this will considerably affect "business as usual" for consumers and network operators with considerable capital expenditure rendered imperative.

This Project brings together proven technologies and existing energy infrastructure to: introduce a direct alternative to capital-intensive network reinforcements; divert cost from consumers and create the technical framework for revenue streams to prosumers, and; scale EV uptake and contribute towards realising UK's Net-Zero targets.

The 'Planning and EV Charging Operation Utilising Low-Carbon Generators' (NAVIGATION) Project aims to demonstrate how predictive grid mapping AI, fuel-flexible, pollutant-free power generation, and real-time optimisation and control technology can enable the use of gas network, as it evolves to 100% renewable, as an alternative energy vector for the power provision for ultra-rapid EV charging infrastructure.

Our approach provides a direct solution to a present problem and creates future opportunities including the capability of utilising excess electricity to produce hydrogen and inject it within SGN's gas network, creating additional revenue streams. This multidisciplinary solution will support the uptake of EVs, while providing a long term approach to the transition away from natural gas.

- Farad.ai's predictive capabilities will enable estimations of future EV charging demand in a given area and the influence of charging infrastructure on these values, thus presenting a gateway to estimating the number of additional EVs on the road.
- SMPnet's Smart Network Controller can then be used to optimise power generation and control --in real time- IPG's Flameless Generator, EV chargers and other energy sources in order to satisfy all technical requirements and provide the means for the provision of G2V and V2G services.
- IPG's Flameless Generator product provides a roadmap for the decarbonisation of the gas network by ensuring new infrastructure assets can support, and even accelerate the integration renewable fuels (both Hydrogen and Biomethane) into the gas network, exchanging necessary 'tokens', to evaluate the real time CO2 reduction of the end-to-end solution.
- Success in this Project will result in a blueprint for utilising the gas network, as it transitions to 100% renewable, as an alternative to widescale, capital-intensive electricity network reinforcements to enable the deployment of ultra-rapid EV charging in cities and motorway service stations.

Problem and opportunity Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.4 The Problem of capacity constraints on electricity networks due to increased demand from EV charging is a genuine challenge. The applicants have identified an opportunity of using low carbon fuels to manage demand to support peak demand for EV charging, which can in turn be injected in to in the existing gas network at alternative times to decarbonise the gas network supply. A reasonable opportunity has been articulated to reduce or eliminate the need for reinforcement of electricity distribution or transmission networks in high demand areas. Eligibility Criterion 1. Projects must address the Innovation Challenge set by Ofgem. The Big Idea Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.8 The innovative step within the Project lies in bringing together three 'proven technologies' in a novel combination: an AI-powered model to identify optimal siting for ultra-rapid EV chargers; a fuel-flexible, pollutant-free power generator and a smart network controller (SNC) to ensure compliance with technical requirements and enable participation in balancing and flexibility

markets. The proposal is viewed as ambitious. However further detail will need to be developed on the proposed hydrogen supply approach, and more detailed description of the innovation components under development and the expected outputs. Eligibility Criterion 2. Projects must have clearly identified potential to deliver a net benefit to gas or electricity consumers Impacts & benefits Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.6 It is convincingly explained in qualitative terms how the enhanced provision of ultra-rapid charging points in locations of main consumer demand will mitigate range anxiety and deliver economic and environmental benefits both to consumers and network operators. Some metrics for evaluating benefits are proposed and quantified analysis will need to be developed for future rounds. An economic analysis of the counter factual options including network reinforcement and or deployment of alternative technologies should also be presented in later phases. Eligibility Criterion 3. Projects must involve network innovation & Eligibility Criterion 6. Projects must include participation from a range of stakeholders. **Project summary** Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.6 It is credibly explained how the Project aims to demonstrate how the gas network can provide an attractive and viable alternative energy vector to provide power for the ultra-rapid EV charging infrastructure. The energy network relevance of the Project is described concisely and clearly. There is good participation from a range of stakeholders, although the direct Project participation of an electricity distribution network would be expected given the integrated nature of approaches. Eligibility Criterion 4. Projects must not undermine the development of competitive markets Route to market Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The applicant has a good understanding of the potential market that could be served by this new offering, and intends to go to market through a joint venture with the Project Partners. The importance of electricity DNOs in the route to market is in contrast with the lack of an electricity network Project Partner in the Discovery Phase. There is no risk to the development of competitive markets, but energy networks should ensure equal access of third parties to procurements of technologies if the concepts progress to business as usual. Eligibility Criterion 5. Projects must be innovative, novel and/or risky. Innovation Justification Mean Expert Assessors' score 6.4 The applicants provide a convincing explanation of the innovative aspects of their proposal. A more detailed analysis or comparison with other possible solutions, and in particular more consideration of global approaches to solving the challenges of provision of ultra-rapid EV charging infrastructure would however strengthen this aspect of the proposal. Eligibility Criterion 7. Projects must provide value for money and be costed competitively. Cost & value for monev Mean Expert Assessors' score 7.0 The costs appear reasonable against the scope of the proposed works. Resource costs are competitive and represent good value for money relative to standard market rates. The lack of costs assigned to the gas network lead bring in to guestion the extent of their involvement and sponsorship of the Project. Conversely, it is welcome to see the energy network give access to third parties to explore innovative ideas. In later phases some cost allocations to a gas and electricity distribution network would be expected to enable those organisations to govern the Project fully and put in place internal processes to ensure a swift route to market for successful innovations. Eligibility Criterion 8. Projects must be well thought through and have a robust methodology so that they are capable of progressing in a timely manner. Project plan & milestones Mean Expert Assessors' score 8.2 The Project plan is defined to a good level of detail. The 5 core work packages are outlined with responsibilities assigned to each Project Partners.

An outline outlined which gives sufficient confidence that the Project can be delivered in a timely manner. The initial risk register is competent but also brief. Key risks are highlighted for each work package.function, although the requisite capabilities to appear represented across the Project Partners. The risk register is good and identifies main risks with mitigations.

Regulatory Barriers

Yes/ No

No

No regulatory barriers have been identified in relation to this Project. Recommendation to the Gas & Electricity Markets Authority

FUND

This is a competent Application that identifies a genuine Problem at has identified opportunities for addressing it. It brings together a very complementary consortium to develop a new integrated product offering to accelerate the transition to renewable EV charging and support the use of hydrogen in gas networks. The cost-competitivity of the proposal against alternative approaches is still to be proven. This should be assessed as part of progression into later phases. There is merit in exploring the innovation opportunity, but meaningful sponsorship of the Project and its outputs need to be shown by the gas network lead. Furthermore, the Project would benefit from the direct involvement of an electricity distribution network.

Recommended Project specific conditions

To mitigate issues and leverage opportunities identified during the project assessment, we recommend these Project specific conditions are attached to funding of this project;

Condition 3

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Funding Party must include an assessment of the cost-competitiveness of its proposed solution against other approaches to solving the challenges of providing ultra-rapid EV charging infrastructure.

Condition 4

Prior to Project commencement, the Funding Party must provide to Ofgem and UKRI an updated Project plan to demonstrate specific roles, responsibilities and outputs for Southern Gas Networks (SGN) as the Funding Party.

Condition 5

As part of its end of Project Phase report, the Project must demonstrate consideration of the future role of an Electricity Distribution Licensee with the Project.

8. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase portfolio Gas Projects -Summary

In summary, based on these assessments, the following tables present the gas projects that are recommended to Ofgem for funding under the SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase, subject to the various conditions outlined above, and the gas projects that are not recommended for funding.

8.1 Gas Projects recommended for SIF Funding

Project name	Funding licensee	Initial Net Funding Required		
		(£)		
Whole System Inte		440.050		
Hynis Compression	NGGI	146,659		
Excess gas turbine energy generation	NGN	134,161		
Green Hydrogen Injection into the NTS	NGGI	114,652		
Nuclear Net-Zero Opportunities (N-NZO)	NGGI	107,494		
Data and Digitali	sation			
Gas Analyser Systems for Hydrogen Blends	NGGT	113,414		
Hydrogen Metering	NGGT	86,378		
Thermal imagery analysis - Condition assessment fluid and pressure	NGN	78,182		
HyNTS Pipeline DataSet	NGGT	95,571		
Predictive Safety Interventions	SGN	58,729		
Digital Platform for Leakage Analytics	Cadent	114,576		
Digital Twin - Exploring the societal, operational, and cross industry whole system benefits on the Gas Distribution Network	SGN	119,127		
Intelligent Gas Grid	SGN	116.401		
Digital Twins: Exploring the commercial, societal and	SGN	124,265		
operational benefits on green hydrogen projects				
CEV: Critical factors for the adoption of smart homes for energy efficiency and implications for consumers and providers	NGN	55,395		
Gas Networks Interoperable Digital Twin	NGGT	78,779		
Heat				
Ch4rge - Emissions Capture	NGGT	144,782		
Hydrogen Barrier Coatings for Gas Network Assets	NGGT	74,706		
Velocity Design with Hydrogen	SGN	55,542		
Zero Emission Transport				
Rail Decarbonisation Planning	NGN	113,594		
Multimodal Hydrogen Transport Refuelling Study	NGN	89,445		
HyNTS Deblending	NGGT	148,141		
HyPark	WWU	150,000		
NAVIGATION	SGN	149,724		

8.2 Gas Projects not recommended for SIF Funding

[REDACTED]

9. SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase Portfolio Electricity Projects - Summary

In summary, based on these assessments, the following tables present the electricity projects that are recommended to Ofgem for funding under the SIF 2021 Round 1 Discovery Phase, subject to the various conditions outlined above, and the electricity projects that are not recommended for funding.

9.1 Electricity Projects recommended for SIF Funding

Project name	Funding licensee	Initial Net Funding Required (£)			
Whole System Inte	egration				
SCADENT - SuperConductor Applications for Dense Energy Transmission	NGET	148,437			
Fast Flex	SPT	112,221			
INCENTIVE - Innovative Control and Energy Storage for Ancillary Services in Offshore Wind	SHE	121,002			
Crowdflex: Discovery	NGESO	70,057			
SEGIL - Sustainable Electrical Gas Insulated Lines	NGET	133,814			
Network-DC	SHE	142,288			
Asset Reuse and Recovery Collaboration (ARRC)	SPT	75,963			
Data and Digitalisation					
Eye in the Sky - Utilising satellite data to improve grid resilience in emergency	NGET	119,105			
NIMBUS - Network Innovation and Meteorology to BUild for Sustainability	SHE	148,476			
EN-twin-e	SPT	143,480			
Virtual Energy System	NGESO	149,921			
Predict4Resilience	SPT	109,401			
Digi-GIFT	SPT	136,236			
Heat					
Flexible Heat	SPT	137,858			
HEAT BALANCE	SPT	125,695			
Zero Emission Transport					
A Holistic Hydrogen Approach to Heavy Duty Transport (H2H)	SPT	108,238			
Resilient and Flexible Railway Multi-Energy Hub Networks for Integrated Green Mobility	SPT	118,780			

9.2 Electricity Projects not Recommended for funding

All electricity Projects have been recommended for funding.

10. Analysis of Recommended Portfolio

Number of Projects recommended for funding per Innovation Challenge by lead Applicant



Value of Projects recommended for SIF funding per Innovation Challenge by lead Applicant





Total number & % of Projects recommended for funding by lead Applicant

Value & number of Projects recommended for funding by network type



Type of Project Partners in portfolio recommended for funding by size





Type of Project Partners in portfolio recommended for funding by industry







Recommended portfolio of Projects clustered by main theme

Types of low carbon technologies to be covered by recommended Projects (more than one technology may apply per project)





Other types of funded projects that led to the development of recommended Project proposals (more than one may apply per Project)

Types of network management and operation approaches to be covered by recommended Projects (more than one area may apply per Project





Early indicators of benefits to be realised by recommended Projects

11. Recommendation of Expert Assessor panel

Innovate UK recommends the following Expert Assessors to be convened for Innovation Challenge specific panels. These Expert Assessors collectively demonstrate a strong range of capability across the four Innovation Challenges and will be responsible for providing critical feedback to projects through delivery phases, as well as assessment and recommendations for future funding in the Alpha and Beta phases.

[REDACTED]

11. Closing remarks

The energy networks have responded positively and enthusiastically to the first set of Innovation Challenges under the SIF. The proposals submitted through the Discovery Phase applications represents a step-change in ambition and will hopefully kick-start the pace of innovation for the GB energy networks. Significantly more proposals have been received than would typically be expected through previous network funding mechanisms. Many of the energy networks developed new partnerships and submitted proposals across all four of the Innovation Challenge areas. A constructive and open minded attitude has also been taken to adopting the new SIF model. This is something the networks should be commended for.

The SIF is designed to incentivise a high-throughput of innovative ideas in the early stages, attracting the most forward thinking proposals and taking a fail fast approach. This has been achieved with 40 Projects recommended for funding. The Projects range from the development of a national multivector Virtual Energy System, to utilising the growing power of offshore wind turbines to provide stability services to the UK power grid.

The data and digitalisation agenda continues to gain traction, with almost half of proposals submitted under this Innovation Challenge. It is acknowledged that we still need to go further and faster with some of the most challenging areas of decarbonisation that the energy sector serves, notably heat and transport. We will be looking for opportunities to drive the development of new solutions in these areas through future challenges.

A significantly higher level of funding is available for Alpha Phase projects, and therefore further strengthening of proposals, justifications and plans will be needed. We encourage the networks to seek and share new opportunities for innovation. They should also create opportunities for additional Partners to add value in future Project Phases. Innovate UK will work with the networks to disseminate learnings widely. Unexpected or unsuccessful outcomes must also be considered a valuable learning experience.

Innovate UK looks forward to working with the energy networks, Ofgem, Government, and the wider industry to ensure that the best of these ideas grow, scale and deliver value to consumers at the earliest opportunity.