Following the publication of the 2009-10 Connections Industry Review (CIR) on 25 February 2011 we have been made aware of a number of errors within the report. As a result we issued a corrigenda on 2 March 2011 to amend these errors.

Since then DNOs have advised us that further adjustments were required to some of the underlying data; these changes have now been made. Therefore we are now republishing the CIR. This note sets out all of the changes that have been made since the initial publication.

Publication Reference: 20/11

## Overview Report

## Paragraph 2 in Regulation section of Summary

Since May 2005 the gas connections framework has included mandatory Gas Performance Standards. These set out the requirement on IGTs and GDNs to quote for work and to complete works within specified timeframes. There are financial penalties for failure to meet these standards. Performance by GDNs against the gas guaranteed standards continued to be good in 2009-10, with the standards being met on 99 per cent of occasions, a slight increase on the previous year. IGTs met the standards on 918 per cent of occasions, which represented a decrease on 2008-09. However, we are concerned with the accuracy of quotations issued by some companies, where a high proportion of quotations referred for review by customers were found to be inaccurate.

Table 2.1 - Total number of connections made by third parties that were reported by DNOs has been updated as well as the total number of connections.

| Connections by: | $\begin{gathered} \text { DNO } \\ 2007-08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IDNO } \\ 2007-08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { DNO } \\ 2008-09 \end{gathered}$ | IDNO 2008-09 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DNO 2009- } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { IDNO } \\ 2009-10 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Licensee | 294,161 | 308 | 222,693 | 0 | 159,877 | 1,350 |
|  | 87\% | 0\% | 85\% | 0\% | 85\% | 1\% |
| Companies affiliated to the licensee | 19,727 | 3,961 | 11,143 | 12,133 | 4,955 | 15,284 |
|  | 6\% | 1\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 8\% |
| Third Parties | 14,108 | 5,979 | 12,911 | 678 | 6,094-6025 | 1,117 |
|  | 4\% | 2\% | 5\% | 0\% | 3\% | 1\% |
| Total | 327,996 | 11,585 | 246,747 | 14,585 | $\begin{aligned} & 170926 \\ & 170857 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 17,751 |
|  | 97\% | 3\% | 94\% | 6\% | 91\% | 9\% |
| Industry Total | 339,581 |  | 261,332 |  | 188677188608 |  |
|  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  | 100.00\% |  |

Figure 2.4 - CN West changed to CN East on the fourth bar from the left. Affiliate and non-affiliate split for 09-10 has been updated. 2009-10 data for CN West and East also updated after a revised submission.


## Paragraph 2.7 - Number of DG connections updated

Significantly in 2009-10 there has been an increase in the number of Distributed Generation (DG) connections that have been completed. Table 2.2 below shows an increase of $246 \underline{239}$ per cent (albeit from a low base) in the total number of DG connections compared to 2008-09. This is in line with a range of different measures that have been introduced nationally to support the development of DG, including the Feed-in Tariffs Scheme ${ }^{1}$.

Table 2.2-2009-10 figures updated

| Connection by: | DG |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 07-08 | $\mathbf{0 8 - 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 9 - 1 0}$ |
| Licensee | 90 | 242 | 820 |
| Companies affiliated to <br> DNOs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Independent connections <br> providers | 4 | 2 | $26 \underline{6}$ |
| Total | 94 | 244 | 846826 |

[^0]
## Paragraph 2.24-Change to area where UIPs have the smallest market share

Figure 2.5 (below) shows that the level of activity by UIPs varies significantly from Local Distribution Zone (LDZ) to LDZ. UIPs have the highest market share in the National Grid North West (47 per cent) and National Grid West Midlands (44 per cent) LDZs. UIPs have the smallest market share again in the Wales and West area-in the Northern Gas Networks area (eight five per cent). There is no one reason for the significant difference in activity. It could be down to the behaviour of the incumbent in that area, or the development strategy of the UIP.

Figure 2.5 - Data from Wales and West 07-08 replaced with data from Wales and West 09-10


Table 3.4 - Number of average number of days for a HV connection in WPD Wales updated

|  | LV |  | HV |  | EHV |  | DG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> number <br> of days | Max <br> number <br> of days | Average <br> number <br> of days | Max <br> number <br> of days | Average <br> number <br> of days | Max <br> number <br> of days | Average <br> number <br> of days | Max <br> number <br> of days |
| CN West | 16 | 100 | 41 | 104 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 86 |
| CN East | 15 | 108 | 46 | 127 | 78 | 78 | 31 | 109 |
| ENW | 14 | 135 | 32 | 90 | 54 | 85 | 44 | 90 |
| NEDL | 11 | 89 | 38 | 88 | 40 | 90 | 42 | 90 |
| YEDL | 8 | 87 | 25 | 87 | 47 | 90 | 33 | 87 |
| WPD Wales | 3 | 17 | 414 | 89 | 51 | 59 | 38 | 89 |
| WPD West | 4 | 18 | 53 | 90 | 35 | 35 | 73 | 94 |
| EDFE EPN | 11 | 90 | 23 | 89 | 35 | 91 | 64 | 91 |
| EDFE LPN | 12 | 91 | 24 | 91 | 45 | 90 | 45 | 73 |
| EDFE SPN | 17 | 90 | 32 | 89 | 51 | 91 | 47 | 91 |
| SP Dist. | 14 | 60 | 32 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 85 |
| SP Manweb | 11 | 15 | 50 | 90 | 89 | 91 | 89 | 92 |
| SHEPD | 4 | 391 | 32 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 152 |
| SEPD | 3 | 90 | 34 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| IPNL | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Energetics | 5 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| EDFE IDNO | 38 | 46 | 28 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Paragraph 3.39 relating to Table 3.6

Overall, GDN performance has been good, with GDNs meeting the standard on average 99 per cent of the time. IGT performance dropped slightly to 938 per cent in 2009-10. While Standard Special Condition D10 does not apply to IGTs, we would expect IGT performance to at least be at the same level as GDNs. We would also expect performance of both GDNs and IGTs to improve on a year on year basis.

## Paragraph 3.46 - investigation into a breach of SLC 20 moved to SHEPD from CN

Investigation into Central Networks East and West ("CN") regarding compliance with its electricity distribution licence, specifically:

* SLC 4D and SLC 12 (Requirement to offer terms for Use of System and connection)
- SLC20 (Compliance with Core Industry Documents)
- SLC 30 (Availability of Resources)

Investigation into Scottish Hydro Electric Power Distribution
("SHEPD") regarding compliance with its electricity distribution licence, specifically:

- SLC 4D and SLC 12 (Requirement to offer terms for Use of System and connection).
- _SLC 30 (Availability of Resources)
- SLC 20 (Compliance with Core Industry Documents)

Table 3.6-GS4 worst licensee percentage changed

| Guaranteed Standard | Description | GDN |  |  |  |  |  | IGT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average percentage achieved |  |  | Worst licensee percentage |  |  | Average percentage achieved |  |  | Worst licensee percentage |  |  |
|  |  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 |
| GS4 | Provision of standard connection quotations $=<275 \mathrm{kWh}$ per hour within 6 working days | 98.00 | 99.00 | 97.8699 .76 | 97.00 | 98.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 75.29 \\ & 99.29 \end{aligned}$ | 100.00 | 100.00 | 95.16 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 94.59 |
| GS5 | Provision of nonstandard connections quotations $\leq 275 \mathrm{kWh}$ per hour within 11 working days | 97.00 | 98.00 | 99.36 | 93.00 | 91.00 | 95.34 | 100.00 | 98.00 | 99.05 | 100.00 | 60.00 | 92.86 |
| GS6 | Provision of nonstandard connection quotations >275 kWh per hour within 21 working days | 96.00 | 96.00 | 99.45 | 93.00 | 94.00 | 90.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| GS8 | Response to land enquiries within 5 working days | 99.00 | 100.00 | 99.62 | 99.00 | 99.00 | 99.20 | - | - | 100.00 | - | - | 100.00 |
| GS9 | Offering a date for commencement and substantial completion of connection work ( $\leq 275 \mathrm{kWh}$ per hour) within 20 working days | 99.00 | 100.00 | 99.36 | 96.00 | 100.00 | 97.51 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 97.63 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 63.64 |
| GS10 | Offering a date for commencement and substantial completion of connection work (> 275 kWh per hour) within 20 working days | 96.00 | 98.00 | 99.40 | 93.00 | 97.00 | 80.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| GS 11 | Completion of the work on the agreed date | 97.00 | 97.00 | 95.41 | 96.00 | 93.00 | 92.21 | 100.00 | 94.00 | 90.86 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 85.37 |
| Average over all relevant standards |  | 97.4 | 98.3 | 98.698.9 | 95.3 | 96.0 | 89.993 .4 | 100.0 | 98.7 | 97.5 | 100.0 | 93.3 | 90.9 |

## Appendices Document

## Paragraph 1.14 and 1.15 - metered electricity connections by voltage

In 2009-10 a total of $186,462187,058$ Low Voltage (LV) connections by DNOs and IDNOs were reported. This compares to 260,171 in 2008-09.

DNOs and IDNOs completed 1,327706-High Voltage (HV) connections in 2009-10, compared to 902 in 2008-09. The increase in HV connections undertaken may be indicative of the fact that industrial and commercial developments requiring $H V$ eonnections usually have more capital supporting them, and are therefore less vulnerable to ceonomic conditions than LV connections to residential developments. DNOs also reported 4218 -Extra High Voltage (EHV) connections and 846826 distributed generation (DG) connections to their networks in the period. This compares to 15 EHV and 244 DG connections in 2008-09. The increase in the number of DG connections is in line with the growing importance of DG as part of the environmental agenda. IDNOs did not report any EHV or DG connections to their networks.

Table A6.1 - Adjusted figures for CN East and West due to revised submission

| Connection by: | Non-Affiliate |  | Affiliate |  | Licensee |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 |
| CN West | 1,146 | 462699 | 0 | 0 | 12,904 | 9,215 | 14,050 | 9,6779914 |
| CN East | 1,045 | 620314 | 0 | 0 | 16,606 | 11,324 | 17,651 | 11,94411638 |
| ENWL | 5,164 | 2,403 | 0 | 0 | 10,981 | 8,182 | 16,145 | 10,585 |
| NEDL | 0 | 15 | 509 | 511 | 11,173 | 7,555 | 11,682 | 8,081 |
| YEDL | 640 | 267 | 1,040 | 545 | 15,082 | 10,837 | 16,762 | 11,649 |
| WPD S. Wales | 35 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8,904 | 6,590 | 8,939 | 6,591 |
| WPD S.West | 95 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17,474 | 13,465 | 17,569 | 13,468 |
| EDFE EPN | 163 | 103 | 0 | 0 | 31,047 | 20,652 | 31,210 | 20,755 |
| EDFE LPN | 137 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 29,131 | 20,873 | 29,268 | 20,905 |
| EDFE SPN | 289 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 17,292 | 13,348 | 17,581 | 13,398 |
| SP Dist. | 3,386 | 1,724 | 6,475 | 3,220 | 8,911 | 7,386 | 18,772 | 12,330 |
| SP Manweb | 698 | 403 | 3,119 | 679 | 7,346 | 5,961 | 11,163 | 7,043 |
| SHEPD | 110 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8,227 | 6,272 | 8,337 | 6,273 |
| SEPD | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 27,615 | 18,217 | 27,618 | 18,227 |
| IPNL | 0 | 0 | 8,197 | 10,314 | 0 | 0 | 8,197 | 10,314 |
| EDFE IDNO | - | 0 | - | 27 | - | 29 |  | 56 |
| Energetics | 509 | 152 | 2,623 | 2,165 | 0 | 0 | 3,132 | 2,317 |
| ENC | 168 | 957 | 1,313 | 2,778 | 0 | 0 | 1,481 | 3,735 |
| ESP | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| SSE out of area | - | - | - | - | - | 1,321 | 1,774 | 1,321 |
| Total | 13,589 | 7,2117142 | 23,276 | 20,239 | 222,693 | 161,227 | 261,332 | 188,677188608 |

Table A6.2 - Number of independent connections adjusted in all four voltages as well as totals.

|  | LV |  |  | HV |  |  | EHV |  |  | DG |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| by: | 07-08 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 07-08 | 08-09 | 09-10 |
| DNOs | 293,681 | 221,769 | 158,472 | 382 | 669 | 567 | 8 | 13 | 18 | 90 | 242 | 820 | 294,161 | 222,693 | 159,877 |
| Companies affiliated to DNOs | 19,700 | 11,041 | 4,942 | 27 | 100 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19,727 | 11,143 | 4,955 |
| Independent connections providers | 13,927 | 12,793 | $\begin{gathered} 5,338 \underline{59} \\ \underline{34} \end{gathered}$ | 176 | 116 | 70685 | 1 | 0 | 240 | 4 | 2 | 266 | 14,108 | 12,911 | $\begin{gathered} 6,094 \underline{60} \\ \underline{25} \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | 327,308 | 245,603 | $\begin{gathered} 168,752 \\ 169348 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 585 | 885 | $\begin{gathered} 1,28666 \\ \underline{5} \end{gathered}$ | 9 | 15 | 4218 | 94 | 244 | 846826 | 327,996 | 246,747 | $\begin{aligned} & 170,926 \\ & 170857 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |

Figure A6.1 - Changed TEDL to YEDL on the $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ bar label from the left


Table A6.4-Change in connections reported by CN East and CN West due to revision of submission

| Connection by: | LV |  | HV |  | EHV |  | DG |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 08-09 | 09-10 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 08-09 | 09-10 | 08-09 | 09-10 |
| CN West | 13,944 | 9,1849700 | 100 | 371103 | - | 30 | 6 | 119111 | 14,050 | 9,6779914 |
| CN East | 17,553 | 11,17711257 | 93 | $462 \underline{109}$ | - | 210 | 5 | 284272 | 17,651 | 11,94411638 |
| ENWL | 16,102 | 10,519 | 38 | 41 | - | - | 5 | 25 | 16,145 | 10,585 |
| NEDL | 11,568 | 8,004 | 14 | 42 | - | - | 100 | 35 | 11,682 | 8,081 |
| YEDL | 16,672 | 11,425 | 38 | 48 | 2 | 4 | 50 | 172 | 16,762 | 11,649 |
| WPD Wales | 8,911 | 6,550 | 18 | 26 | 3 | - | 7 | 15 | 8,939 | 6,591 |
| WPD West | 17,533 | 13,412 | 25 | 37 | 1 | - | 10 | 19 | 17,569 | 13,468 |
| EDFE EPN | 31,124 | 20,669 | 73 | 64 | - | 2 | 13 | 20 | 31,210 | 20,755 |
| EDFE LPN | 29,174 | 20,796 | 90 | 67 | 2 | - | 2 | 42 | 29,268 | 20,905 |
| EDFE SPN | 17,537 | 13,319 | 37 | 33 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 35 | 17,581 | 13,398 |
| SP Dist. | 18,621 | 12,269 | 138 | 32 | 1 | - | 12 | 29 | 18,772 | 12,330 |
| SP Manweb | 10,943 | 7,011 | 194 | 4 | 3 | - | 23 | 28 | 11,163 | 7,043 |
| SHEPD | 8,326 | 6,236 | 6 | 15 | 1 | - | 4 | 22 | 8,337 | 6,273 |
| SEPD | 27,595 | 18,181 | 21 | 44 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 27,618 | 18,227 |
| IPNL | 8,196 | 10,306 | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | 8,197 | 10,314 |
| Energetics | 3,132 | 2,311 | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 3,132 | 2,317 |
| ENC | 1,481 | 3,734 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1,481 | 3,735 |
| ESP | - | 6 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 | 8 |
| EDFE IDNO | - | 34 | - | 22 |  | - |  | - | - | 56 |
| SSE Out of area | 1,759 | 1,319 | 15 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1,774 | 1,321 |
| Total | 260,171 | 186,462187058 | 902 | 1,327706 | 15 | 4218 | 244 | 826846 | 261,332 | 188,677188608 |

## Paragraph 1.19 - Number of connection points changed

In 2009-10 there were 714245 new connection points between licensees' networks and embedded networks, compared to 205 in 2008-09. There were 713244 new connections reported by DNOs and 1 new connection reported by an IDNO.

Figure A6.4 - Footnote added to table - Does not include the 56 EDFE IDNO connections as these were not broken down by DSA.

## Paragraph 1.24 - number of connection queries handled changed

In 2009-10 146,279 146,526-connection queries were handled by DNOs and IDNOs. Of the $143,527143,774$ connection queries handled by DNOs approximately 41 per cent resulted in an acceptance of an offer. Approximately 16 per cent of the 2,752 connection queries handled by IDNOs resulted in an acceptance of the offer. This could suggest that customers are testing the market and that the costs of electricity connections are very important for the development of projects.

Figure A6.5 - Number of connection queries handled changed
(DNO 2009-10: DG - 2,8012,811 EHV - $2212 \underline{257}$ HV - 6,020 6,112 LV - 134,485,134,594)


Figure A7.2 - Change in 2008-09 figure for EDFE LPN


Table A8.1 - Change in figures

| Connection by: | Adopted from non-affilliate |  |  | Adopted from affilliate |  |  | Licensee |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 |
| NG NW | 708 | 2,729 | 2,8813,114 | 0 | 0 | - | 7,688 | 5,091 | 6,3803,498 | 8,396 | 7,820 | 9,2616,612 |
| NG EE | 516 | 3,408 | 1,2402,881 | 0 | 0 | - | 15,615 | 9,711 | 1,8906,380 | 16,131 | 13,119 | 3,1309,261 |
| NG L | 153 | 1,423 | 1,240 | 0 | 0 | - | 6,412 | 4,635 | 3,4981,890 | 6,565 | 6,058 | 13,2243,130 |
| NG WM | 302 | 2,702 | 1,960 | 0 | 0 | - | 5,909 | 3,940 | 2,534 | 6,211 | 6,642 | 4,494 |
| NGN | 1,010 | 603 | 570 | 0 | 0 | - | 14,567 | 12,721 | 11,087 | 15,577 | 13,324 | 11,657 |
| SG N (scot) | 115 | 398 | 680 | 61 | 55 | 64 | 13,946 | 13,402 | 11,328 | 14,122 | 13,855 | 12,072 |
| SG N (South) | 2,359 | 816 | 1,025 | 78 | 92 | 95 | 23,481 | 21,398 | 15,492 | 25,918 | 22,306 | 16,612 |
| wwU | 410 | 437 | 1,157 | 0 | 0 | - | 20,753 | 17,797 | 13,342 | 21,163 | 18,234 | 14,499 |
| BGPL | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Energetics | 0 | 0 | - | 2,977 | 1,693 | 1,400 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,977 | 1,693 | 1,400 |
| ESPL | 6,111 | 1,301 | 10,985 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 2,191 | 6,111 | 1,301 | 13,176 |
| ESPCL | 8,846 | 9,125 | 688 | 0 | 0 | - | 2,269 | 2,589 | - | 11,115 | 11,714 | 688 |
| ESPNL | 54 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 54 | 2 | 4 |
| ESPPL | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Fulcrum | 0 | 0 | - | 1,191 | 4,769 | 4,792 | 0 | 0 | - | 1,191 | 4,769 | 4,792 |
| GTC | 39,881 | 28,742 | 3,531 | 14,962 | 11,426 | 25,112 | 0 | 0 | - | 54,843 | 40,168 | 28,643 |
| IPL | 14,134 | 6,238 | 3,157 | 46,767 | 34,572 | 22,048 | 0 | 0 | - | 60,901 | 40,810 | 25,205 |
| QPL | 37 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 37 | 3 | 2 |
| SSE P | 0 | 247 | 344 | 0 | 260 | - | 7,513 | 6,638 | 6,298 | 7,513 | 7,145 | 6,642 |
| Total | 74,637 | 58,171 | 37,94831,336 | 66,036 | 52,870 | 53,513 | 118,153 | 97,922 | 74,040 | 258,826 | 208,963 | $\begin{aligned} & 165,501 \\ & 158,889 \end{aligned}$ |

Figure A8.3 - Change to bar label and way data is presented


Figure A8.4 - Change to the way the data is presented


## Paragraph 1.40 - Deleted

Six GDNs and all IGTs were unable to break down the charges they had levied for connections by pressure. As two GDNs were unable to provide this information they have not been ineluded in the analysis in this section.

Table A8.10 - New table used

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | Medium | Intermediate | LTS | Total |
| GDNs | $40,438,271$ | 677,952 | 0 | 0 | $41,116,223$ |
| IGTs | $3,447,620$ | 669 | 0 | 0 | $3,448,289$ |
| Total ( $\boldsymbol{E})$ | $43,885,891$ | 678,621 | 0 | 0 | $44,564,512$ |

## Paragraph 1.41 - Total charges for existing domestic premises edited

Total charges for new domestic premises in 2009-10 were approximately $£ 13$ million, down from $£ 24$ million in 2008-09. Similarly, connection charges for existing domestic premises were $£ 27 \underline{3}$ million, down from $£ 28$ million in 2008-09, while charges for non-domestic premises were around $£ 10$ million, down from $£ 15$ million.

## Paragraph 1.42 - Percentage changed

Of the 102,224 connection queries handled by GDNs in 2009-10, approximately $54 \underline{8}$ per cent resulted in an acceptance of an offer. Approximately 27 per cent of the 6,639 connection queries handled by IGTs resulted in an acceptance of the offer. This is comparable to 2008-09, where 54 per cent of the 108,850 connection queries handled by GDNs and 23 per cent of the 10,082 connection queries handled by IGTs resulted in an acceptance of the offer.

Tables A9.1 - A9.14 (SLC 15 tables- the changes highlighted below are the result of changes made by DNOs to their submissions. As a result the SLC15 summary table also has minor changes)

| DNO | 1 a | 1b | 1c | 1d | 1e | 1 f | 2a | 2b | 2c | 3a | 3b | 3c | 3d | 3e |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEDL | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 61 / 60 / 98.36 \\ & 78 / 77 / 98.72 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 272 / 271 / 100 \\ & -1 /-/- \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| YEDL | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 118 / 118 / 100.00 \\ & 158 / 158 / 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 446 / 446 / 100 \\ & -1-/- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CN East | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 354 / 349 / 98.59 \\ 508 / 500 / 98.43 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 884 / 871 / 99 \\ & 11 / 11 / 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| CN West | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 246 / 246 / 100.00 \\ & 376 / 372 / 98.94 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 656 / 649 / 99 \\ & 13 / 13 / 100.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EDFE } \\ & \text { EPN } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 156 / 155 / 99.36 \\ & 221 / 220 / 99.55 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 577 / 576 / 100 \\ & 6 / 6 / 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EDFE } \\ & \text { LPN } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 117 / 116 / 99.15 \\ & 167 / 166 / 99.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 334 / 333 / 100 \\ & 1 / 1 / 100.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { EDFE } \\ & \text { SPN } \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 80 / 80 / 100.00 \\ & 97 / 97 / 100 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 259 / 259 / 100 \\ & 3 / 3 / 100.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| ENWL | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 611 / 606 / 99.18 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 / 0 / 0 \\ & \underline{1 / 1 / 100} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 277 / 272 / 98.19 \\ & 376 / 370 / 98.40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4 / 4 / 100 \\ & 3 / 3 / 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1110 / 1099 / 99 \\ & 120 / 120 / 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 / 50 / 96.15 \\ & \underline{2 / 2 / 100.00} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 217 / 211 / 97.24 \\ & 228 / 222 / 97.37 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 1 / 100 \\ & \underline{2 / 2 / 100} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| SP Dist. | $\begin{aligned} & 1189 / 1171 / 98.49 \\ & 1190 / 1172 / 98.49 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 409 / 385 / 94.13 \\ & 540 / 508 / 94.07 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1813 / 1760 / 97 \\ & -/-/- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 79 / 76 / 96.20 \\ & 79 / 77 / 97.47 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 804 / 789 / 98.13 \\ & 809 / 790 / 97.65 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 / 17 / 100 \\ & 12 / 12 / 100 . \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| SP <br> Manweb | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 271 / 270 / 99.63 \\ & 366 / 365 / 99.73 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 780 / 776 / 99 \\ & 3 / 3 / 100.00 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SHEPD | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 48 / 48 / 100.00 \\ & 68 / 68 / 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 122 / 122 / 100 \\ & -/-/- \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| SEPD | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 116 / 115 / 99.14 \\ & 173 / 171 / 98.84 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 278 / 273 / 98 \\ & -1 /-1- \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{array}{r} 60 / 59 / 98.33 \\ 62 / 61 / 98.39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WPD S.Wales | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 8 / 100.00 \\ & 11 / 11 / 100 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 22 / 100 \\ & -1-1- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 / 0 / 0 \\ & -1-/- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| WPD S.West | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 21 / 21 / 100.00 \\ & 29 / 29 / 100.00 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 48 / 47 / 98 \\ & -1-1- \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 3 / 3 / 100 \\ 4 / 4 / 100 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | - |

## Key:

No. of works completed or quotes provided/ No. provided within timescale/Percentage Achieved

Table A9.15 - Change in 1f - NEDL, YEDL, SHEPD, SEPD,WPD S Wales and WPD S West did not have any connections for inclusion in the standard

| DNO | 1a | 1b | 1c | 1d | 1 e | 1 f | 2a | 2b | 2c | 3 a | 3b | 3c | 3d | 3 e | Total met by DNO |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEDL | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | - | - | YES | - | YES | YES | - | - | - | 7/7 |
| YEDL | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | - | - | YES | - | YES | YES | - | - | - | 7/7 |
| CN East | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | 13/13 |
| CN West | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | 12/12 |
| EDFE EPN | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | - | - | - | 9/9 |
| EDFE LPN | YES | - | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | - | - | - | 8/8 |
| EDFE SPN | YES | - | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | - | - | 9/9 |
| ENW | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | 14/14 |
| SP Dist. | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | - | - | - | 9/9 |
| SP Manweb | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | YES | 13/13 |
| SHEPD | YES | - | YES | - | YES | - | - | YES | - | - | YES | - | YES | - | 6/6 |
| SEPD | YES | - | YES | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | - | YES | YES | - | - | - | 8/8 |
| WPD S. Wales | YES | - | YES | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | YES | - | - | - | $3 / 3$ |
| WPD S. West | YES | - | YES | - | - | - | - | - | - | YES | YES | - | - | - | 4/4 |
| Total Standards Met | 14/14 | 5/5 | 14/14 | 9/9 | 10/10 | 7/7 | 9/9 | 12/12 | 5/5 | 12/12 | 14/14 | 2/2 | 5/5 | 4/4 |  |

Figure A9.16 - Average change for Networks 1-10 jobs: 90\% <30 working days


Table A9.16 - Change in number of standards met by WPD S. Wales - Emergency response changed from YES to NO

| KPI | NEDL | YEDL | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CN } \\ & \text { East } \end{aligned}$ | CN <br> West | EDFE EPN | EDFE <br> LPN | EDFE SPN | ENW | SP Dist. | SP <br> Manweb | SHEPD | SEPD |  | WPD S. West | Total DNOs to meet KPI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emergency response | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | 7/14 |
| High priority fault repair $50 \%<1$ working day | - | - | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | 5/14 |
| High priority fault repair 90\%< 10 working day | - | - | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | 7/14 |
| Multiple unit fault repair 75\% < 10 working days | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | 5/14 |
| Multiple unit fault repair 90\% < 20 working days | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | No | 4/14 |
| Single unit fault repair 60\% $<10$ working days | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | 9/14 |
| Single unit fault repair 80\% <20 working days | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 11/14 |
| New works 1-10 jobs. 60\% <15 working days | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 10/14 |
| New works 1-10 jobs. 90\% <30 working days | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 10/14 |
| New works 11-50 jobs. 70\% < 25 working days | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | - | No | 11/14 |
| New works 11-50 jobs. 90\% < 35 working days | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | - | No | 8/14 |
| Total Met KPIs | 7/9 | 8/9 | 5/11 | 4/11 | 9/11 | 6/11 | 6/11 | 11/11 | 4/11 | 3/11 | 10/11 | 4/11 | 6/9 | 4/11 |  |

Tables A9.17 - A9.27 (Changes to KPI data due to revised submissions submitted by DNOs. As a result the KPI summary table also has minor changes)

| DNO | NEDL | YEDL | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{C N} \\ \text { East } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CN } \\ \text { West } \end{gathered}$ | EDFE EPN | EDFE LPN | EDFE SPN | ENWL | SP Dist. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{S P} \\ \text { Manweb } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SHEPD | SEPD | WPD S.Wales | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { WPD } \\ & \text { s.West } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emergency response | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 18 / 4 / 82 / 22 \\ & \underline{21 / 5 / 81 / 26} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 102 / 22 / 82 / 124 \\ & 151 / 40 / 79 / 191 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| High priority fault repair 50\% < 1 working day | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 215 / 40 / 84 / 255 \\ & 273 / 40 / 87 / 313 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / 3 / 25 / 4 \\ & 2 / 6 / 25 / 8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| High priority fault repair $90 \%<10$ working day | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 208 / 18 / 92 / 226 \\ & \underline{209 / 17 / 92 / 226} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 317 / 88 / 78 / 405 \\ 318 / 87 / 79 / 405 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 236 / 19 / 93 / 255 \\ & \underline{294 / 19 / 94 / 313} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2 / 2 / 50 / 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Multiple unit fault repair 75\% < 10 working days | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 153 / 114 / 57 / 267 \\ & \underline{223 / 140 / 61 / 363} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 172 / 20 / 90 / 192 \\ & \underline{267 / 23 / 92 / 290} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Multiple unit fault repair 90\% <20 working days | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 142 / 46 / 79 / 188 \\ & 149 / 39 / 79 / 188 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 371 / 77 / 83 / 448 \\ & 372 / 76 / 83 / 448 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 188 / 79 / 70 / 267 \\ & \underline{265 / 98 / 73 / 363} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 185/7/96/192 } \\ & \underline{283 / 7 / 98 / 290} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Single unit fault repair $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ < 10 working days | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 261 / 338 / 44 / 599 \\ 363 / 409 / 47 / 772 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 502 / 116 / 81 / 618 \\ & 760 / 173 / 81 / 933 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Single unit fault repair \$0\% < 20 working days | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4106 / 588 / 87 / 4694 \\ & \hline \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1273 / 794 / 62 / 2067 \\ & 1382 / 685 / 67 / 2067 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1668 / 334 / 83 / 2002 \\ & 1675 / 327 / 84 / 2002 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 382 / 217 / 64 / 599 \\ & 511 / 261 / 66 / 772 \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 601/17/97/618 } \\ & 904 / 29 / 97 / 933 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| New works 1-10 jobs. 60\% < 15 working days | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 503 / 707 / 42 / 1210 \\ 740 / 855 / 46 / 1595 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{array}{r} 182 / 25 / 88 / 207 \\ 235 / 35 / 87 / 270 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | - |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { New works } 1 \text { - } 10 \\ \text { jobs. } 90 \% \text { <30 } \\ \text { working days } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 939 / 271 / 78 / 1210 \\ 1286 / 309 / 81 / 1595 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 202 / 5 / 98 / 207 \\ & 265 / 5 / 98 / 270 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - |
| New works 11-50 jobs. 70\% < 25 working days | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 49 / 18 / 73 / 67 \\ & 73 / 19 / 79 / 92 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| New works 11-50 jobs. 90\% <35 working days | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 54 / 13 / 81 / 67 \\ & 79 / 13 / 86 / 92 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - |

## Key:

In Standard/ Out of Standard/ Percentage Achieved/ Total

Table A9.28- Change in no. of quotations provided within timescale and percentage achieved for Northern Gas Networks in 2009-10

|  | 2007-08 |  |  | 2008-09 |  |  | 2009-10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GT | Number of requests | Number of standard quotations provided within timescale | Percentage achieved | Number of requests | Number of standard quotations provided within timescale | Percentage achieved | Number of Requests | Number of standard quotations provided within timescale | Percentage Achieved |
| NG EOE | 12,731 | 12,346 | 97.0 | 9207 | 9104 | 98.9 | 7387 | 7384 | 100.0 |
| NG LDN | 5,932 | 5,770 | 97.3 | 4354 | 4267 | 98.0 | 3423 | 3422 | 100.0 |
| NG NW | 7,309 | 7,122 | 97.4 | 4728 | 4674 | 98.9 | 3695 | 3692 | 99.9 |
| NG WM | 5,380 | 5,195 | 96.6 | 3279 | 3221 | 98.2 | 2638 | 2633 | 99.8 |
| Northn GNW | 4,196 | 4,155 | 99.0 | 2855 | 2831 | 99.2 | 2509 | 18892502 | 75.399 .7 |
| Scotld GNW | 3,309 | 3,272 | 98.9 | 2200 | 2188 | 99.5 | 2190 | 2185 | 99.8 |
| Southn GNW | 4,767 | 4,747 | 99.6 | 3524 | 3520 | 99.9 | 4138 | 4129 | 99.8 |
| W\&W | 8,811 | 8,708 | 98.8 | 5871 | 5810 | 99.0 | 6360 | 6315 | 99.3 |
| GDN Total | 52,435 | 51,315 | 97.9 | 36,018 | 35,615 | 98.9 | 32340 | 3164932262 | 97.999.8 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ More information on FITs can be found on our website here;
    http://www.ofgem.gov.uk/Sustainability/Environment/fits/Pages/fits.aspx

