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Distributed Generation
Current & future positions
Energy White Paper - I

- Published February 2003 – four key objectives*
  - a path to a 60% reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by 2050 – real progress by 2020
  - maintain the reliability of energy supplies
  - promote competitive markets in the UK and beyond
  - every home to be adequately and affordably heated

* Note that the objectives are paraphrased here
Energy White Paper - II

- Targets for CHP & Renewable generation
  - 10GWe of Good Quality CHP by 2010
  - 10% of UK electricity to be supplied by renewables in 2010
  - Aspiration to double the renewables’ share of electricity by 2020
  - 2015 target being consulted on
Growth of Renewables and CHP to meet Government targets

data from UMIST 2002 High Wind scenario, using data from ETSU scenarios, SPRU, assuming all generation is distributed/embedded
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• Uncertainty
• Challenges
• Risks
• Opportunities

Clearly not business as usual

* 1.2 GW embedded independent generation – NGC SYS, March 1994
DG connection activity (number of offers made and offers accepted)
DG connection activity (offers and acceptances in MVA)
Ofgem’s role
Distributed Generation Coordinating Group
Embedded Generation Working Group

The EGWG report’s main recommendations (paraphrased) were:

- Ofgem to review…incentives on DNOs in the context of their duty facilitate competition
- A group to be established under Government leadership to co-ordinate and take forward the…EGWG’s recommendations

DGCG formed, November 2001

Final EGWG Report – June 2001
DGCG’s Mission Statement

“…to facilitate the achievement of the Government’s targets for renewable generation and CHP…

…identify and consider any network issues that are constraining the further development of distributed generation.

…recommend to the DTI/Ofgem the actions necessary to remove these constraints and if appropriate advise on priorities and incentives.”
Members are listed on the DGCG website
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DGCG Annual Report

- The Energy White Paper
- DG connection incentives
- Project finance
- Wind power
- Domestic CHP
- Future direction for DNOs
- International R&D

Report & summary on DGCG website
www.distributed-generation.org.uk

Register for automatic updates
Recent TSG Achievements
Technical Guide to the Connection of Generation to the Distribution Network

Published Feb’ 2004
Solutions for the Connection and Operation of Distributed Generation

Published July 2003
Developing the P2/6 Methodology
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Review of ER P2/5

UMIST report on the application of the agreed methodology now published

Seminar June 04
Report available on the DGCG website

Consultation imminent
Microgeneration

- Engineering Recommendation G83/1 now in D Code Annex 1
- Workstream 3 model for LV connection of generation completed
- SIAM study
Ofgem’s role
Distribution price control
Ofgem’s work in progress

- **Important activities underway:**
  - Distribution Price Control Review (DPCR)
  - Incentives for DG and for Network Innovation
  - New structure of distribution charges
  - Trial relaxation of the 28 Day Rule
  - ROCs for small generators (DTI led)
Incentives for DG
The main DG incentive mechanism

- Hybrid incentive for DG-related distribution investment
  - pass-through 80%
  - supplementary incentive £1.5/kW/yr for DG capacity connected (£2.0/kW/yr for Scottish Hydro)
  - cap (2 times cost of capital) and floor (cost of debt) for overall returns
  - incremental unit cost above £200/kW paid in DG’s connection charges

- £1/kW/yr for O&M

- Further incentive for the provision of ongoing network access
  - £0.002/kWh default rate (subject to further development)
Innovation Funding Incentive (IFI)
Registered Power Zones (RPZ)
IFI & RPZ

- Initially proposed early in 2003
- Multi-stage consultation showed wide industry support
- Ofgem committed to IFI & RPZ in March 2004
- Supported by Regulatory Impact Assessment
- Latest proposals published June 2004
- Details now being developed
Innovation and regulation

- DNO regulation is focused on a core low risk business
- “RPI–X” has worked well to regulate this core business
  - but has not provided incentives to innovate
- Innovation is acknowledged to carry a different risk profile:
  the regulatory framework should adapt to recognise this

Need now to develop the regulatory framework
to allow DNOs to operate in different risk/reward
business environments
The innovation process

Multi-stage process to convert ideas to products/solutions
The innovation process

Risk
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RPI – X & Capex
Treatment effective
The innovation process

Manufacturers and research community lead
The innovation process

DNO involvement necessary here:

*a distinguishing feature is the requirement for field testing and the inadequacy of laboratory simulations alone.*
The innovation process

IFI & RPZ – Targeted incentives for DNOs
Innovation Funding Incentive

A mechanism to encourage DNOs to invest in appropriate R&D activities that focus on the technical aspects of network design, operation and maintenance. The principal objective of the IFI is to deliver benefits to consumers by enhancing network efficiency in operating costs and capital expenditure.
IFI – Eligible Projects

- To enhance the technical development of distribution networks
- Deliver benefit (e.g. – financial, supply security and quality, environmental, safety) to end consumers
- All aspects of distribution system asset management
- Project justification - costs will be exceeded by the benefits to customers
- This justification will be published in the IFI Annual Report of each participating DNO.
IFI - In Outline

- A ‘% of turnover’ allowance for innovation – 0.5%
- 90% pass-through in Year 1 and 80% average pass-through over the 5 years
- Expenditure allowed on a ‘use it or lose it’ basis
- Good Practice Guide will be a requirement
- Annual, open, reporting of activities to promote best practices
A mechanism to encourage DNOs to develop and demonstrate new, more cost effective ways of connecting and operating generation that will deliver specific benefits to new distributed generators and broader benefits to consumers generally.
RPZ – Defining Innovation

- **Equipment** – genuinely new design/technology
- **System design/topology** – novel approach to system design, in particular to increase the utilisation of assets
- **System operation/control** – novel approaches to the operation and control of a distribution system (voltage, power flow, fault level) that facilitate the connection and operation of DG.
- **Supply continuity & quality** - the use of DG to enhance supply continuity and quality and/or offer a novel alternative to the use of traditional network reinforcement to meet licence standards.

_Ofgem propose an advisory panel of independent specialists having R&D and Industrial experience who can be called upon to determine whether projects are genuinely innovative. Neither their role nor Ofgem’s will be to approve the technical viability of projects._
RPZ – In Outline

- Ofgem registers, but does not approve projects
- Hybrid £/kW incentive increased for RPZs for 5 years
- Returns increased to balance higher risks – no cap on individual project returns
- Cap of £0.5m per year per licensee to fund RPZ incentive
- Open reporting of RPZ projects to promote best practices
A view of tomorrow

- Voltage & load Control
- SVC
- Islanding control with auto sync
- Fault current limiter
- Store
- DSM
- Load
- Load
- G
- G
- G
Ofgem will continue to require efficient solutions on behalf of customers
Ofgem’s Role
Grid Code review process
Grid Connection of Renewables

- Ofgem has the responsibility to approve all changes to the Distribution and Grid Codes.
- The GB transmission licensees have developed through consultation grid code change proposals related to non-synchronous generators.
- Ofgem has been working with all parties to assist the development of these proposals.
Grid Code Changes

- Two **Grid Code Forums** have been held on 24/25 March and 30 April
- Developers, manufacturers and licensees involved
- The transmission licensees have consulted on their revised proposals
- Ofgem is currently considering its decision
Concluding remarks

- We are not technology constrained
- We are constrained by the inertia in the asset base
- Revolution is not really an option

The challenge is to achieve timely evolution of distribution systems so that they provide customers with the services they need efficiently and economically
Promoting choice and value for all gas and electricity customers